CES'

KET

SNO

TY

No. 27228
When ordering duplicate of this work
please send above number.

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

102
165
207
246.

Ara
Akiz
Ah
Ash
Abbey
Aike
Augu
Abn
Andr
Armst
Augs

Arakelian Bros vs A.P. Blackler 2
Akizawa Ben vs Harry Hatchkiss et al 64
Ah Pen vs J Spinney et ux 65
Ash David vs Kutner Goldstein Co 88
Abbey Mrs F. vs Frank Abbey 176
Aiken G.H. vs S.F. Hadsell 183
Augustine E. Mrs vs Jas F Briendiss 200
Arnold A vs E.V. Fisher 209
Andrews Geo H. vs A.B. Butler 232
Armstrong Jas vs J.N. Pool et al 247
Augustine E.M. vs F.A. Serpa 250
Blumingdale Jake vs E.O. Brown 6
Bank of Ant Cal vs J.W. Ferguson et al 62
Blasingame L.A. vs Ola Lawson 69
" Mary J. vs W.M. Harrell et al 72
Bogue Jas F vs Wm Adams 95
Blasingame Mary J. vs W.F. Wills et al 101
Berry F.G. vs J Carr & Co 119
Burns Geo. vs Jacob Fries 135
Robt F Bousfield vs J.N. Albin 185
Bagley F.S. vs R.W. Buscal et ux 202
Bressel Ben vs F.P. Thompson et al 215
Blakeley Jas vs Ed Hallingshead 220
Bow Wo Ting vs Dewey Ting Ling 231
Blackler A.P. vs J.O. Byxbee 233
Brooks W.H. vs Burgess & Warner 235

Cattle F.L. vs J T Walden 9
Choice H.B. vs Pratt & Manley 26
" " " vs P. F Peck 48
Craycraft Mrs C J vs Jas Briggs 59
Covalt A B. vs Wm Adams 94
Crow Mary E. vs Albert Nusbaumer et al 109
Cory L L. vs Edw Lloyd 113.
Capo A. vs H H Johnson 148
Canty J F. vs J. N Albin 149
Cory L.L. vs T A Bell et al 166
Coffee J W. vs J N Albin et al 167
Cavanah J S. vs F J Burleigh 174
Cernokus Peter vs Pilli Dubrata 186
Cory B.B. vs J W Dumas 198
Cane Jas vs F M Reaves et al 206
Chastaine H H vs T.J. Dalton. 234

-D-

Doolittle J.E. vs Trust & Bouse 32
Deuel J.P. vs Jas Armstrong 218.

Everts O.A. Admr vs W.C. Beaty 10
" " " vs R Burke 11
Elsea John C. vs J W Owen 13.
Everts O.A. Admr vs W.G. Pook Van Bagger 43
Expositor Co. vs S Jackson 83
Epstein J.N. vs Kings River Lum Co 124
Everts Admr vs W. Dumont et ux 132
Everts Kewingues Cal. Sav & Loan Soc 172
Emigrant Ditch Co vs J W Hingley 184
Ewing D.S. vs Mrs Cora Palmer 208

Fresno Fair Grounds vs R. T Owen 3
" " " vs C. A Owen 4
" " " vs Jas Karnes 5
Fresno Loan & Sav Bk vs W Forsyth et al 28
Fresno Nat Bk. vs I N. Walton 30
Fresno Co Abstract Co vs Chas Teague 37
Fong Shue vs J H Pollard 61
Fresno Nat Bk vs P A. Kanawyer 63
Fresno Loan & Sav Bk vs F P Wickersham et al 67
Fresno Co Abstract Co vs W. F. Arant 73
Fresno Loan & Sav Bk vs G. M Drew 82
" " " " vs J Esrey et al 97
" " " " vs G H Walley et al 102
Freman G. C. M C Davison 112
Fresno Nat Bk vs J W Crow 120
Fresno Loan & Sav Bk vs H J Jacobsen 137
" " " " vs H. P. Hansen et al 138
" " " " vs J R. Ellis 139
" " " " vs Mrs C J Keller et al 140
" " " " vs W. M Bunton et al 141
" " " " vs M Jenell et ux 142
" " " " vs D Jauregubery 143
" " " " vs J W Williams 144
" " " " vs M J Lee et al 145
" " " " vs G. W. Cermitage et al 146
" " " " vs Mrs H. M Stout et al 147
Fresno Co. Abstract Co. vs C. M Smith 161
Fresch John D. vs Ed Hutchinson et al 191
Fresno Loan & Sav Bk. vs Chas H Ellis et ux 199
Fresno Flume & Irr Co vs Robt G Stitt 127
Fresno Fair Grounds Ass vs S. Raynor et al 226
Fresno Loan & Sav Bk vs E D Edwards et al 240
Fredericks Alma E. vs J H Terry 241

Goon Dei vs Hoy Lee 7
Gemignani R vs C. Grovani 8
Glenn G. R. G. vs M. L. Williams et al 24
Green L. M. vs W H Cureton 39
Goon Wong Tung vs Jennie Cook 57
Green B. G. vs A Abrams 57
Green A. W. vs Jas E Lee 91
Golo Heman vs Harry Halchtuss 118
Ganaig F. vs F Jungua 122
Ganaig F. vs Escanteloupe et al 123
Gordon Alex vs D. C. Tucker et al 153
Glenn G R G vs F Dusey et al 155
Gerge Joze F vs Jas Gonzales 197
Gordon Mrs M vs H Mills et ux 249

C D E F G I H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U W X Y Z

Hall A. vs Lee Chuey et al 12
Hughes Annie E et al vs C. F. Francis 14
Hatch Lucy H et al vs Geo B Noble et al 17
Haber Jas. vs F A Brewer 38
Hermann J L. vs L. Papovich 42
Harvey Wm vs A Albrecht 46
Harvard Co. vs B W Doyle 47
Hansen Sue vs Porter Bros Co 66
Hansen & Berg vs Mary F Bedford et al 75
Herman J. S. vs R. W. Briscoe 96
Hing Fong vs John Creelman 98
Howard W H vs J C. Gardner 121
Hockenberry J. vs G. W. Smith 133
Huber Jas vs S Waldenberg et al 159
Heiskell et al vs Antone Joseph 173
Hobbs & Parsons vs Geo W Fuller 187
Huber Jas vs Geo Schwin 189
Hobbs & Parsons vs J O Bybee 204
Hockenberry J vs R Utterback 205
Hayden T. M. vs Harshbarger et al 208
Hockenberry J. vs Wm Johns et al 213
Haas Jno vs F. P. Burns 224
Hockenberry Jno Geo H Wood et al 230
Huber Jas vs F J Slocum & Bro 238
Hockenberry J vs W H Thiele 242
House J H vs Millie Day 244
Howland H. D. vs M. G. Lytton et al 245

Jeffries C B vs Annie E Hughes et al 45
Johnson R B vs J P. Ellis 56
" " vs F. E. Brown 58
Jensen Hans vs Jacob Hansen et al 131
Johnson G W. vs Thacker Bros 190
Kutner Goldstein Co vs J E O'dowd 31
Koumdjian M. vs Williams Brown & Co 44
Kile B. F. vs National Spectator 60
Kemyomdjian M vs Hobbs & Parsons 85
Knowles W. E. vs Home Ins Co 115
Knobloch F vs W. S. Lloyd. et ux 130
Kutner Goldstein Co vs W F Hanke 201

Lizzberg Victor vs Augusta M Rogers 74
Larson Ola vs L A Blasnigame 108

Mayer Paul J. T. vs M McWhorter 41
Moore J. G. vs J Fries et al 53
Maxwell Hon vs Wm T Tufts 81
Moore J. G. vs Chas S Wood et al 86
Morse Jeff vs Cannon & Wakefield 87
Maltzen J. vs Chas Schugaard 99
Moore G. L. vs F. M Cunan 104
Miles H H vs L Petty 105
Maxson W. H vs J B Rutledge 106
Merriam E. D. vs M S Rosa 117
Mandlebaum T vs D J Canty 136
McKenzie W H vs D. P. Blevins 168
Moore G. L. vs Jas Waselis 170
" " vs " " 171
McKenzie W H vs T B Jones et al 211
McVay W T vs L E Walker 216
Mecartea Geo S. vs W A Allen 221
McVay W. T. & T Conrad 223
Moore Agnes vs Lilian Williams 228

Nourse Jno C. vs T Clark	93	Ockenden Thos J. vs Fresno County	169
Neff W. H. vs R P Hall et al	128		
Nourse Geo A vs L. Popovich	158		
Nicholas Kuhnen Co vs D J Canty	188		

Puget Sound Lumber Co et al vs Samuelz	19
" " " " vs T. McElam	20
" " " " vs O.A. Force	21
" " " " vs A.E. Way et al	22
" " " " vs P.C. Jacobsen	23
Porteous Jas vs M & Tarpey	27
Pen Ah vs J Spinney et ux	65
Prince D.R. vs Ida Mc Neil	68
Pallard J.A. vs Juan Canino	80
Porteous Jas vs D Fowler	107
" " vs S.T. Vian	111
Pond J.W. vs W H Lane	152
Peterson J.P. vs Hobbs & Parsons	175
Porteous Jas vs P.A. Efird	178
Paige Timothy vs J J Stephens	193
Ports J Wet al vs J.N. Albon	212
Powers Att'y vs Exposition Co	219

Roeding Geo C. vs Holmes Hakes 71
Rawlins Rob A. vs John Crawford et al 79
Rogers John W. vs C B Pond et all 100
Riley Owen vs B T Alford 110
Rigby Wm vs J R. Austin 114
Ristine Jes vs Geo W Young 129
Rogers J W. vs A Tambs et al 134
Riise S. vs A Baron 150
Riley Eugene vs T L & S Bk 154
Robinson T. M. vs P. B Donahoe 162
Rider Frank vs S. J. Wall 163
Ruben & Son vs T J Slocum 194
" " vs Mary T McCrystal 195
" " vs D Bagley 196
Rogers J W vs John Albin 214
Ruben & Son Vs J. N Albin 222
Rhomas Jes vs J. M Church 287
Ruben & Son vs J L Morris 243
Smith Robt vs Wm H Cook et ux 15
San Joaquin Lumber Co. vs A B. Covalt 18
Schroder R. vs J. Blumingdale 29
Short F. H. vs John Pickle et al 34
" " vs J H Say 35
Smith Clarence Mrs J H Cadwallader 52
Stevens A. T. vs S. O. Zener 54
" " vs A. Phipps 55
Shue Fong vs J H Pollard 61
Schooling Jes vs H Oppenheimer 76
Smith W. M vs J M Stone 77
Sing Jung vs St. Lemon et ux 84
Smith Geo A vs A A. Brown 90
Smith W. S. vs John Moley 103
" Mrs E. J. vs F. P. Burris 127
San Joaq Lumber Co vs H H Whittemore et al 157
Story Emma P. vs E Beeson 160
Smith Jes A. vs John Doyle 164
Scoggins A. J. vs Wm Deakin 179
Shannon W. vs James Ramage 182
Serpa F A. et al vs Jos F Brendano 192
" " " " vs Bielenberg et al 210
San Joaq Lumber Co vs Iverson et al 225
Serpa F A. et al vs J F Jeneuti 227
San Joaquin Lumber Co. v. A. B. Covalt 18

P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Truck Sup no C.S. Cain 40
Timmins L.P. vs J.H. Wood 49
Thompson W.P. vs Wm Fuller Tufts 89
Jorge Joz F. vs Joe Gonzales 197
Turner Bros vs W.N. Rohrer 229
Tenente Manuel Freitas vs L. Fagundes 256
Upton W.J. vs J.S. Button 208
Uridge W.G. vs Mrs Hilton 217

— V —

Van Valer C. vs J.C. Felipe et al 25
Valley Lumber Co. vs Elkhorn Ditch Co 180
Van Vaughtenberg B. vs J.N. Albri 239

Wilson G.D. vs Culver & Boles	1
Wamekros A. vs W.H. Tucker et al	16
West Publish Co vs N.C. Caldwell	33
West H.L. vs John Long	36
Wong Tung Goon vs Jennie Cook	51
Walsh Mark vs H. Weimann	70
Wong Ho vs T.F. Murphy et al	78
Weaver Jacob vs F.E. Langley	92
Wamekros A vs E. Beeson	116
Walker J.C. vs Geo S. Helm	120
Wampum Trust Co. vs J.W. Reese	157
Wickes A.J. vs W. Mon Young	176
Warlow Geo L. vs J. Hockenbery	177
Wampum Trust Co vs L.E. Karr	207
Williams F.J. vs Jas Spinney	236

T U V W X Y Z

50

DA
18

No. 501

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G D Wilson
Plaintiff

vs.

S.S. Culver & G.M Boles Co-partners as Culver & Boles
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 28.50

G D Wilson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Events & Ewing
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept. 15	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
" 15	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 15	Writ of attachment issued		50
" 24	Defendant G.M Boles by Events & Ewing demurs orally to the complaint & says It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		25
" 25	Summons returned & filed showing personal service		50
" 25	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied		25
" 27	Defendant Culver by Events & Ewing demurs orally to the complaint and says It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		25
Nov 12	The court of its own motion sets above demurrer for hearing this day at 10 a m & Events & Ewing in Court assent thereto		50
" 12	The demurrer submitted overruled & 2 days given to answer		75
" 15	It appearing to the Court that the defendants have failed and refused to answer herein as ordered, now on motion of G D Wilson It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants S S Culver & G.M Boles Co-partners as Culver & Boles be and the same are hereby entered		1 15
" 15	On further motion of said G D Wilson It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50
	Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G.D. Wilson and against the defendants S S Culver and G.M Boles co-partners as Culver & Boles for the sum of $28.50 and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $25.65	1 00	8 65 / 1 75 / 25.65
	Total Judgment $54.65		
	Done in open Court this 15th Nov 1894		
	A.Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

2

No. 502

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H Arekelian & J Arekelian Co-partners as Arekelian Bros — Plaintiffs

vs.

A P Blacklen — Defendant

Action c/c

Demand $ 10.

John C. Denel — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	17	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 20	
"	20	Summons returned & filed	50	
"	21	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs Attorney no costs demand pd H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
		Genignani vs. C Grovano } From pg. 8		
		further testimony herein	13 80	
"	14	The Attorneys are in Court and defendant moves the Court for an order re-opening the case for the admission of further testimony and for affirmative relief. The motion is argued submitted and by the Court denied	50	
"	14	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $46.15	25	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff R Genignani and against the defendant C Gravano for the sum of $46.15 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $35.75	1 00	1 40 75
		Total Judgment $81.90	Court	7 20
		Done in open Court this 14th Nov 1894		21 95
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace	Witnes	13 80
				35 75
Nov	15	Cost bill verified and filed by plaintiff	14 75	
"	16	Notice of appeal filed by defendant	50	
"	19	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant	25	
"	19	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	
			18 25	

No. 503

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno Fair Grounds Association
a Corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

R. T. Owen

Defendant

Action on assessment

Demand $ 192.00

H H Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Aff Pb 3 95 Sep 4/95

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept 1895	19	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	2 00
Sept	3.	Summons returned and filed showing personal service Oct 6. 1894.		25
"	3	It appearing to the Court from the verified return annexed to the summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at and within the County of Fresno on the 6th day of Oct 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: ten days has fully expired now on motion of H H Welsh Esq, it is ordered that the default of the said defendant R T Owen be and the same is hereby entered		95
		On further motion of H H Welsh it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Fresno Fair Grounds Association, a corporation and against the defendant R. T. Owen for the sum of $ 219 40 (Prin 192.00 Int. $ 27 40) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 7 45	1 00	3 95
			Const	3 00
				7 45
		Total Judgment $ 226 85		
		Done in open Court this 3rd Sept 1895		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

4

No. 504

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Fair Grounds Association
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

C A Owen

Defendant

Action on assessment

Demand $ 160.00

H H Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Pltff Pd 3.95 Sep 4/95		
Sept	19	Cause entered Complaint filed and Summons issued	1 25	2 00
1894 Sept	3	Summons returned and filed served in this Cs Oct 6. 1894	25	
"	3	It appearing to the Court from the verified return on the summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the Complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at and within the County of Fresno on the 6th day of Oct. 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit ten days has fully expired, now on motion of H H Welch It is ordered that the default of the said defendant C A Owen be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of said H H Welch It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff. The Fresno Fair Grounds Association, a corporation and against the defendant C A Owen for the sum of $182.81 (Prin $160.00 Int $22.81) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 7.45	100	3 95
				Amot 3 50
				7 45
		Total judgment $190.26		
		Done in open Court this 3rd Sept 1895		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

No. 505

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Fair Grounds Association a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Jas Karnes — Defendant

Action on assessment

Demand $160 00

A H Welch — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff pd 2 00 Sep 4/95		
Sep 19	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
Oct 20	Defendant by F H Short demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	2 60

6

No. 506

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Blumingdale — Plaintiff

vs.

E. O. Brown — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $24.25

S. Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	20	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
"	20	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	20	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Oct	17	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	22	Summons returned & filed showing Service 10/20/94 at 11 Ch Twp	50	
Nov	2	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at the 11 Ch Township of Fresno County State aforesaid on the 20th day of Oct. 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to-wit: Ten days has fully expired, now on motion of S Jackson Esq it is ordered that the default of the said defendant E O Brown be and the same is hereby entered	90	
		On further motion of said Jackson Esq it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jacob Blumingdale and against the defendant E. O. Brown for the sum of $24.25 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $14.15	1 00	6 70
			Const	7 45
				14 15
		Total Judgment $38.40		
		Done in open Court this 2nd Nov. 1894		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	2	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 20	
"	12	Execution returned & filed without proceedings		
"	13	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Shaw	1 00	
Dec	3	The above judgment is satisfied in full by payment this day		

H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 507

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Goon Dei — Plaintiff

vs.

Hoy Lee — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 282 45

Thompson & Prince — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	20	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
Oct	18	Summons returned filed served oct 18 '94 at 4th Towp		50
"	31	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at 4th Township of Fresno County State aforesaid, on the 18th day of Oct. 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days, has fully expired. Now on motion of Thompson & Prince it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Hoy Lee be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of Thompson & Prince it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Goon Dei and against the defendant Hoy Lee, for the sum of $282 45 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6 20	100	4 20
			Amst	3 00
				6 20
		Total judgment $288 65		
		Done in open Court this 31st Oct. 1894		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Dec 1897	1	Execution issued & delivered to W. P. Thompson	1 25	
July	19	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw Constable	1 25	
Aug	4	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day — Thompson & Prince. Atty for Plaintiff.		

8

No. 508

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R Gemignani — Plaintiff

vs.

C Gravano — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 46 35

M Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas Gallagher — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	20	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	20	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	20	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	21	Summons returned & filed personally served	50	
"	21	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	21	The defendant by Jas Gallagher demurs to the Complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	20	
"	21	Defendant moves the Court under § 554 C.C.P. to release the attachment levied herein, M Walser waives notice and the Court fixes bond at $93.30 motion being granted	75	
"	21	Bond made & filed M Walser orally accepting sureties	75	
"	21	It is ordered that the attachment levied herein be discharged and the property held thereunder released	25	
"	21	The Attorneys being present in Court the above demurr is submitted and overruled	50	
"	21	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	10	
"	21	Trial of this cause set for Sept 28, 1894 at 10 a m by consent	50	
"	28	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. R. Gemignani, F Pisanto, A Capo, Paul Leverone and Alf. Leverone are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and Ofel Tajnari is sworn and acts as interpreter and C Gravano, Frank Schmit, Carlo Matroni, F Beckman are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant, Alf Leverone Rosie Leverone and the plaintiff are recalled and testify for plaintiff in rebuttal and Frank Smitt is recalled and testifies for defendant in rebuttal, The case is closed and argued and submitted and by the court taken under advisement	5 50	
Nov	13	James Gallagher & M Walser in Court and it is agreed between them that defendants Attorney need not go through the formality of filing affidavit or moving papers on a motion to reopen the case and admit		3 00

to pg 2.

Jany 16. 1895 Justices fees paid C by J Tajnari 18 25

No. 509

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. L. Cottle — Plaintiff

vs.

J. T. Walden — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 8650 & Int

A. E. Snow — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept 1895	24	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	2 00
Oct	25	Summons returned and filed personally served on the defendant at and within Fresno County on the 14th day of Oct. 1895		25
"	26	It appearing to the Court from the return endorsed on the signal summons duly verified that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at and within the County of Fresno on the 14th day of Oct. 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: ten days has fully expired now on motion of A. E. Snow It is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. T. Walden be and the same is hereby entered		95
		On further motion of said Snow It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50
		Wherefor It is here ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff F. L. Cottle do have and recover of and from the defendant J. T. Walden the sum of $102.21 and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $5.95	1 00	3 95
		Total judgment $108.16	Cost	2 00
		Done in open Court this 26th day of Oct 1895		5 95
		A. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
1897 June	28	Execution issued & delivered to Show Const		1 25

10

No. 510

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G A Everts as administrator with the will annexed of the estate of William Wooten deceased
Plaintiff

vs.

W C Beaty

Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 20.00 Int & atty fees

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept	24	Cause entered Complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
Nov	12	Summons returned & filed personally served		
Nov	12	Defendant personally in Court and submitting to the jurisdiction of the Court makes and files verified statement confessing judgment herein as prayed for		25
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G A Everts as administrator with the will annexed of the estate of William Wooten deceased and against the defendant W C. Beaty for the sum of $52.33 / Prin $20. Int $7.33 Atty fee $25.00 / and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.40	3 00	
		Total Judgment $58.73		
		Done in open Court this 12th Nov. 1894		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
1895				
March	6	Execution issued & delivered to Mr Shaw	1 25	
April	10	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

Jan 29 95. Justices fees paid by Pltff.

$ 50
1 90
6 40

No. 511

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G A Events as Administrator with the will annexed of the estate of William Wooten deceased — Plaintiff

vs.

R Burk — Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 116.00 Int & Atty's fees

Events & Ewing — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	14	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
Nov	19	Summons returned filed served 11/9/94 at Fresno County	50	
"	21	It appearing to the Court from the verified return attached to the summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at the County of Fresno, State aforesaid and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; ten days has fully expired, Now on motion of Events & Ewing it is ordered that the default of the said defendant R. Burk be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Events & Ewing It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G.A. Events as administrator with the will annexed of the estate of William Wooten deceased and against the defendant R. Burk for the sum of $ 228.30 [Principal $116- Interest $37.30 attorney fee $75-] and for costs of suit herein taxed at $7.40	100	4 20
			Const.	3 20
				7 40
		Total Judgment $ 235.70		
		Done in open Court this 21st Nov 1894		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
1895 Jany	16	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to O.L. Events	70	
Mch	6	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shore Const	1 20	
Apr	6	Return on sale of real estate filed and execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

Jan 29. 95. Justice's fees paid by Pltff.

12

No. 512

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Hall — Plaintiff

vs.

Lee Chuey and Lam Lee — Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $173.35 Int & Atty fees

W. P. Thompson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	26	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	26	Summons returned & filed personally served on Lam Lee	50	
Oct	2	Lee Chuey on behalf of himself and his Co-defendant Lam Lee answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	2	On motion of defendants Cause set for trial Oct 8. 1894 at 10 A M	50	
"	8	On motion of defendants trial continued without day	50	5 00

No. 513

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John C. Elsea — Plaintiff

vs.

J W Owen — Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 155 Int & Atty fee

Joyce & Caldwell & E A Williams
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

550 DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	26	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	26	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	27	Summons returned & filed personally served	50	
"	27	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	P.S. 5.00
Oct	6	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs Atty demand & Costs paid	75	

A Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 514

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Annie E. Hughes & Thomas E. Hughes her husband — Plaintiff

vs.

C. F. Francis — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 250 00

L. L. Coy — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	27	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	27	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	27	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Sept	28	All papers returned & filed not served	50	
"	28	On motion of L. L. Coy it is ordered that this action be and the same hereby is dismissed	1 50	5 50

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 515

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Robert Smith — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Wm A Cook, 2d & Josie E. Cook — *Defendant &*

Action Promissory

Demand $ 250.00 & Int

Robert Smith
Attorney for Plaintiff in proper

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept 27	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	Paid

16

No. 576

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Warnekros — Plaintiff

vs.

W H Tucker and D C Tucker — Defendants

Action Prom note & a/c

Demand $ 91.00 Int & atty fee

H H Welsh — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	28	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Oct	16	Summons returned & filed Served on both defendants	50	
"	16	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	16	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the Complaint in this action was personally served on each of said defendants at this 3rd Township on the 9th day of October 1894, and that the said defendants nor either of them have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of plaintiff It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants W. H. Tucker and D.C. Tucker be and the same are hereby entered	1 00	6 90
		On further motion said plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A Warnekros and against the defendants W H Tucker and D C Tucker for the sum of $126.00 (Principal $91.00 Interest $4.50 Atty Fee $30.00) and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $11.90		
		Total Judgment $137.90		
		Done in open Court this 16th Oct. 1894		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Oct	19	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to H H Welsh	1 00	

Costs 5 00
11 90

No. 517

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lucy H Hatch, Julia B Short, & E A Cleveland Exs Lucy H. Hatch Executrix of the last will & testament of M F Austin deceased Plaintiffs

vs.

Geo B. Noble, Exs W. D Noble, Co-partners under the firm name and style of Noble Brothers Defendants

Action Contract for rent

Demand $225.00

Frank H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

L L Coy
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	29	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	
"	29	Defendants by L L Coy appears by endorsement on complaint	25	
Oct	22	Defendant files written answer	25	2 00
1895 June	5	On motion of plaintiff trial set for June 8, 1895 at 9 a. m. & subpoenas issued		
"	8	By consent trial continued to July 2. 1895 at 9 a m		
"	17	Subpoena for plaintiffs issued		
July	2	At the time set the subpoenas above are returned and filed and all parties and their counsel in Court and the trial proceeds. Lucy H Hatch. J F Moody, and W D Noble are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiffs Exs W D. Noble, Geo B. Noble are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants. The evidence is closed and cause to be argued at a time to be agreed on by attys		
Sept	18	Cause this day submitted without argument.		
Nov	7	The Court this day finds for plaintiffs for $225.00		

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered and it is hereordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs Lucy H Hatch, Julia B Short & E A Cleveland Exs Lucy H Hatch as Executrix of the last will & testament of M. F. Austin deceased, do have and recover of and from the defendants Geo B. Noble Exs W D. Noble Co-partners under the firm name and style of Noble Brothers, the sum of $225.00 and the costs of this action taxed at $5.75

Total Judgment $230.75

Done in open Court this 7th day of Nov. 1895

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

Dec	6	Defendants file Notice of appeal		
"	6	Defendants file Undertaking on appeal.		

18

No. 518

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The San Joaquin Lumber Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

A.B. Covalt — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $27.92 Int & Atty fees

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	29	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	
Oct	11	Summons issued	50	
"	17	Summons returned & filed sieved Oct 13, 1894 3rd Tow	50	
"	20	It appearing to the Court from the return endorsed on the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 13th day of October 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; five days has fully expired now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the default of the said defendant A B Covalt be and the same is hereby entered	9[illegible] —	
		On further motion of said Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for with $15 atty fees	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The San Joaquin Lumber Company a corporation and against the defendant A.B. Covalt for the sum of $45.49 and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $6.45		
		Total Judgment { Principal $27.92; Interest 2.57; Atty fees 15.00; Costs 6.45 = $51.94	100	
		Done in open Court this 20th Oct 1894		
		H.Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	20	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to H Hawes	100	

Dec 13, 1894 Justices fees paid by plaintiff

X X 5 —
2 00
6 [illegible]

No. 519

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company Corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

Samuel Zetz

Defendant

Action From note

Demand $ 152.15 Int & Atty fee

Horace Hawes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.	
Sept	29	Cause entered and complaint filed	1	00		
Oct	11	Summons issued		50		
"	17	Summons returned and filed served Oct 13 '94 3rd Twp		50		
"	20	It appearing to the Court from the verified return endorsed upon the summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant Samuel Zetz at this township on the 13th day of October 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully, now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Samuel Zetz be and the same is hereby entered		90		
		On further motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50		
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company Corporations and against the defendants Samuel Zetz for the sum of $217.20 (Principal $152.15 Int $40.55 Atty fee $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.50	1	00	4	45
			Const		2	00
					6	50
		Total judgment $224.15				
		Done in open Court this 20th day of Oct 1894				
		H Z Austin				
		Justice of the Peace				
Oct	20	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to H Hawes	1	00		

Dec 13. 1894 Justices fees paid by plaintiffs

20

No. 520

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company, Corporations, Plaintiff

vs.

T. M. Eblen

Defendant

Action from note

Demand $49.00 Int & Attys fee

Horace Hawes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept 29	Cause entered and Complaint filed	1 00	
Oct 11	Summons issued	50	2 00

No. 521

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company Corporations, Plaintiff

vs.

O. A. Force

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $81.19 Int & Atty fees

Horace Hawes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept 29	Cause entered & Complaint filed	1 00	
Oct 11	Summons issued	50	
Nov 28	Summons returned & filed served Oct 29. 94	50	2 00

22

No. 522

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company Corporations, Plaintiff,

vs.

A.E. Way and A.S. Clerk, Defendant.

Action Promissory note

Demand $252.90 Int & attys fees

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		COSTS. Defendant.	
Sept	29	Cause entered and complaint filed	1	00		
Oct	11	Summons issued		50		
Nov	28	Summons returned & filed Served		50		
Dec	10	It appearing to the Court from the verified returns attached to the summons herein that a copy of the said summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendants and each of them within this County as follows: on A.E. Way on Nov 23rd 1894 on A.S. Clerk on Nov 28th 1894, and it further appearing that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: ten days has fully expired now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the default of the said defendants A.E. Way and A.S. Clerk be and the same are hereby entered	1	20		
		On further motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50		
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company Corporations and against the defendants A.E. Way and, A.S. Clerk for the sum of $437.43 {Principal $252.90 Interest $139.53 Attys fee $45.00} and for costs of suit herein taxed at $20.40	1	00	4 15	70 70
			Amot		20	40
		Total judgment $457.83				
		Done in open Court this 10th day Dec 1894				
		H.Z. Austin				
		Justice of the Peace				
1895 Nov	15	Abstract of judgment made & del to Hawes				
"	29	Execution issued & delivered to Hawes	1	25		
"	30	affidavit & order Exam Judg Debtor made.				
Dec	6	above affidavit & Order returned & filed served				
"	6	A.E. Way Judg debtor in Court & sworn & T.M. Rowan a witness also sworn.				

No. 523

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company Corporations *Plaintiff*

vs.

P. C Jacobsen *Defendant*

Action Promissory

Demand $ 2 & 1c Int & Atty fees

Horace Hawes *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept 29	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	
Oct 11	Summons issued	50	2 00

70
70
40

No. 524

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G R G Glenn
Plaintiff

vs.

M L Williams Jr
W J Prather
Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 100.00 Int &c

G R G Glenn
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept 29	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	2 00

No. 525

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Van Valer — Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Felipe and Manuel Piementel — Defendant

Action Money Loaned

Demand $128.50

Geo E. Church — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct 2	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
" 2	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 2	Writ of attachment issued to Madera Co	50	
" 6	Writ of attachment issued to Fresno Co	50	6 00
" 13	The above action is this day dismissed & costs paid in open Court by plaintiff in person Az Austin Justice of the Peace		
Portions as Taylor	From pg 27.		
	argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	1 25	
Dec 27	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $142.05	25	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James Porteous and against the defendant M. F. Taylor for the sum of $142.05 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $23.50		
	Total judgment $165.55		
	Done in open Court this 27th day of Dec 1894 Az Austin Justice of the Peace		
		11 50	
1895 Jan 31	Cost bill filed verified	50	
Jan 18	Notice of appeal filed by defendant	25	
" 18	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant	75	
" 21	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	14 50

Justices fees paid by Evans & Evans 1/18/95

11.50
.50
.75
.25
1.50
14.50

23.50

No. 526

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H B Choice — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Pratt and J S Manley — Defendant

Action Conversion

Demand $ 190.00

Sayle & Coldwell — Attorney for Plaintiff

H C Tupper — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	2	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Summons returned & filed served on both defendants	50	
"	16	Defendants by H C Tupper demur orally to plaintiffs complaint and say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	27	On motion of plaintiff hearing of demurrer set for Nov 3. 1894 at 10 A M	50	
Nov	3	Demurrer submitted overruled & 2 days given to answer	75	
"	3	Defendant files written answer	25	
"	20	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial Nov 28. 1894 at 10 A M	50	
"	23	Subpoena for 3 Witnesses issued for plaintiff	75	
"	28	Defendants demand jury and venire for 24 citizens issued	50	
"	28	Defendants waives jury and on motion venire ordered recalled	50	
"	28	Subpoena and venire filed on return	50	
"	28	at 10 A.M. trial continued by consent to 2 P M this day	50	
"	28	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. Henry Pratt, J.S. Gray, and H B Choice are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and J.S. Manley, H.A. Pratt and J M. Roberson are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants and documentary evidence introduced, the evidence is closed and respective Attorneys agree to argue the case later	5 25	
1895 Jany	23	Cause argued submitted and taken under advisement	25	
"	30	The Court this day finds for plaintiff and against defendant Pratt alone for $101.80 the value of the wheat and $25.00 time and money expended in pursuit thereof	25	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H.B. Choice and against the defendant H.A. Pratt for the sum of $126.80 for costs taxed at $50.10	100	13 50
			Cmsh	15 80
			Writ	20 80
				50 10
		Total Judgment $176.90		
		Done in open Court this 30th Jany 1895		
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace	13 50	
Feby	2	Cost bill filed by plaintiff	25	
"	26	Notice of appeal filed by defendant Pratt waived	25	
"	26	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant Pratt approved	75	85 Mdsg 95
"		~~Transcript & papers on appeal~~	15 00	162 50
March	2	Notice of acceptance to sureties on appeal bond filed	25	
"	5	Notice of Justification & Bond filed	3 50	
"	7	sureties to Court and Justify and undertaking on appeal approved	3 50	

The within judgment is satisfied in full this 27th April 1895. Sayle & Coldwell Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attest H Z Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 527

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Portions — Plaintiff

vs.

M. F Tarpey — Defendant

Action 9/c

Demand $ 15966

W T Porter & Sayles & Coldwell — Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo E Church — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	4	Cause entered Cmplaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	4	Summons returned & filed personally served		50
"	9	Defendant by Geo E. Church demurs orally to plaintiffs Complaint & for		
		cause & does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		25
"	9	Hearing of above demurrer set for Oct 12. 1894 at 10 A M		50
"	10	Notice of above order filed & served		25
"	12	Demurrer submitted overruled & 2 days given to answer		75
"	14	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the		
		complaint with permission to file amended answer & X Complaint		25
"	22	Amended answer & Cross Complaint filed		25
"	23	On motion of plaintiff time to answer Cross Complaint extended ~~five~~ seven days		50
"	23	Motion to strike out cross complaint filed (oct 30)		25
"	30	Above motion argued submitted and the Court orders that the		
		motion be granted and the defendants cross complaint		
		be stricken out and the same being is stricken out		50
Nov	17	On motion of plaintiff trial of this case is set for Nov 21, 1894 at 2 P. M		50
"	21	Notice of above order filed served		25
"	21	On motion of ~~defendant~~ assented to by ~~plff~~. trial continued to Nov 24, 94 at 2 P. M		50
"	24	On motion of defendant assented to by plff. trial continued to Dec 1, 1894 at 2 P. M		50
"	30	Defendant asks leave to file amended answer and counterclaim,		
		Leave is granted and amended answer and cross complaint filed		75
Dec	1	On motion of defendant trial continued to Dec 10. 1894 at 2 P. M		50
"	10	at the time set the parties and their attorneys are in Court		
		and the defendant files affidavit and asks for a continuance		
		Continuance denied and defendant excepts and		75
		the trial proceeds, Counsel agree in open Court that the		
		goods mentioned in the complaint were sold and delivered to		
		defendant by plaintiff & have not been paid for, see Exhibit "A"		
		defendant claiming right to recoup on items marked "off" by reason		
		of a warranty claimed by him on ~~old~~ raising demurrer.		
		Plaintiff rests, and James Portions, M F Tarpey R. E. L Good are		
		sworn and testify in behalf of defendant and James Portions		
		is sworn and testifies in rebuttal. The matter is		

See pg 25

900

28

No. 528

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan & Savings Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

W. Forsyth, E. S. Van Meter, H. L. Rhodes, W. D. Crichton, S. Waldenberg & John M. Ryan — Defendants

Action Promissory note

Demand $200.00 Int & Attys fees

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	4	Cause entered Complaint filed and Summons issued	1 25	
"	15	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	17	Defendant W. D. Crichton personally files written demurrer and offer to allow judgment against him & ½ costs to date	50	
"	20	W. D. Crichton files written withdrawal of Demurrer & offer & this ca ordered	25	
"	20	It appearing to the court from the verified return endorsed upon the summons herein that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on each of the said defendants as follows viz: On W. D. Crichton at this 3rd Township on Oct 12, 1894, On E. S. Van Meter at this 3rd Township on Oct 12, 1894, On S. Waldenberg at this 3rd Township on Oct 12, 1894 and on W. Forsyth at this 3rd Township on Oct 13, 1894, And it further appearing that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, nor has either or any of them, and the legal time therefor, to wit; five days has fully expired Now on motion of Horace Hawes It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants W. D. Crichton, E. S. Van Meter, S. Waldenberg & W. Forsyth be and the same are hereby entered	1 70	
		On further motion of Horace Hawes It is ordered that judgment be entered herein according to the terms of the note sued on	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno Loan & Savings Bank a corporation and against the defendants W. Forsyth, E. S. Van Meter, W. D. Crichton & S. Waldenberg for the sum of $205.80 (Principal $129.72 Int. $76.08) and for costs of suit herein, taxed at $13.70	1 00	
		Total Judgment $219.50	Const	5 70
				8 00
				13 70
		Done in open Court this 20th day of Oct 1894		
		H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		

Nov 30, 1894, Justices fees paid by plaintiff

No. 529

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. Schroeder — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Jacob Blumingdale — *Defendant*

Action Suit for rent & c

Demand $ 155 00

F. H. Short & G. C. Freman — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct 5	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 10	Defendant by H H Welsh demurs to both counts in the complaint & says that neither count does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	58	2 00
1895 Aug			
Aug 3	Defendant by H H Welsh files written answer		

No. 530

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno National Bank
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

J H Walton
Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 160 —

F H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	5	Cause entered Complaint filed and Summons issued returnable oct 9th 1894	1 25	
1895 Feb	13	The above action is this day dismissed & costs paid by plaintiff H Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 2 50

No. 531

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kutner Gold Stem Company
a Corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Z. E. Oddone Ets P Oddone

Defendant P

Action a/c

Demand $ 240 57

H H Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.
Oct	5	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1	25	
"	5	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1	75	
"	5	Writ of attachment issued		50	
"	8	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed & bill for costs sent to Plff. H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace			5 50

No. 532

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J E Doolittle — Plaintiff

vs.

F H Frost, Jesse Bouse & F Frost & Bouse a copartnership Composed of F H Frost & Jesse Bouse — Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 83.00

J. P. Merx — Attorney for Plaintiff

Strother & Strother — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	8	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	15	Summons returned & filed showing personal service		50
"	22	Jesse Bouse & F Frost & Bouse said Co-partnership by Strother & Strother file written demurrer		1 50
Nov	17	The Plaintiff in Court and confesses the above demurrer which is sustained & 2 days given to amend		75
"	17	Plaintiff files amended complaint showing service on defts also		2 50
"	17	Summons issued on amended complaint		
"	23	Summons on amended complaint returned & filed showing service on F H Frost		2 50
Dec	4	It appearing to the Court from the admission endorsed on the amended complaint & the return of the officer on the summons on amended complaint that a copy thereof was served on the attorneys for defendants Jesse Bouse & F Frost & Bouse and a copy of the said Summons attached to a true copy of the amended complaint was served on the defendant F H Frost at the County of Fresno on the 22nd day of Nov 1894 and the legal time for appearance herein on the part of all defendants to wit: ten days has fully expired Now on motion of J. P. Merx It is ordered that the default of the said defendants F H Frost, Jesse Bouse & F Frost & Bouse a copartnership Composed of F H Frost and Jesse Bouse be and the same are hereby entered		1 20
		On further motion of said J. P. Merx it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for Whereupon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J E Doolittle and against the defendants F H Frost, Jesse Bouse and Frost & Bouse a copartnership Composed of F H Frost and Jesse Bouse for the sum of ($ 83.00) Eighty three dollars and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 9.85		50
			1 00	5 95
			Cost	3 90
				9 85

Total Judgment $ 92.85

Done in open Court this 4th Dec 1894

H. Z. Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 533

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

West Publishing Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

N. C. Coldwell
Defendant

Action Judgment

Demand $58 70

W. J. Tinnin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	8	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	Paid.
1895 Oct	5	Summons issued.	50	
"	31	Summons returned ofiled served in this Towp. this day	25	
Nov	7	It appearing to the Court from the return of the office that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at and within this 3rd Township of Fresno County California on the 31st day October 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever in the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of W. J. Tinnin It is ordered that the default of the said defendant N. C. Coldwell be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said W. J. Tinnin It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered and It is here ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff West Publishing Company a corporation do have and recover of and from the defendant N. C. Coldwell the sum of $63.14 (Principal 58.70 Int. $4.44) and its costs herein taxed at $5.20	1 00	Costs 4 20 1 00 5 20
		Total Judgment $68.34		
		Done in open Court this 7th day of Nov. 1895.		
		A. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

No. 534

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank H Short — Plaintiff

vs.

John Pickle, Jennie Pickle Exs
Josephine Thompson — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $240 & Int

M B Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	10	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	17	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	25	Summons returned & filed served on John & Jennie Pickle	50	
1895 Feby	15	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants John Pickle & Jennie Pickle in the County of Fresno on the dates following viz: on John Pickle on the 13th day of October 1894 and on Jennie Pickle on the 25th day of October 1894 and it further appearing that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer or in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: ten days has fully expired Now on motion of M B Harris it is ordered that the default of the said defendants John Pickle Exs Jennie Pickle be and the same are hereby entered	1 20	
		On further motion of said M B Harris it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Frank H. Short and against the defendants John Pickle and Jennie Pickle for the sum of $274 77 (Prin $240 - Int $34 77) and for Costs herein taxed at $21 65	1 00	6 95
			Const	14 70
				21 65
		Total Judgment $296 42		
		Done in open Court this 15th day of Feby 1895		
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	15	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to M B. Harris	70	

No. 535

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank A Short — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Jas H Say — *Defendant*

Action Prom note

Demand $ 82 50

M B Harris — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	10	Cause entered Complaint filed	1 25	2 00
1895 Oct	2	Summons issued		

No. 536

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H L West — Plaintiff

vs.

John Long — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 37.60

Ges L. Hood — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	12	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	
"	12	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	12	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	17	Summons returned & filed served Oct 13. at 4th Township	50	
"	17	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	18	Defendant by Evarts & Ewing demurs to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	4 50

No. 537

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno County Abstract Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Chas Teague
Defendant

Action ejc

Demand $ 41—

W W Eden
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct 13	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 13	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 13	Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 538

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Haber — *Plaintiff*

vs.

F A Brewer — *Defendant*

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 18.00

F. M. Cluttenden — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

2.00 DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	17	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued & answer ordered Oct 20, 1894	1 50	
"	17	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	22	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of Defts Atty & Costs paid P. H. Austin Justice of the Peace	50	2.00 Pd

No. 539

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. M. Green — Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Cureton — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 15.00

M. B. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	17	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	17	Summons returned and filed personally served	50	
"	23	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 17th day of October 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared hereinby answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of M. B. Harris it is ordered that the default of the said defendant W. H. Cureton be and the same is hereby entered	25	
		On further motion of said M. B. Harris it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff L. M. Green and against the defendant W. H. Cureton for the sum of $ 15.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $. 6.20	1 00	4 20 Const 2 00 6 20
		Total Judgment $ 21.20		
		Done in open Court this 23rd Oct 1894		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

No. 540

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hip Tuck — *Plaintiff*

vs.

C. S. Cain — *Defendant*

Action a/c Stated

Demand $ 42.50

J. E. Ragsdale — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct 17	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	2 00

No. 541

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Paul J. T. Mayers — Plaintiff

vs.

Millm Mc Whorter, John S Dove and D F Fowler — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $80 & Int

Paul J T Mayer — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS. $85 on J C PJ Oct 22 & Jeff	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	18	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	18	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	18	Writ of attachment issued	50	

42

No. 542

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. S. Hermann — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Lazar Popovich and A Subotich — *Defendants*

Action Promi note

Demand $ 94 80 & Cost

H H Haling — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct 19	Cause entered Complaint filed and summon issued	1 25	2 00

No. 543

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. A. Events as Administrator of the estate of A. A. Balkenstein deceased — Plaintiff

vs.

W. G. Pook Van Baggen — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 188—

Events & Ewing — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	19	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25—	
"	19	Summons returned & filed "not found"	50	
"	19	Affidavit for order of publication of summons filed	25—	
"	19	Order of publication made & filed	50	
1895— Jany	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75—	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	9	Proof of publication and mailing summons filed	50	
"	11	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25—	
"	17	It appearing to the court from the affidavits of O. L. Events & Wm Glass on file herein and of the records and proceedings herein that the summons has been served by publication as the law directs and as directed in the order for the service of the same by publication and it further appearing that the service thereof was complete on the 26th day of December 1894, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: twenty days has fully expired, now on motion of Events & Ewing it is ordered that the default of the said W. G. Pook Van Baggen be and the same is hereby entered	95—	
		On further motion of said Events & Ewing it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G. A. Events as Administrator of the estate of A. A. Balkenstein deceased & against the defendant W. G. Pook Van Baggen for the sum of $ 188.00 & for costs of suit herein taxed at $32.95		
		Total Judgment $ 220.95		
		Done in open Court this 17th Jany 1895		
		A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	17	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25—	
Feby	14	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

1.00 7 95
Publication 20 00
Constable 4 & 6 00
32 95

44

No. 544

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M Koundjian — Plaintiff

vs.

H A Williams & William M. Brison, Henry Williams Special partners, Partners under the firm name of Williams Brown & Co, and Williams Brown & Co — Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 64.50

G C Freman — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Pltff pfs 50c		
Oct	20	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
Nov	16	Defendants all by L L Coy & L. W. Moultrie demer orally to the complaint and say that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
1895 Feby	1	On Motion of plaintiff trial set for Feby 2. 1895 at 9 a m	50	
"	2	By Consent trial continued without day	50	5 00
May	10	By Consent trial of this cause set for this day at 8 P. M		
"	18	At the time set all parties are present in Court & the trial proceeds, M Koundjian, A Avakian & M Nishkian are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and N.G. Kittle is sworn and testifies for defendants. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		
"	22	The Court this day finds for defendants for costs Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendants Williams Brown & Co and against the plaintiff M. Koundjian for the costs of this action taxed at $		

Done in open Court this 22nd May 1895

H Z Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 545

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. B. Jeffries — Plaintiff

vs.

Annie E. Hughes et al
Thomas E. Hughes — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $ 295.00

W. P. Thompson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct 23	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
" 27	Defendants by L L Coy & Lee Moultrie answer orally denying each & every allegation in the complaint		25
" 27	On motion of defts trial of this cause set for ~~Oct~~ Nov 2, 1894 at 10 a m		50
" 27	Subpoena for witnesses issued for Defendants		5 00
Nov 2			

No. 546

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Harvey — Plaintiff

vs.

Albert Albrecht — Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 26—

M B Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Events & Ewing — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	24	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	24	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	24	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	26	Defendant appears personally and on his motion he is given ten days from this date within which to plead	75	
Nov	3	Defendant by Events & Ewing files written demurrer	25	
"	13	On motion of plaintiff hearing above demurrer set for Nov 14 1894 at 2 P. M.	50	
"	14	Notice of above order filed	25	
"	14	Demurrer submitted overruled & 5 days to answer	75	
"	19	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	20	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Nov. 27, 1894 at 2 P. M and notice waived by Events	50	
"	24	By consent trial continued to Nov 30. 1894 at 2. P. M.	50	
"	30	By consent trial continued to Dec 4. 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
Dec	4	Summons & Attachment returned & filed	50	
"	4	at the time & all parties are present and the trial proceeds Wm Harvey is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and Albert Albrecht is sworn and testifies for defendant. The matter is argued and taken under advisement.	1 75	
"	8	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $ 26 30/100 (Principal $ 26.00 Interest $ 30/100)		
"	8	Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff William Harvey and against the defendant Albert Albrecht for the sum of $ 26 30/100 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 14 75/100	25	
			1 00 Const	10 25 & 50 14 75
		Total judgment $ 41 05/100		
		Done in open Court this 8th Dec 1894		
		H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace	10 25 75	
Dec	11	Execution issued & delivered to M B Harris	1 25	
" 1895	19	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
Jany	10	Affidavit & order supplementary proceedings made for 2/9/95 at 11 A M	50	12 75
Feby	9	Defendant in Court & affidavit & order returned & filed and defendant sworn and examined and he is ordered to deposit in Court w	To p 948	

No. 547.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Harvard Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

B W Doyle — Defendant

Action Claim & Del & Rent

Demand $ 100 — & chair —

John C Deuel — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct 24	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	
" 25	Summons returned & filed Served	50	
Nov 1	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the Summons attached to a true copy of the Complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Third Township on the 25th day of October 1894, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer or in any manner whatsoever and the legal time thereto (to wit, five days) has fully expired, and now on motion of John C Deuel It is ordered that the default of the said defendant B W Doyle be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
	John C. Deuel is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff from which testimony it appears that all the allegations of the Complaint are true and the Court orders judgment as therein prayed for	75	
	Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Harvard Company a corporation and against the defendant B W Doyle 1st For the restoration to plaintiff by defendant of One Harvard Dental Chair, 2nd One Dental Case Cabinet, 2nd For the sum of one hundred dollars as liquidated damages for the use of said property as provided for in the Contract set out with Complaint and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6 45	100 Const 2 00	4 VJ 6 VJ
	Total money judgment $ 106 45		
	Done in open Court this 1st Nov 1894		
	H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Nov 1	It appearing to the Court that the defendant had on the 30th day of October 1894 appeared herein by demurrer filed with the Court although not	See pg 60	

No. 548

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. B. Choice — Plaintiff

vs.

O. F. Peck — Defendant

Action From note

Demand $17.50

H B Choice — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	27	Cause entered complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	1 00
"	29	Defendant appears personally and waives service of summons and is given the statutory time of five days within which to plead	50	
Nov	1	Defendant personally answers and denies each and every allegation in the complaint and alleges that the cause of action stated in the complaint is barred by the provisions of section 337 of the Code of Civil procedure of this State	25	
		Harney vs Albrecht } From pg 46	12 75	
		certain watch & chain which he admits having delivered to O L. Events after the service of the affidavit & order herein	75	
April	12	Order Examination Sup to Execution made & filed & defendant in Court and examined and by the Court ordered to deliver to Constable one watch & chain to be applied toward the satisfaction of the judgment herein	2 00	
Sept	7	Execution for fees issued to Justice & Constable	15 50	
Nov 1897	6	Executions returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
Nov	6	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day by order of plaintiff personally and his Atty M B Harris		

No. 549

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. P. Timmins — Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Wood — Defendant

Action a/c Rent

Demand $ 47 25

L. P. Timmins — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 V	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct. 27	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
" 27	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 27	Writ of attachment issued	50	3 50

50

No.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Haward Company — Plaintiff

vs.

B W Doyle — Defendant

Action From pg 47.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		filed, the Court of its own motion orders that the default heretofore entered and all subsequent proceedings be set aside.	6 65	
				50
Nov	3	Written demurrer filed nunc pro tunc as of Oct 30'94		25
"	7	On motion of plaintiff hearing of above demurrer set for this day at 1:30 P.M		50
"	7	Demurrer argued submitted overruled & 2 days to answer		75
"	10	It appearing to the Court that the defendant has not answered herein as directed by the Court, and now on motion of John C. Deuel It is ordered that the default of the said defendant B.W. Doyle be and the same is hereby entered for not answering after demurrer overruled +		75
		On further motion of said John C. Deuel It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff the Haward Company a corporation and against the defendant B.W. Doyle		50
		1st For the restoration to plaintiff by the defendant of one Haward Dental Chair and one dental case Cabinet		
		2nd For the sum of one hundred dollars as liquidated damages for the use of said property as provided for in the contract set forth in the Complaint and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 9 70	Cost	9 70
		Total Judgment $ 10 9 70		
		Done in open Court this 10th Nov 1894		
		H. G. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	14	Execution issued & delivered to Deuel		1 25
Dec	15	Execution returned filed partly satisfied		

Justices fees 85-00 paid by Deuel March 21, 1895

No. 5571

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wong Tung Goon — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Jennie Cook — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 13 15/100

B.G. Winter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	30	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	30	Summons returned & filed served	50	
Nov	7	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 30th day of October 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever as at all, and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of B.G. Winter It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Mrs Jennie Cook be and the same is hereby entered	PJ	3 00
			95	
		On further motion of said B.G. Winter It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Wong Tung Goon and against the defendant Mrs Jennie Cook for the sum of $13 15/100 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6 20/100	1 00	4 20 Const 2 00 — 6 20
		Total judgment $19 35/100		
		Done in open Court this 7th day of Nov 1894		
		A.z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	14	Execution issued & delivered to W Shaw Const	1 25	

52

No. 552

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Clarence M. Smith — Plaintiff

vs.

John H Cadwallader — Defendant

Action Promote

Demand $ 113.15

S Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	31	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
Nov	19	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	30	Defendant by H H Welsh demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & says		
1895		It does not State facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
Mch	19	Substitution of O Scribner as plaintiffs Attorney filed in due form	25	2 00
July	10	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of O Scribner & costs paid		

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace.

No. 553

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Mori — Plaintiff

vs.

J. Fries 2nd — Pers whose initial or christian name is to plaintiff unknown — Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 48.50

John C Deuel — Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo L Wallace — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov	8	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	13	Summons returned filed showing personal service both	50	
"	17	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney & costs pd		1 50

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

54

No. 554

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A T Stevens — Plaintiff

vs.

S O Zener — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 17.50

A T Stevens — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	8	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	14	Defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
Dec	19	Now comes plaintiff and moves the court for leave to file amended complaint, Leave granted and amended complaint filed showing personal service on defendant and two days given within which to demur or answer from service	75	
"	29	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the amended complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 19th day of December 1894, and that the said defendant has not demurred or answered to the same and the time given within which to demur or answer herein to wit: Two days has fully expired now on motion of A T Stevens It is ordered that the default of the said defendant S.O. Zener be and the same is hereby entered	98	
			95	
		On further motion of A T Stevens It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A T Stevens and against the defendant S.O. Zener for the sum of $17.50 and for costs herein taxed at $6.70	100	4 70
			Const	2 00
				6 70
		Total judgment $24.20		
		Done in open Court this 29th Dec 1894		
		H.Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
1895 Feb	12	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to plff	75	

No. 555

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A T Stevens — Plaintiff

vs.

A G Phipps — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 20 00

A. T. Stevens — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.
Nov	8	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1	25	
"	8	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1	75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued		50	
"	28	Summons returned filed served in Merced Co. 11/24/94		50	
Dec	1	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff & costs paid			5 25

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 556

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. B. Johnson — Plaintiff

vs.

J. R. Ellis — Defendant

Action From note

Demand $100.00

D Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov 9	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 9	Writ of attachment issued	50	3 50

No. 637

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B G Green — Plaintiff

vs.

Amos Abrams — Defendant

Action On Contract

Demand $55.00

Geo W. Jones — Attorney for Plaintiff

J E & Edwards — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	12	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 20	
"	15	Summons returned & filed served		50
"	15	Defendant by J E & Edwards files written answer		25
"	15	On motion of defendant trial set for Nov 19. 1894 at 10 a m		50
"	15	Notice of above order filed served		25
"	19	at the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds B G Green & Lucie Green are sworn and testify in plaintiffs behalf & Amos Abrams Peter Ginley and Mrs A Abrams are sworn and testify for defendant, The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	1 75	
"	20	The Court this day finds for Defendant Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Amos Abrams and against the plaintiff B G Green for the sum of $8.95 being the costs of this action		25
			100	5 75 3 20 8 95

Total Judgment $8.95

Done in open Court this 20th Nov 1894

A. J. Austin Justice of the Peace

58

No. 558

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. B. Johnson — *Plaintiff*

vs.

F. E. Browne — *Defendant*

Action Prom note

Demand $40- Int & attys fees

B Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov 12	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 12	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 13	Summons returned & filed served	50	
" 13	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
" 23	Defendant by A. C. Williams answers wally denying each and every allegation in the complaint and files certified copy of adjudication of insolvency	50	4 75

No. 559

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs C J Craycroft Ind C. J. Craycroft her husband — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Briggs — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 36 oo

Geo A. Monroe — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov	12	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	12	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 25	
"	12	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	12	Summons returned & filed personally served	50	
"	12	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	6	The above action is this day dismissed & costs paid		5 50

Az Austin
Justice of the Peace

60

No. 560

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B F Kile — *Plaintiff*

vs.

National Spectator Publishing Co of Fresno, a corporation — *Defendant*

Action Money Loaned

Demand $ 125 00

Coerts & Ewing — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

E S Van Meter — *Attorney for Defendant*

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	13	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	13	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	17	Written answer filed by E S Van Meter for deft	25	
"		Summons returned & filed	50	
"		Writ of attachment returned & filed	25	
"	24	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Nov 27, 1894 at 2 P M	50	
"	26	Notice of above order filed served	25	
"	27	On motion of defendant trial continued to Nov 30. 1894 at 10 A M	50	
"	30	By consent trial continued to Dec 7. 1894 at 10 A M	50	
Dec	6	Summons returned & filed served	25	
"	6	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	7	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. Agreed that articles of Incorporation of deft. be considered read in evidence. B. F. Kile and T R. Foster are sworn and testify for Plaintiff and D T Fowler is sworn and testifies for defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and taken under advisement	5 25	
"	27	The Court this day finds for Plaintiff for $100 00 Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff B F Kile and against the defendant National Spectator Publishing Co. of Fresno, a corporation for the Sum of $100 00 and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $71 75	25	
			100.	13 25
			Const	56 30
			Writ	2 20
				71 75
		Total Judgment $ 171 75		
		Done in open Court this 27th Dec 1894		
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
1895				
Jany	2	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
"	8	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

No. 561

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fong Shue — Plaintiff

vs.

J A Pollard — Defendant

Action Labor & Money Loaned

Demand $21.04

W. P. Thompson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	13	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	13	affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec	8	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	8	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	15	Defendant by R. A King files written answer with leave to defendant to file cross complaint making Quong Wo & Co & Tong Shue defendants before Dec 20, 1894	50	
"	19	on motion of defendant time to file cross complaint extended 5 days	50	
"	19	Order done filed	25	5 50

62

No. 562

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bank of Central California
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Ferguson & J B Campbell
Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $175 Int - Atty fee

Geo A. Nourse
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov	17	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	17	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	1 75	
"	17	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	17	The above case is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney & costs paid H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace	5 50	

No. 663

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno National Bank
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

P. A. Kanawyer, J. J. Kanawyer
and N. J. Hutchinson
Defendant

Action Prom. note

Demand $ 50²⁰ Int & Attys fees

F. H. Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov 19	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
Dec 13	Defendants by Coy & Moultrie file Written demurrer	25	2 00
1895 May 27	Summons returned & filed Served		

64

No. 564

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ben Akizawa — Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Hotchkiss & L. E. Karr — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 141 37

F. H. Short — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	19	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
"	26	Defendant Karr appears personally and demurs orally to the Com- plaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		25
"	26	Defendant Hotchkiss by A. E. Snow demurs to the complaint and says It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		25
"	30	Summons returned & filed served		25
Dec	15	On motion of plaintiff hearing of demurrer set for Dec 17, 1894 at 9 a.m.		50
"	17	Demurrer argued submitted & overruled & 1 day to answer		75
"	17	Defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		25
"	26	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Dec 31, 1894 at 2 P. M.		50
"	31	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. Ben Akizawa & F. H. Short are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and W. G. Allen, and H. Hotchkiss are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants, & Jas Honda & plaintiff are sworn and testify for plaintiff in rebuttal & Harry Fuller and H. Hotchkiss are sworn and testify for defendant in rebuttal. The matter is argued & submitted & taken under advisement.		2 00
1895 Jany	4	The Court this day finds for defendants Wherefore Judgment is here rendered & entered in favor of the defendants Harry Hotchkiss & L. E. Karr and against the plaintiff Ben Akizawa for the costs of this action taxed at $ 16.85 Sty Austin Justice of the Peace	1 00	25 7 25 Constable 4 00 Witness 5 60 16 85
"	5	Cost bill filed by defendants		25

No. 565

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ah Pen — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spinney & Elizabeth F Spinney his wife — Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 2.50

B.G. Winter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	19	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	22	The Summons returned filed personally served	50	
"	22	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff & costs paid		2 50

H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace

66

No. 566

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Iver Hansen — Plaintiff

vs.

Porter Brothers Company a corporation and J. P. Johnson — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 157.80

F. H. Short — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	21	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	24	J. P. Johnson personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.	50	
"	28	Defendant Porter Bros Co by W. J. Trimm files written demurrer	20	
Dec	7	Defendant files affidavit and notice & motion for commission to take testimony out of State to be heard Dec 12, 94 at 2 P. M	50	
"	12	above motion submitted granted and commission issued	1 00	
"	15	Summons returned & filed served	50	
1895 Jany	28	Commission and depositions returned and filed	25	4 55
June	12	Hearing on demurrer set for June 14, 1895 at 9 A. M.	50	
	12	Notice & above order filed	25	
"	14	Demurrer submitted overruled & defendants Porter Brothers answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.	75	
1896 May	21	On motion & plaintiff trial set for May 27. 1896 at 10 A M	50	
"	27	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds F. H. Short, J. P. Hansen are sworn and testify for plaintiff and further hearing herein continued to June 3. 1896 at 10. A M.	50	
June	3	At the time set Chas Teague, J. P. Johnston, W. R. Johnston Frank Johnston Ed. Johnston Uriah Pugh & Geo Johnston are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and documentary evidence introduced, and defendant Porter Bros & Co read in evidence the depositions heretofore filed herein The matter is submitted and taken under advisement	2 00	
Sept	11	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $ 154.00 Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered and it is here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff Iver Hansen do have and recover of and from the defendants Porter Brothers Company a corporation and J. P. Johnson the sum of $ 154.00 together with his costs herein taxed at $ 11.75 Total Judgment $ 165.75 Done in open Court this 11th day of Sept 1896 H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace	1 00	9 75 2 00 11 75

This Judgment is fully satisfied Oct 19th 1896 [illegible] Dep

No. 567

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

F. P. Wickersham, W. H. Rawlins and Saml Waldenberg — Defendant s

Action Prom note

Demand $ 200 Int & attys fee

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	22	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
Dec	1	Summons returned and filed personally served on F. P. Wickersham and Saml Waldenberg 11/23/94	50	
"	1	It appearing to the Court from the verified return attached to the summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendants F. P. Wickersham and Saml Waldenberg, at this 3rd Township County and State aforesaid on the 23rd day of Nov 1894 and that the said defendants nor either has appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the default of the said defendants F. P. Wickersham be and the same is hereby entered accordingly to law	1 20	Costs chgd to pltff.
		On further motion of said Horace Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation and against the defendants F. P. Wickersham and Saml Waldenberg for the sum of $262.41 (Principal $200- Interest $12.41 attys fee $50.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.95	1 00 Court	4 45 / 4 50 / 8 95
		Total Judgment $271.36		
		Done in open Court this 1st Day of Dec 1894		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Dec	5	Execution issued & delivered to Horace Hawes	1 25	
"	15	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

Justices fees paid by plaintiff FEB 1 1895

No. 568

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. R. Prince — Plaintiff

vs.

Ida Mc Neil formerly Ida Tillon — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 10—

Dante R Prince — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov 24	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 26	Summons returned & filed personally served	50	
Dec 4	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 26th day of Nov. 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of Dante R. Prince It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Ida Mc Neil formerly Ida Tillon be and the same is hereby entered	95—	
	On further motion of said Prince It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff D. R. Prince and against the defendant Ida Mc Neil formerly Ida Tillon for the sum of ten dollars and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $6 20	1 00 Const	4 20 2 00 6 20
	Total judgment $16 20		
	Done in open Court this 4th Dec 1894		
	A. Z. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
Dec 4	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25—	
" 6	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
" 13	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day		

D. R. Prince

No. 569

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. A Blasingame — Plaintiff

vs.

Ola Larson — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $100—

W. P. Thompson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo B Graham — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS. F J Jan 10. 1895 — 10 25	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov 27	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25 —	
Dec 3.	Defendant by Geo B Graham demurs orally to the complaint and says. It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25 —	
" 12	Demurrer set for hearing this day at 10 a m Defts Atty waives notice	50	
" 12	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	75 —	
" 12	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25 —	
" 12	On motion of Deft. trial set for Dec 22. 1894 at 8 a m	50	
" 12	Subpoena for 1 witnesses issued for Defendant	25 —	
" 22	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds L A Blasingame, W A Blasingame, Peter Martinez, Wm Myers are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and Ola Larson, is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and Bass Ely and Geo Davis are sworn and testify for plaintiff in rebuttal The case is closed and Dec 27, 1894 at 2 P.M is set for argument of counsel.	3 00	
" 27	Cause argued submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	25 —	
1895 Jany 5	The court this day finds for defendant for costs	25 —	
	Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Ola Larson & against the plaintiff L. A Blasingame for the costs of this action taxed at $10 25 —	100	8 25 —
		Const	2 00
			10 25 —

Done in open Court this 5th Jany 1895—

H Z Austin

Justice of the Peace

70

No. 570

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mark Walser — Plaintiff

vs.

Herman Weimann — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 187.00

Edgar S. Van Meter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS. Aug 9. 95 J.C. pd by pltff.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.	
Nov	27	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1	25		
"	27	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1	75		
"	27	Writ of attachment issued		50		
"	28	Summons returned & filed personally served		50		
"	28	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied		25		
Dec	3	Defendant by W. J. Timmin files written demurrer		25		
"	4	On motion of plaintiff hearing of above demurrer set for Dec 4, 189x at 2 P.M.		50		
"	4	Notice of above order filed & served		25		
"	4	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once		75		
"	4	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		25		
"	10	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Dec 13. 189x at 10 A M		50		
"	13	Subpoena for one witness issued for plaintiff		25		
"	13	Subpoena returned & filed served		25		
"	13	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds Mark Walser, J E T Edwards, Geo W. Jones, N H Halaling and J H Daly are sworn and testify for plaintiff and the defendant and Annie Weimann are sworn and testify for defendant The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $187.00		3 25		
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and ordered in favor of the plaintiff Mark Walser and against the defendant Herman Weimann for the sum of $187.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 25.60				
		Total Judgment $ 212.60		1 00		11 50
		Done in open Court this 13th day of Dec 189x		Constable		5 30
		A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		Witness		8 80
						25 60
	13	Memorandum of costs verified & filed		50		
1895 Feby	7	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw		1 25		
Mch	2	Affidavit & order for Examination of garnishee made & filed served		75		
"	7	Parties in Court pursuant to notice & witnesses sworn & examined and further proceedings continued to Mch 8, 1895 at 2 P. M. at the request of Jay Scott		1 75		

To Aug 71.

Apr 7. 1895 Execution Returned
June 7. 1895 " "
Aug 7. 1895 " "
Sept 9. 1895 " Returned & filed & fully satisfied

Aug 8. 1894 this judgment assigned to S.B. Goodman & filed

No. 571

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George C. Roeding — Plaintiff

vs.

Holmes Hakes — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $53.00 Int. 8.25 Atty fee

G C Freeman — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		Justices costs for S. PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	27	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	27	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	27	Writ of attachment issued	50	3 50
		Walser vs Weimann } From pg 78	Cost 90 4 35	
Mch	8	At the time set parties are in Court, W D Tupper as Attorney for Jay Scott, who asks leave to file an answer to the affidavit in Supplemental proceedings leave is refused & defendant excepts, and W D Grady is sworn and testifies herein and documentary evidence introduced and by agreement argument set for Mch 9. 95 at 2 P. M	2 00	
"	9	By consent argument continued to Mch 11, 1895 at 2 P. M	50	
"	11	By consent argument continued to Mch 14, 1895 at 10 A M	50	
"	14	Matter submitted and it appearing to the Court from the testimony in this matter that Jay Scott the garnishee in this proceeding, at the time of the service of the garnishment on him in this action was indebted to Hermann Weimann the judgment debtor herein in the sum of $250 - or thereabouts, it is here ordered that Jay Scott said garnishee pay into this Court the sum of $223.00 being the amount of the judgment in this action and costs to date	25	
"	18	Notice of appeal filed by Jay Scott	25	
"	18	Undertaking on appeal filed by Jay Scott	75	
"	18	Transcript of papers on appeal	1 50	10 20

Mch 20 Costs on appeal from order made by Alexander Goodman $10.00

72

No. 572

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mary J. Blasingame — Plaintiff

vs.

W.M. Hanell, R.G. Hanell, W.H. Tucker, B.M. Hague and J.B. Rader — Defendants

Action Prm note

Demand $270 Int & 825 = atty fee

Sayle & Coldwell — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov 28	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
Dec 3	Summons returned filed served on all defts at 3rd Twp 12/3/94	V.D.	
" 15	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants and all of them at this 3rd Township on the 3rd day of Dec. 1894 and that the said defendants nor either of them appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of C. G. Sayle Esq it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants W.M. Hanell, R.G. Hanell, W.H. Tucker, B.M. Hague, and J.B. Rader be and the same are hereby entered according to law	1 95	
	On further motion of C.G. Sayle Esq it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	60	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Mary J. Blasingame and against the defendants W.M. Hanell, R.G. Hanell, W.H. Tucker, B.M. Hague and J.B. Rader for the sum of $344.32 {Prin $270.00 Interest $49.32 attorneys fees $25.00} and for costs of suit herein taxed at $15.20	1 00	5 20 Const 10 00 15 20
	Total judgment $359.52		
	Done in open Court this 15th day of Dec 1894		
	H.Z. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
1897 May 1	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Jeff		

Sept 26. Justices fees for Satisfaction '97

No. 573

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno County abstract Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

W. F Aram
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 47.75

W W Eden
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	30	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	30	affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued		50
1895 Jany	8	Summons returned & filed served 1/2/95. in Alameda Co		50
"	8	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied		25
"	25	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff of the County of Alameda this State that a copy of the summons herein to which was attached a copy of the Clerks Certificate of Fresno County this State, attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the said defendant at the County of Alameda State of California on the 2nd day of Jany 1895 and it further appearing that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: twenty days has fully expired now on motion of W W Eden it is ordered that the default of the said defendant W. F Aram be and the same is hereby entered		95
		On further motion of said W. W. Eden it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Fresno County Abstract Company a corporation and against the defendant W. F Aram for the sum of $47.75 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $28.30	100	6 70 amst 21 60 = 28 30
		Total Judgment $76.05		
		Done in open Court this 25th Jany 1895		
		A. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Sept	4	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw		1 25
Oct	16	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

74

No. 574

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Victor Lindberg — Plaintiff

vs.

Augusta M. Rogers — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 175.70

S. Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	30	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	
"	30	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec	19	Summons returned & filed served in this Township 12/18/94	50	
"	19	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	20	
1895 Jany	22	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney & payment of costs. A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		6 50

No. 575

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N C. Hansen and A Bang Co-partners under the name and style of Hansen & Bang — Plaintiff

vs.

Mary F. Bedford and J. S. Bedford — Defendant

Action at

Demand $ 105.41

S Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	30	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	30	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec	4	Summons returned filed served	50	
"	4	Writ of attachment returned filed levied	25	5 00
"	4	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed & costs paid		

H Z Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 576

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo Schorling — Plaintiff

vs.

H. Oppenheimer — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 25.00

Geo Schorling
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov 30	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
" 30	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed	1 70	
" 30	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 30	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff & costs paid		5 00

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 577.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. M. Smith — *Plaintiff*

vs.

F. J. Stone — *Defendant*

Action Prom note

Demand $ 35 Int & attys fee

Coerts & Ewing — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov 30	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	2 00
1895— May 4	Summons issued		

78

No. 578

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wong Ho — Plaintiff

vs.

T. F. Murphy and Mrs T. F. Murphy — Defendants

Action 2 a/c:

Demand $ 87.50

Thompson & Prince — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	3	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	3	Affidavit & Ud undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	3	Writ of attachment issued		50
Dec	19	Defendants by Stanton L. Carter files written demurrer		25
"	20	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Dec 29/89 at 10:00 m		50
"	22	Notice of above order filed served		25
"	29	Demurrer submitted overruled & 2 days to answer		75
"	31	Defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		25 — 5 50

No. 579

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Rebecca A Rawlins — Plaintiff

vs.

John Crawford W.D. Crichton and S.W. Henry — Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $130 Int & attys fees

S Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	4	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	4	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 00	
"	4	Writ of attachment issued	50	
	20	Summons returned & filed served on all defendants	50	
1895 Jany	2	Writ of attachment returned & filed not levied	25	
"	2	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendants viz: on John Crawford on Dec 18, 1894, on W.D. Crichton on Dec 20 1894 and on S.W. Henry on Dec 20, 1894, all at this 3rd Township, and that the said defendants nor either of them have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of S. Jackson, It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants John Crawford, W.D. Crichton and S.W. Henry be and the same are hereby entered	1 40	
		On further motion of said Jackson It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Rebecca A Rawlins and against the defendants John Crawford, W. D Crichton and S.W. Henry for the sum of $173.93 {Principal $130 — Interest $13.93 Attys fee $30} and for costs of suit herein taxed at $12.70	1 00	7 20
			Court.	5 50
				12 70
		Total judgment $186.63		
		Done in open Court this 5th Jany 1895		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Jan	2	Above judgment credited with $10 a/c of interest		
June	11	Execution issued and delivered to Sheriff	1 25	
Sept	14	Above judgment Credited with $130.00 & S to Court.		

No. 580

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. A. Pollard — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Juan Camino — *Defendant*

Action Damages

Demand $ 50

Thompson & Prince — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec 1895	4	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	
Jany	22	Defendant in person files written answer	25	
"	22	Summons returned & filed served	25	
Feby	26	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorneys A. Austin Justice of the Peace	2 50	

No. 581

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hu Maxwell — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Fuller Tufts — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 80 50

F H Short — Attorney for Plaintiff

A H Welch — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	7	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Defendant by Stanton & Carter files written demurrer		25
"	10	Defendant files written answer		25
"	10	Defendant files demand for bill of items served		25
"	21	Bill of Items filed by plaintiff		25
"	31	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for Jany 2 1895 at 2 P. M		50
1895 Jan	2	On motion of defendant trial continued to Jany 5. 1895 at 2 P. M		50
"	5	On motion of defendant trial continued to Jany 7. 1895 at 1-30 P. M		50
"	7	at the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, Hu Maxwell & F H Short are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. The defendant offers no testimony and the cause is submitted and the Court orders judgment to plaintiff as prayed for	1 25	
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Hu Maxwell and against the defendant Wm Fuller Tufts for the sum of $80 50 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $10 20 by consent of counsel	100	6 00
			Const	2 00
			Witness	2 20
				10 20
		Total Judgment $90 70		
		Done in open Court this 7th Jany 1895		
		A Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace	6 00	
Jan	7	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Hu Maxwell		70
"	17	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant		75
"	17	Notice of appeal filed by Defendant		25
"	17	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	9 75

Jan 17, 1895 — Justices costs paid by Maxwell

82

No. 582

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

A. M. Drew Abbie E. Drew and J T Shanklin — Defendants

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 100- Int & atty fee

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

A M Drew — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	7	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	13	A M Drew for himself & Abbie E Drew files written demurrer	25	
"	20	On motion of plaintiff hearing on above demurrer set for Dec 22. 1894 at 930 A M	50	
"	22	Notice of above order filed served	25	
"	22	demurrer submitted overruled		
"	22	It appearing to the Court that the demurrer of the defendants A M Drew and Abbie E Drew, has been overruled and that they have failed to answer at once upon the overruling of their demurrer herein and it further appearing that J T Shanklin was personally served with a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the Complaint herein at this township on the 8th day of Dec 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of Horace Howes it is ordered that the defaults of the said A M. Drew, Abbie E. Drew and J T Shanklin be and the same are hereby entered	1 40	
"	22	On further motion of said Horace Howes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff the Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation and against the defendants A. M. Drew Abbie E. Drew and J T Shanklin for the sum of $ 171 76 (Principal $100- Interest $21 76 Atty fee $50 00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 8 54	100	5- X 5- Const 3 00 8 54
		Total judgment $179 80		
		Done in open Court this 29th Dec 1894		
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		
Dec	29	Abstract of judgment delivered to H Hawes	70	

Justices fees paid by plaintiff

FEB 1 1895

No. 583

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Exposition Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

S. Jackson — Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 9999

Stanton L. Coates — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	8	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	12	Defendant by L. L. Cory appearing specially thereto files motion to quash summons & service thereof to be heard Dec 19, 1894 at 10 AM	25	
"	13	Summons returned & filed served	25	2 00
"	19	By consent above motion continued without day	50	
1895 May	9	Dismissal filed by plaintiff	25	
"	9	On motion of plaintiffs Attorney the above action is this day dismissed costs chgd to plaintiff	1 00	3 50

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace.

84

No. 584

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jung Sing — Plaintiff

vs.

S. K. Lemon and Mrs S K Lemon his wife — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $30 85

W P Thompson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	8	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	14	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	14	It appearing to the Court from the verified return annexed to the summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant Mrs S K Lemon at this Township on the 8th day of Dec 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired, now on motion of W. P. Thompson It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Mrs S K Lemon be and the same is hereby entered		75
"	20	It appearing to the Court from the verified return annexed to the summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant S K Lemon at this Township on the 14th day of Dec 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of W. P. Thompson It is ordered that the default of the said defendant S K Lemon be and the same is hereby entered		75
		On further motion of said Thompson is is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jung Sing and against the defendants S K Lemon and Mrs S K Lemon, his wife, for the sum of $30 85 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8 15	100	5 15
			Serving	3 00
				8 15
		Total Judgment $39 00		
		Done in open Court this 20th day of Dec 1894		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Jan	25	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1 25	
Feby	26	Execution returned & filed fully satisfied		

No. 585

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Marderas Kenyomdjian M Nishkian and O. Nishan — Plaintiff

vs.

A L. Hobbs and S S Parsons — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 39 25

John C Deuel — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	15	Defendants by Stanton L. Catin file written demurrer	25	
"	22	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Dec 29, 1894 at 10 a m	50	
"	29	Demurrer submitted overruled and 2 days given to answer	75	
"	31	Defendants answer denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	31	The plaintiffs attorney of his own motion hereby enters a dismissal herein as to the defendant O. Nishan	50	
1895 Jan	2	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Jany 3, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	3	On motion of defts trial continued to Jany 7, 1895 at 9 a m and pltf Nishan instructed to be present	50	
"	7	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds J H Nishan is sworn as interpreter and M Kenyomdjian M Nishkian and J H Nishan are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and A L. Hobbs is sworn and testifies for Defendants. The matter is argued & submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	2 75	
Feby	1	The Court this day finds for Defendants Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the Defendants A. L. Hobbs and S.S. Parsons and against the plaintiffs Marderas Kenyomdjian and M Nishkian for the Costs of this action taxed at $13 00 Total Judgment $ 13 00 Done in open Court this 1st Feby 1895 H Z Austin Justice of the Peace	25 1 00 Court	9 00 4 00 13 00

86

No. 586

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J G Mori — Plaintiff

vs.

Charles A Wood and J T Zeigler — Defendant s

Action a/c

Demand $ 13 98

John C Denel — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued		25 —
"	18	Defendant Zeigler answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		25 —
Jany	30	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feb 2 1895 at 10 a m		50
Feb	2	By consent trial continued to Feb 7. 1895 at 10 a m		50
"	7	Defendant Charles A Wood answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		25 —
"	7	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, J. G. Mori & Saki and J. M. Barclay are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and Wm Hisley Chas A Wood and J T Zeigler are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants; the matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff and against the defendant Charles A Wood alone for the sum of $9.00 and for costs of suit herein		
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. G. Mori and against the defendant Charles A. Wood alone for the sum of $9.00 and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $ 13 15	15 —	
			100 Const	J 25 3 10 4 80 13 15 —

Total judgment $22 15

Done in open Court this 7th Feby 1895 —

H Z Austin

Justice of the Peace.

No. 587

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jeff. Moore
Plaintiff

vs.

A. E. Cannon. Wakefield Co-partners as Cannon & Wakefield
Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ 125.00

Mark Walser
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Van Meter
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	8	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	8	Summons returned filed showing service	50	
"	13	Defendant by E. S. Van Meter files written demurrer	25	
"	13	Plaintiff and defendant in open Court stipulate that the property sued for herein be delivered to the plaintiff upon payment of costs herein and that the bond of plaintiff given be released both parties hereby waiving damages herein and this action to be dismissed Mark Walser Atty for Pltff. E. Van Meter Atty for Defts		
"	14	The above action is this day dismissed on the above stipulation Az Austin Justice of the Peace		

88

No. 588

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

David Ash — Plaintiff

vs.

Kutner Goldstein Company a corporation — Defendant

Action Conversion

Demand $224 & Int

F H Short — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	8	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	15	Defendant by H H Welch demurs orally and says. It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		25
1895 Jan	12	Counsel in Court and above demurrer argued submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		50
Feb	9	Above demurrer overruled & 2 days to answer		50
"	11	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		25
"	11	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feby 26. 1895 at 10 a m		50
"	11	Subpoena for 1 Witness issued for plaintiff		25
"	18	above subpoena returned filed served		25
"	26	On motion of defendant trial continued to Mch 6. 1895 at 10 a m		50
Mch	6	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds E. Mason Chas M Glazier and F H Short are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and Alex Goldstein and D Guggenheim are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		775 12.00
May	8	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $224.00 & Interest at 7% for 1 yr 6. 1893 Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff David Ash and against the defendant Kutner Goldstein Company a corporation for the sum of $250.70 (Damages 224.00 Interest $26.70) & for costs taxed at. $24.10		1 00 13 00
		Total Judgment $274.80		Const 2 30
		Done in open Court this 6th day of May 1895		Witnes 8 80
		H. J. Austin		24 10
		Justice of the Peace		
May	10	Cost bill filed by plaintiff		
"	27	Notice of appeal filed by defendant		
"	27	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant.		

No. 589

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. P. Thompson — *Plaintiff*

vs.

William Fuller Tufts — *Defendant*

Action Replevin

Demand $ 150 —

L. L Cory — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	10	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	12.50	
"	12	Summons returned filed served	50	
"	17	Dfendant by H H Welsh demurs walk and says the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25 —	2 00

No. 590

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo A. Smith — Plaintiff

vs.

A A. Brown — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 20 75

Geo A Smith — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	10	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"1895 Jany	9	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	9	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	16	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 9th day of Jany 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired; now on motion of the plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the said defendant A A Brown be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered & entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo A. Smith and against the defendant A A Brown for the sum of $20 75 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $11 20	1 00 Const	6 70 4 50 11 20
		Total judgment $31 95		
		Done in open Court this 16th Jany 1895		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

No. 591

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. D. Green — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Jas E. Lee — *Defendant*

Action a/c

Demand $ 17.25

J. E. Doolittle — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec 11	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 11	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 12	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs atty & costs paid H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		5 00

No. 592

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Weaver — Plaintiff

vs.

F. E. Langley — Defendant

Action q/c

Demand $ 28 95

Wm Weaver — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	11	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	11	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	27	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff & payment of costs A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		2 50

No. 593

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John C. Nourse — Plaintiff

vs.

F Clark — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $50 25

Geo A. Nourse — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	12	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	12	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	12	Writ of attachment issued		50
1895 Jan	3	Summons returned & filed Served		50
"	3	Writ of attachment returned and filed Levied		25
"	16	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 3rd day of Jany 1895. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of the plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the said defendant F Clark be and the same is hereby entered		95
		On further motion of said plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John C. Nourse and against the defendant F Clark for the sum of $50 25 and for costs of suit herein taxed at 13 00	1 00	6 70
			Const	6 30
				13 00
		Total Judgment $63 25		
		Done in open Court this 16th Jany 1895		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Dec	26	Abstract of Judgment made ~~& delivered~~ to Peff.		

No. 594

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. B. Covalt
Plaintiff

vs.

William Adams
Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 174 Int + atty's fees

Events & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec 12	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	2 00

No. 595

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James F Boque — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Wm Adams — *Defendant*

Action Prm note

Demand $ 74 75 Int & Atty fee

Evets & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec 12	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	2 00

No. 596

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J S Hermann — Plaintiff

vs.

R. W. Briscoe — Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $ 186.00

J S Hermann — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	13	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	13	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 1895	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Feby	4	Summons returned & filed served 2/2/95	50	
"	4	Writ of attachment returned & filed	25	
"	11	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 2nd day of Feby 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of J. S. Hermann It is ordered that the default of the said defendant R. W. Briscoe be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J S Hermann and against the defendant R. W. Briscoe for the sum of $ 195.12 (Prin 188.00 Int. 7.07) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 13.20	100	6 70
		Total Judgment $ 208.32	Court	6 50
		Done in open Court this 11th Feby 1895		13 20
		A. Z. Austin		1 95
		Justice of the Peace		15.15
"	19	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Hermann	75	
May	3	Execution issued to Wm Shaw	1 25	

No. 597

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan & Savings Bank a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Justus Esrey & Johnathan Esrey
Defendant's

Action Prom note

Demand $ 150.00 Int & atty fees

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	13	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	18	Summons returned & filed showing personal service		50
"	27	Defendants by H H Welsh files written demurrer		25
"	27	The court of its own motion sets the above demurrer for hearing at once		50
"	27	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once		75
"	27	Defendants file written answer		25
"	28	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Dec 31, 1894 at 9.9 am		50
"	31	Notice of above order filed received		50
"	31	at the time set the plaintiff is in Court and after waiting more than one full hour the trial proceeds in the absence of defendants. John C. Duel & Horace Hawes are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and the note sued on is offered and filed in evidence. the Matter is submitted and from the testimony adduced the court fixes the Attorneys fee at $50.00 and orders judgment as prayed for.	1 00	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation and against Justus Esrey & Johnathan Esrey for the sum sum of $249.50 (Principal $150 - Interest $49.50 Atty fee $50) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 14.90		
		Total judgment $ 264.49		
		Done in open Court this 31st day of Dec 1894		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Dec 1895 March	31	Abstract of judgment to Hawes	70	
	72	" " "		

100	Justices fees	6 50
Court		4 00
Witness		4 40
		14 90

Justices fees paid by plaintiff FEB 1 1895

98

No. 598

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fong Hing — *Plaintiff*

vs.

John Creelman — *Defendant*

Action Replevin

Demand $ 50—

F H Short — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec 13	Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 14	Summons affidavit, and undertaking in claim and delivery filed & recited and property ordered ~~to~~ delivered to plaintiff		3 00

No. 599

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Maltzen — Plaintiff

vs.

Chas Schougaard — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $38.50

J Maltzen — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec 14	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 14	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 15	Summons returned & filed served	50	
" 15	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
" 27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 15th day of Dec 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired, now on motion of J Maltzen It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Chas Schougaard be and the same is hereby entered	95	
	On further motion of said Maltzen It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. Maltzen and against the defendant Chas. Schougaard for the sum of $38.50 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $12.00	1 00	6 70
		Constable	5 30
			12 00
	Total Judgment $50.50		
	Done in open Court this 27th day of Dec 1894		
	H Z Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
Dec 1895 28	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Jan 1896 8	Above judgment credited with $15- $5.00 of Justices costs & $10- to plff.		
Mch 16	Above Judgment credited with $22.50 Bal Costs & $15.50 pd to plff.		

100

No. 600

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John W. Rogers — Plaintiff

vs.

C B Pond & J. W. Pond — Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $ 70 97 Int & $12 50 attys fee

Mark Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189(	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec 14	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 14	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 15	Defendants by E. S. Van Meter appears for the purpose only of moving to discharge the attachment levied herein under §§ 554 & 555 C. C. P.	25	
" 15	M. Walser in Court and waives notice & defendants move the Court for the order above specified, motion granted	50	
" 15	Bond fixed at $170.00 Filed and approved same being satisfactory to M. Walser and it is ordered that the property levied on herein be released and attachment discharged	75	
" 18	Summons returned & filed served on all defendants	50	
" 18	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
" 18	Defendants by E. S. Van Meter answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
" 28	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Dec 31, 1894 at 9 A.M.	50	
" 31	By Consent trial continued to Jany 2, 1895 at 9 A. M	50	
1895 Jany 2	At the time set plaintiff is present in Court, no appearance for defendants, after waiting one full hour the trial proceeds in the absence of defendants, the note sued on is offered and received in evidence and Geo L. Hood is sworn and testifies for plaintiff from which testimony the court orders judgment as prayed for	1 00	
	Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John W. Rogers, and against the defendants C. B. Pond and J. W. Pond for the sum of $93.02 (Prin. $70.97 Int $9.55 attys fee $12.50) and for costs herein taxed at $ 19.00	1 00	9 00
		Costs	10 00
			19.00
	Total judgment $ 112.02		
	Done in open Court this 2nd Jany 1895		
	A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Jany 2	The above judgment is credited with $34.00 all costs & $15 pd on judgt		
" 4	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shown Const	1 25	
" 5	Execution returned & filed without proceeding		

This judgment satisfied in full by payment 1/6/95 — $70 and costs Walser

No. 601

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mary J. Blasingame
Plaintiff

vs.

W.F. Wills, F.J. Burleigh, G.P. Cummings & G. Imbatt and W.S. Lander
Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $138.00

Sayle & Caldwell
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec 15	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
1895 Jany 24	G.P. Cummings by E.S. Van Meter files written demurrer		25
Jany 18	It appearing to the Court that G.P. Cummings has been adjudicated insolvent by the Superior Court of this County on motion of C.G. Sayle all proceedings herein against him are stayed		50
" 18	Summons returned and filed seived		25
" 18	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the Complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants as follows; on W.F. Wills on Dec 24. 1894 on F.J. Burleigh on Dec 22. 1894 and on W.S. Lander on Jany 9. 1895. all at this 3rd Township and it further appearing that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever nor has any of them so appeared and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired now on motion of C.G. Sayle It is ordered that the defaults of the said W.F. Wills, F.J. Burleigh and W.S. Lander be and the same are hereby entered	1 00	
	On further motion of said C.G. Sayle It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50
	Whereon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Mary J. Blasingame and against the defendants W.F. Wills, F.J. Burleigh and W.S. Lander, for the sum of $160.00 Principal & interest $140.00 attorneys fee $20.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $13.60	100 Cost 5 20 8 40	13 60
	Total Judgment $173.60		
	Done in open Court this 18th Jany 1895		
	H.Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Feby 7	This Judgment Credited with $73.60 all costs & $60.00 pd on demand		

No. 602.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno Loan and Savings Bank, a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

G H Walley, O J Meade and R B Johnson — Defendants

Action Promnote

Demand $ 101 16 Int & attys fee

Horace Hawes, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	15	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
	29	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
1895 Jany	9	It appearing to the Court from the verified return on the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants and each of them in Fresno County as follows viz: on R B Johnson on Dec 17, 1894 one O J Meade on the 17th day of Dec 1894 and on G H Walley on the 24th day of Dec 1894, and that the said defendants nor either of them have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: ten days has fully expired, now on motion of Horace Howes It is ordered that the default of the said defendants G H Walley, O J Meade, and R B Johnson be and the same are hereby entered	1 50	
		On further motion of H Howes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Fresno Loan and Savings Bank and against the defendants, G. H. Walley, O. J. Meade and R. B. Johnson for the sum of $130 75 (Principal $101 16 Interest $4 59 Attys fee $25 00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 10 70	100	4 70
			Const	6 00
				10 70
		Total Judgment $141 45		
		Done in open Court this 9th Jany 1895		
		H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Jan 1896	9	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Howes	70	
Sept	11	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Howes	70	

Justice's fees paid by plaintiff

FEB 1 1895

No. 603

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. S. Smith — Plaintiff

vs.

John Morley — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 30—

W. S. Smith — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	18	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	18	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed	1 75	
"	18	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	20	Summons returned and filed served	50	
"	20	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	
"	22	The defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
1895				
Feby	11	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feb 14, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	14	No appearance & the court of its own motion orders the trial continued without day	50	5 50
Mch	8	On motion of plaintiff trial set for April 10. 1895 at 9 a m		
"	10	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. W. S. Smith is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and John Morley and Dan Degraw are sworn and testified for defendant. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff as prayed for		
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. S. Smith and against the defendant John Morley for the sum of $30.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $13.10		
		Total Judgment $43.10		
		Done in open Court this 10. April 1895	Justices fees 8 50	
		H. Austin	Constables 4 60	
		Justice of the Peace	13 10	
1896 April	9	Execution issued & delivered to Drew		
1899 June	10	Execution issued		

No. 604

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. L. Moore — Plaintiff

vs.

F. M. Curran — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $267.05

Mark Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.
Dec	19	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1	25	
"	19	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1	75	
"	19	Writ of attachment issued		50	
"	20	Summons returned & filed served		50	
"	20	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied		25	
"	28	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 20th day of Dec 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of Mark Walser Esq It is ordered that the default of the said defendant F. M. Curran be and the same is hereby entered		95	
		On further motion of said Walser It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G. L. Moore and against the defendant F. M Curran for the sum of $267.05 and for costs herein taxed at $12.20	1 00	6 70	
			Amst	5 50	
				12 20	
		Total Judgment $279.25			
		Done in open Court this 28th Dec 1894			
		A. Z. Austin			
		Justice of the Peace			
Dec	28	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1	25	
"	29	Affidavit for order Examination of debtor & Bailee made filed & order issued	1	50	
"	29	Subpoena for 7 witnesses issued for plaintiff	1	75	
1895 "	29	Above affidavits and orders returned & filed & served		50	
Jany	3	Subpoena for 3 Witnesses issued for plaintiff		75	
"	3	By consent hearing above Examinations Continued to Jany 4, 94 at 2 o'm		50	
"	4	At the time set all parties and the witnesses subpoenaed			

See page 107

No. 605

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. H. Miles — Plaintiff

vs.

L. Petty — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 16 00

John C Deuel — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	19	Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	19	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	19	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	20	Summons returned & filed served	25	
"	20	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	22	Defendant personally answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	22	On motion of Defts trial set for Dec 31, 1894 at 10 a m	50	
"	31	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds. H. H. Miles & Sam Taylor are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and A. D. Crow is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and the court finds for plaintiff for $ 15 00	75	
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H. H. Miles and against the defendant L. Petty for the sum of $ 15 00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 13 00	1 00 costs	
		Total judgment $ 28 00		
		Done in open Court this 31st Dec 1894		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Dec 1894	31	Assignment of judgment to John C. Deuel filed	25	
Jan	15	Execution issued and delivered to J C Deuel	1 25	
March	15	Execution renewed &		
May	6	Execution issued & delivered to Henry	1 25	
"	14	Above renewed execution returned & filed partly satisfied $6 00 & costs paid.		

6 50
4 50
11 00
2 00
13 00

May 13. 83 00 Judgment
out of Gals +

No. 606

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. H. Maxson — *Plaintiff*

vs.

John B Rutledge — *Defendant*

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 15⁶⁶ Int & Atty fee

Costs & Ewing — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Feb 19. Defts Pd 2.00		
Dec 19	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	2 00

No. 607

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Pouleous — Plaintiff

vs.

Draper Fowler — Defendant

Action Promi note

Demand $ 28 74

W T Porter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec 21	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
" 21	affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 21	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 27	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney & payment of costs Az Austin Justice of the Peace		5 00
	G. L. Moore vs F. M Curran } From pag 104 Open in court herein, and the examination proceeds. W. T Porter, G C Freeman, F. M Curran, Harry C. Ewing, Henry Russell, S T Frazier Wm M McDonald, Owen, Riley & B T Alford are sworn & are examined herein and the Court authorized the plaintiff to commence suit for the possession of the books & accounts assigned to W T Porter defendant within three days & the said Porter is ordered not to transfer same in the meantime & the Court suggests that plaintiff instruct the constable to levy on the Star Meat Market & all fixtures & appurtenances	12 95	3 50
Jan 12	Execution returned partly satisfied all costs & $46 85 pd to plffs		

No. 608

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ola Larson — Plaintiff

vs.

L A Blasingame — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $136.00

Geo B Graham — Attorney for Plaintiff

W P Thompson — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS. P.J. Jan 10. 1894-97.50	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec 22	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	
" 22	The defendant and his attorney W. P. Thompson in open Court appear herein and without process and voluntarily plead by answer and deny each and every allegation in the complaint, and each of the respective parties are sworn and testify in their own behalf, The Cause is closed and Dec 27, 1894 at 2 P. M. is fixed as the time for argument by Counsel	2 00	
" 27	Cause argued submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	25	
1895 Jany 5	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $93.00 Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Ola Larson and against the defendant L A Blasingame for the sum of $93.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $4.50	25 1 00	x 50
	Total Judgment $97.50 Done in open Court this 5th Jany 1895 H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Feby 4	Notice of appeal filed by plaintiff	25	
" 4	Undertaking on appeal filed by plaintiff & approved	75	
" 9	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	

No. 609

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action a/c

Mary E. Crow — Plaintiff

vs.

Albert Nusbaumer Ed Jane Doe Nusbaumer — Defendant s

Demand $ 74 13

A M Drew — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec 1895	22	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	2 00
May	24	Summons returned & filed served		
"	24	Defendants by E.S. Van Meter file written demurrer		
"	27	Hearing on above demurrer set for May 28. 1895 at 10 a m.		
"	28	Demurrer argued sustained complaint amended on its face and defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint & may amend & cause set for trial June 3. 1895 at 10 a m		
June	3	at the time set all parties are in Court either personally or by Counsel and the trial proceeds Mary E. Crow and J.W. Crow are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff the defendants offered documentary evidence and the matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against defendant Albert Nusbaumer for the sum of $71.63		
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Mary E. Crow and against the defendant Albert Nusbaumer for the sum of $71.63 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 17.20		
		Total Judgment $ 88.83	Jud. 5 00 Const 11 10 W.M 1 10 — 17 20	
		Done in open Court this 3rd day of June 1895. A.z Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	3	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Drew.	50	
"	11	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1 25	
July	10	Execution returned & filed Costs in same 1.00 p d on judgt.		
"	10	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day by payment		

J. M Drew
Atty for Plaintiff.

No. 610

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Owen Riley — Plaintiff

vs.

B T Alford — Defendant

Action Conversion

Demand $ 75-00

G C Freman — Attorney for Plaintiff

S R Hart — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec 29	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
1895 Jany 3	Defendant by S R Hart files written demurrer		25 —
" 14	On motion of plaintiff hearing above demurrer set for Jany 14, 1895 at 10 A M		50
" 14	S R. Hart in Court and demurrer submitted overruled & 1 Day to Ans		75 —
" 14	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		25 —
" 15	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Jany 19, 1895 at 10 A M		60
" 15	Subpoena issued for plaintiff & for defendant		50
" 19	at the time set all parties and Attorneys are in Court and the trial proceeds. Defendant asks and is given leave to file amended answer which he files. A J Devine, J H Hallom W J. McDonald and Owen Riley are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and B T Alford, J A Dickinson, Jes Bunell and S F Fraser are sworn and testify for defendant The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $33 12 and for costs herein taxed at $ by Consent Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Owen Riley and against the defendant for the sum of $33 12 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $23 30 by Consent of Counsel in open Court and stay of execution for 10 days agreed to	7 50	
		1 00	12 50
		Court	2 00
		Witness	8 80
			23 30
	Total Judgment $56 42		
	Done in open Court this 19th Jany 1895		
	H Z Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
Jany 19	Assignment of judgment to G C. Freman filed	25 —	
" 29	Notice of appeal filed by defendant served	25 —	
" 29	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant	1 25	

Justice's fees $12 50 in full paid by defendant JAN 29 1895

No. 611

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Porteous — Plaintiff

vs.

S T Vian an Insolvent Debtor & W C. Freman assignee of the estate of S T Vian an insolvent Debtor — Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ 115/10 Gang plow

W. T Porter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Pd 2.50 in full		
Dec 1895	29	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
Jan	3	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	3	Undertaking claim & delivery filed & app'd	75	
"	12	Affidavit returned & filed executed	75	
"	12	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant S T Vian at this township on the 31st day of Dec 189X and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired now on motion of W T Porter it is ordered that the default of the said defendant S T Vian Insolvent Debtor be and the same is hereby entered	90	
		On further motion of said Porter it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James Porteous and against the defendant S T Vian Insolvent Debtor for the possession of 1 5/10 gang plow		
		Done in open Court this 12th Jany 1895	1 00	5 70
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 612

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. C. Freman — *Plaintiff*

vs.

M. C. Davison — *Defendant*

Action Prom note

Demand $ 16 00 Int & attys fees

G. C. Freman — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec 29	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 25 – 2 00	

No. 613

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. L. Cory — Plaintiff

vs.

Edward Lloyd — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 100—

L. L. Cory — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	2	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25—	
"	2	affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75—	
"	2	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	2	Summons returned & filed served	25—	
"	2	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25—	
"	4	Defendant by W D Grady files written demurrer	25—	
"	5	On motion of plaintiff hearing of demurrer set for Jan 7. 95 at 10 a m	50	
"	7	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	75—	
"	7	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25—	5 75

No. 614

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm Rigby Jr — Plaintiff

vs.

J.R. Austin — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 162.50

A. M Drew — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	2	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	2	affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	2	Writ of attachment issued	50	3 50
April	11	Summons and writ of attachment returned & filed served		
"	20	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 11th day of April 1895. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of A. M. Drew it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J.R. Austin be and the same is hereby entered		

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Wm Rigby Jr. and against the defendant J.R. Austin for the sum of $ 162 50 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 10 70

5 50
5 20
10 70

Total Judgment $ 173 20

Done in open Court this 20th day of April 1895

A.z Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 615

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. E. Knowles
Plaintiff

vs.

The Home Insurance Company of New York a corporation
Defendant

Action on garnishment

Demand $ 150—

J. P. Meux
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. W. McGraw
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	2	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20—	
"	7	Summons returned & filed served		50
"	12	Defendant by E.W. McGraw files written demurrer		25—
"	18	Defendant files brief & submits above demurrer by letter		25—
"	25	Above demurrer submitted overruled and 5 days to answer		75—
"	31	Notice of ruling of defendants demurrer filed served and endorsed thereon the defendant in writing declines to answer		25—
Feby	1	The defendant having appeared and demurred herein which demurrer was by the Court overruled on Jany 25th 1895 whereof the defendant had due notice and the defendant having declined to answer and waived time within which to answer and the Court having taken and heard the evidence in the allegations of plaintiffs complaint, now renders its judgment in favor of the plaintiff W.E. Knowles and against the defendant The Home Insurance Company of New York a corporation for the sum of $168.40 (Principal $150- Interest $18.40) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.50	1 25—	4 50
			Const	2 00
				6 50
		Total Judgment $174.90		
		Done in open Court this 1st day of Feby 1895—		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace	4 50	
Feby	9	Statement on appeal filed by defendant		25—
"	15	Statement on appeal settled and allowed as amended		25—
"	27	Notice of appeal filed by defendant		25—
"	27	Undertaking on appeal filed by Defendant		75—
"	27	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	7 95

No. 616

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Wanekros — Plaintiff

vs.

E Beeson — Defendant

Action Claim & delivery

Demand $ 1 Gun val $45—

H H Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	2	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	8	Defendant by A. M Drew answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		25
Feby	5	Summons returned & filed		
"	5	Undertaking in claim & Delivery filed	1 00	
"	5	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feby 8, 1895 at 10 a m		50
"	8	On motion of defendant trial continued to Feb 15, 1895 at 10 a m		50
"	15	at the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds A. Wanekros, Jas Ballang, Mrs A. Wanekros and Harry Sherwood, and Frank Wanekros, are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and E. Beeson A. Beeson and T. W. Howard are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The matter is continued to Feby 16. 1895 at 9 A. M.		
"	16	at the time set the matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	10 25	
"	21	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for the property and for ½ its value found to be $45.00 with $10.00 damages		25
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. Wanekros and against the defendant E. Beeson for the recovery of the possession from one Davis Hammerless shot gun and in case delivery thereof cannot be had then for the sum of $45.00 which the Court finds to be the value thereof together with $10.00 damages for the detention thereof and for costs herein taxed at $28.20	1 00	15 00
			Const.	4 40
				19 40
			Minutes	8 80
				28 20

Total judgment $38.20

Done in open Court this 21st Feby 1895

H Z Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 617.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E D. Merriam — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs M S. Rosa otherwise known as Isabella Lula and M S. Rosa her husband — Defendants

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ 299. & 100 Dam

F H Short — Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. E. Church — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	3	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	4	Defendants by Geo E Church answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		25
Feby	8	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feb 9. 1895. at 2 P. M		50
"	9	Subpoena for 2 Witnesses issued for Defts		50
"	9	Above subpoena returned and filed		25
"	9	At the time set all parties and their Attorneys are in Court and the trial proceeds, E D Merriam & M S. Rosa are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and documentary evidence is introduced & Isabella Luna is sworn and testifies for defendants. The plaintiff moves to substitute the name of Isabella Luna in place of Isabella Lula & also to strike from title "her husband", the amendment is allowed, The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	1 75	
Nov	1	The Court this day finds for plaintiff and against Isabella Luna for the delivery of the property described in the Complaint, and in Case delivery thereof cannot be had then for $222.00 which the Court finds to be the value thereof with $1.00 damages for the detention thereof	25	
		Wherefor It is here ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff E D Merriam do have and recover of and from the defendant Isabella Luna the possession of that certain dwelling house formerly situated in Lot 11. Block 26. of the City of Fresno, now situated on Lot 23. of Block 41 of said City and in Case delivery thereof cannot be had then for the sum of $222.00 which the Court finds to be the value thereof together with $1.00 damages for the detention thereof and for Costs herein taxed at $7.25	1 00	5 75
			Amer	1 50
				7 25
		Total Judgment $230.25		
		Done in open Court this 1st day of Nov 1895.		
		H. Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Nov	30	Defendant Luna files notice and undertaking on appeal	1 00	
Dec	3	Plaintiff files notice of exception to sureties on above undertaking		25
"	6	notice & justification filed served & Sureties Justify and bond approved on such justification		

No. 618

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Herman Gälz — Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Hotchkiss — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $40.00

Strother & Strother — Attorney for Plaintiff

H H Halaling — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany 5	Cause entered complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	
" 11	Defendant by H H Halaling files written answer	25	
" 26	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Jany 30. 1895 at 10 a m	50	
" 30	at the time set parties are in Court and defendant asks for a continuance, motion granted and case continued to Feby 6. 1895 at the cost of defendant. 3 00	75	
Feby 6	at the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds Herman Gälz is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and Frank Laing is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for	2 50	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered & entered in favor of the plaintiff Herman Gälz and against the defendant Harry Hotchkiss for the sum of $40.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed by consent of counsel in open Court at $14.25		
	Total judgment $54.25		
	Done in open Court this 6th day of Feby 1895		
	H. Z. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace.		

Justices fees paid by plaintiff Feb 6. 1895

100		6 25
Const		2 00
Writ		6 00
		14 25

No. 619

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. G. Berry — Plaintiff

vs.

J Caro & Co — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 30—

LO'D Grady — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS. Pd 30c in full	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany 5	Cause entered, complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 5	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 5	Writ of attachment issued	50	

120

No. 620

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno National Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Crow and J. T. Shanklin — Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 150 - Int & attys fee

F. H. Short — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany 7	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 20	
" 28	Summons returned and filed served	50	
" 28	It appearing to the Court from the verified returns annexed to the Summons herein that a true copy thereof attached to a true copy of the Complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants and each of them at the County of Fresno, State of California at the times viz: on J. W. Crow Jany 12. 1895 and on J. T. Shanklin Jany 16. 1895. and it further appearing that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: ten days has fully expired now on motion of F. H. Short It is ordered that the default of the said defendants J. W. Crow and J. T. Shanklin be and the same are hereby entered	1 20	
	On further motion of said F. H. Short It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
	Whereupon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno National Bank a corporation and against the defendants J. W. Crow and J. T. Shanklin for the sum of $366.99 Principal $150 - Interest $18.99 Attorneys fee $35.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.40	1 00	4 45
	Total Judgment $375.44	Revivor	4 00
	Done in open Court this 28th day of Jany 1895 —		8 45
	H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
" 28	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Harris	70	

Justices costs paid by plaintiff 7/13/95

No. 621

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W H Howard

Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Gardner

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 37.50

W D Tupper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany 7	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	2 00

No. 622

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Garraig Plaintiff

vs.

F. Jungua Defendant

Action Conversion

Demand $ 243 00

Jas Gallagher Attorney for Plaintiff

H C Tupper Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	9	Transcript & papers on change of venue filed	1 00	
"	9	On motion of plaintiff trial of this action set for Jan 14, 1895 at 9 AM	50	
"	14	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds Frank Garraig & Chas Ramy are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff Ed F Jungua Jean Camy & Geo Conrad are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant The matter is submitted on briefs to be hereafter filed	13 00	
Feby	2	Plaintiff files opening brief		
"	5	Defendant files brief		
"	6	Plaintiff files reply brief and cause submitted		
May	8	The Court this day finds for defendant for costs Wherefor judgment is here rendered & entered in favor of the defendant F Jungua and against the plaintiff Frank Garraig for the costs of this action taxed at $24 10	100	15 50
		Done in open Court this 8th day of May 1895 H Clausen Justice of the Peace		
May	10	Cost bill filed by defendant		
"	15	Notice of appeal filed by ~~defendant~~ plaintiff		

No. 623

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Garraig — Plaintiff

vs.

J. Escanteloupe and F. Junqua — Defendants

Action Malicious prosecution

Demand $ 299.99

Jas Gallagher — Attorney for Plaintiff

H C Tupper — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS. On 9. Dfts pd $1.00 J.C.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	9	Transcript papers on change of venue filed	1 00	
"	9	On motion of plaintiff trial of this action set for Jan 14 1895 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	14	By consent trial continued without day	50	
Feby	6	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feb 8. 1895 at 1-30 P.M.	50	
"	8	at 2 P.M. The parties & Counsel are present and the trial proceeds. The witness are sworn and put under the rule and plaintiff takes the stand Mr John Remy is sworn as interpeter of French language, The plaintiff withdrawn from the stand and the Justice presiding called as a witness whereupon the Court orders the trial postponed or suspended to take place before another justice of the peace, to wit S.C. St John on Feby 13. 1895 at 9-a.m. and defendant moves the Court for an order dismissing this action on the ground that the trial did not commence within one hour from the time set. Motion denied & exception	2 75	
Feb.	13	At the time set all parties in court and the trial proceeds. H. J. Austin, Frank Garraig are sworn & testify for plaintiff. By agreement of counsel case is continued indefinitely on account of illness of witness Miss Bearden	50	
"	19	Defft. files stipulation continuing trial indefinitely	25	
"	26	On motion of counsel for plaintiff trial is set for 3/7-95 at 1 P.M.	50	
March	7	At time set all parties in court and trial proceeds. Miss Lou Bearden is sworn and testifies for plaintiff	25	
		Plaintiff rests. F. Junque & J. Escanteloupe are sworn and testify for defendant. Defendant rests.	50	
		H. J. Austin & R. Menard are sworn & testify for pltff in rebuttal	25	
		The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advt.		
March	26	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $299.99	1 00	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff Frank Garraig and against the defendants J. Escanteloupe and F. Junque for the sum of $299.99 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $21.00	1.00	9 50
			4 25 Witnesses	11 50
				21 00
		Total Judgment $320.99		

Done in open court this 26th day of March 1895.

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace 3rd Township Fresno Co
Sitting at the request of and acting for H. J. Austin Justice of the Peace

April 2. Notice and undertaking on appeal filed

" 3 Transcript & papers on appeal

" 4 ~~Judgment~~ plaintiff & defendant to appear on appeal

" 5 defendants fees paid

" 6 Justice's docket & papers in case sent to Court

No. 624

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. N. Epstein — Plaintiff

vs.

King River Lumber Company a corporation — Defendant

Action 3 drafts

Demand $ 67.38

Thompson & Prince — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	12	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	14	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs Attorney demand and all costs paid H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace.		
		Walker vs Helm } From pg 125	8 65	
Jany	20	By consent examination continued to Jany 25. 1896 at 10 a m	50	
"	25	By consent examination continued without day	50	
Feby	10	On motion of plaintiff above examination set for Feby 12. 1896 at 10 a m	50	
"	12	By consent examination continued without day	50	
June	27	On motion of plaintiff examination set for June 30. 1896 at 10 a m	50	10 95

No. 625

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J C Walker — Plaintiff

vs.

George D Helm — Defendant

Action —

Demand $ 108.00

O Scribner — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
1895		Justices fees pd by plff July 1, 1896		
Jany	12	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	12	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	23	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally seived on the said defendant at this 3rd Township on the 12th day of Jany 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of O Scribner it is ordred that the default of the said defendant George D. Helm be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said O Scribner it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for.	50	
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J C. Walker and against the defendant George D. Helm for the sum of $108.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.20	1 00	4 20 Const 2 00 — 6 20
		Total judgment $114.20		
		Done in open Court this 23rd day of Jany 1895		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace	4 20	
Mch	1	Execution issued & delivered to Sorb	1 25	
May 1896	1	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
Jany	2	Execution issued and delivered to Shaw	1 25	
"	7	Order Examination of Judg Debtor Jan 13.96 made	25	
"	7	Above order returned & filed served	25	
"	13	Parties in Court and examination proceeds & by consent hearing continued to Jan 14, 1896, at 2. P. M	75	
"	14	By consent examination continued to Jan 20. 1896 at 2. P. M	50	
		See opposite page	8 45	

No. 626

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs E. J. Smith — Plaintiff

vs.

F. P. Burris — Defendant

Action Com nate

Demand $ 11 Int & attys fees

B G Wilson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany 14	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
" 28	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	60	
" 29	Defendant by W D Crichton demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
Feby 2	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney		2 50
	A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		00

No. 627

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno Flume & Irrigation Company — Plaintiff

vs.

Robert G. Stitt — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 1336 2 Int & Atty's fee

L. L. Cory & Moultrie — Attorney for Plaintiff

S. Jackson — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Justice's fees $10 25 pd by plff June 18. 96		
January	17	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	17	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	17	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	18	Summons returned & filed personally served	25	
"	18	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	22	Defendant by S. Jackson demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
Feb	2	On motion of plaintiff hearing on demurrer set for this day at 10 A M	50	
"	2	Demurrer submitted overruled & 2 days to answer	75	
"	5	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	9	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feb 12. 1895 at 9. A M	50	
"	12	On motion of plaintiff trial continued to Feb 13. 1895 at 9 A M	50	
"	13	at the time set plaintiff is in Court and after waiting one full hour the trial proceeds in defendants absence. L. L. Moultrie is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and the note sued on is offered and filed in evidence. The Court fixes the Attorneys fee at $25 00 & in other respects orders judgment as prayed for	1 25	10/30/95
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Fresno Flume and Irrigation Company, a corporation, and against the defendant Robert G. Stitt for the sum of $1636 9 (Prin. $1336 2 Int. 85 07 Atty fee $25 00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $23 60	100 const 14 60	900
		Total Judgment $1872 9		23 60
		Done in open Court this 13th Feby 1895		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace.		
May	24	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	25	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
"	25	affidavit & Supp made & order made for May 29. 1895 issued & filed		
"	29	Examination continued to June 26. 1895 at 9 A M & continued to June 27. 95 at 9 A M		
June	27	Defendant in Court & examined		

No. 628

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W H Neff — Plaintiff

vs.

R. P. Hall and E. Henderson — Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 299.00

Van Meter & Walsh — Attorney for Plaintiff

H H Welsh — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	18	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	18	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	18	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19	Defendant by H H Welsh answers & denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	19	On motion of Deft agreed to by plaintiff trial set for Jany 21, 1895 at 3 P. M.	50	
"	21	Summons returned & filed served	25	
"	21	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	21	At this time all parties and their attorneys are in Court and the trial proceeds, It is admitted that the goods in question were sold & delivered as alleged & not paid for. Al Woodward & W S Denning are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and J. P. Hall and E Hendricks are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants. The matter is argued & submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	3 00	
"	22	The Court this day finds for defendants for costs	25	
		Whereon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendants R. P. Hall and E Henderson and against the plaintiff W H Neff for the costs of this action taxed at $	1 00	9 00
		Total Judgment $		
		Done in open Court this 22nd Jany 1895		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

No. 629

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George Ristine — Plaintiff

vs.

George W. Young — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 155

John C Denel — Attorney for Plaintiff

L. W. Moultrie — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	19	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	19	Summons returned filed served		25
"	23	Defendant by S. Jackson demurs orally to the complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of actin		25
"	25	On motion of plaintiff hearing on demurrer set for this day at 10 A M		50
"	25	Demurrer submitted overruled & days to answer		75
"	25	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feby 2 1895 at 10 A M		50
"	25	Subpoena for 8 witnesses issued for plaintiff	2 00	
Feby	2	Above subpoena returned filed		25
"	2	Defendant answers orally by general denial		25
"	2	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds the defendant asks and is granted leave without objection to file amended answer alleging tender of $12.50 in full satisfaction of claim. Amended answer is filed and $12.50 deposited in Court. Geo Ristine, Geo Wood, Frank Fowler Geo Nettleton, and Chas Wood are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and. Geo Young, G. W. Hunter, J. Farver, A. Busching, G. D. Wilson, P H Green, R as Counsel, Jasper Trot and W H Hopkins are sworn and testify for defendant and Geo Ristine is recalled and testifies in rebuttal, and Feb 5, 1895 at 3. P. M. is set for argument	6 50	
"	5	At the time set Counsel are present cause argued and submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff for $12.50 without costs and for defendant for costs.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo Ristine and against the defendant Geo W. Young for the sum of $12.50 and in favor of Geo. W. Young and against George Ristine for the Costs of this action taxed at $	100	1400

Feb 6. Justices Costs paid $12.50

Total Judgment (Plff.) $12.50 (Dfdt.) $

Done in open Court this 5th day of Feby 1895.

A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace.

No. 630

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F Knobloch — Plaintiff

vs.

W.S. Lloyd and Mrs W.S. Lloyd his wife — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 49.00

Van Meter & Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 5	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany 21	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
" 21	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 21	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 29	Summons returned and filed served	50	
" 29	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
" 29	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants and each of them at this 3rd Township on the 21st day of Jany 1895 and that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of the Attorneys for plaintiff It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants W.S. Lloyd and Mrs W.S. Lloyd his wife be and the same are hereby entered	1 20	
	On further motion of said plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered as prayed for	50	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F Knobloch and against the defendants W.S. Lloyd and Mrs W.S. Lloyd his wife for the sum of $49.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 17.65	1 00	6 95
		Const. 10 70	
			17 65
	Total judgment $ 66.65		
	Done in open Court this 29th Jany 1895		
	H.Z. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace.		

3/6/95

March 6, 1895 Justices fees paid by plaintiff

No. 631

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hans Jensen — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Jacob Hansen, Hans Andreas Hansen and Frank Lauridsen — *Defendant*

Action Prom note

Demand $150. Int & attys fees

A E Snow — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS. $25.00 pd atty Justice fees	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany 23	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	

No. 632

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G A Events as Administrator with the will annexed of the estate of William Wooten deceased — Plaintiff

vs.

William Dumont and Mrs William Dumont — Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $120 Int & Attys fees

Events & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Harris & Hubbard
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	25	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 25 - 2 00	
April	11	Defendants by Harris & Hubbard file written demurrer		
"	20	Demurrer overruled & 5 days to answer		
"	23	Defendants file written answer		
"	27	Trial of this cause set for May 3. 1895 at 2 P. M.		
May	3	By consent trial Continued to May 6. 1895 at 11. A M.		
"	3	at the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, O L. Events is sworn and testifies for plaintiff and Wm Dumont is sworn and testifies for defendants. The matter is submitted and the court orders judgment for plaintiff against defendants for $40.00 & Interest & for costs. & attorneys fee		
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G A Events as Administrator with the will annexed of the estate of William Wootten deceased and against the defendants William Dumont and Mrs William Dumont for the sum of $88.21 - { Principal 40.00 Interest $ 28.21 attys fee $20.00 } and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 8.10		
		Total Judgment $ 96.31.		
		Done in open Court this 6th day of May 1895.		
		A Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
July	27	Abstract of Judgment made & del to Events	50	

May 3. 95 Justices Costs fod by plaintiff

No. 633.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J Hockenberry — Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Smith — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $24.20

Van Meter & Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo L. Warlow — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Justice Costs pd by plff.		
Jany	28	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	28	Summons returned and filed served	50	
"	31	Defendant by Geo L. Warlow files written demurrer	25	2 00
Feby	8	On motion of plaintiff hearing on above demurrer set for Feby 11. 1895 at 10 a m.	50	
"	11	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer at once	75	
"	11	Defendant answers fully denying each and every allegation in the complaint -	25	
"	11	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feby 18. 1895 at 3 P. M	50	
"	18	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. G W Smith W D Crichlow and Jacob Hockenberry are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and G W Smith is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	1 75	
1896 Feby	3	The Court this day finds for defendant for cost	25	
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered and it is here ordered adjudged and decreed that the defendant do have and recover of and from the plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $11 20	100	7 00
		Total judgment 11 20	Const	2 00
			Witness	2 20
				11 20
		Done in open Court this 3rd day of Feby 1896		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace -	7 00	
Feby	3	Notice of appeal filed by plaintiff	25	
"	3	Undertaking on appeal filed by plaintiff	1 25	
"	3	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	
			10 00	

134

No. 634

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. N. Rogers — Plaintiff

vs.

A Tombs & J H Tynan — Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 42.74

Van Meter & Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Pltff pd. 3.00 7/6/95		
Jany	29	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	29	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	29	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Feby	2	Defendants by F H Short file written demurrer	25	
"	2	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	2	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	6	Defendant personally in Court and moves the Court for a dismissal of this action and the same is hereby dismissed on his motion and attachment ordered released	1 50	

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 635

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George Burns — Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Fries — Defendant

Action q/c

Demand $ 51 20

Van Meter & Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 8		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	30	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	30	Summons returned filed served	50	
Feby	7.	It appearing to the Court from the verified return annexed to the summons herein that a copy of said summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 30th day of Jany 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of Van Meter & Walser it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Jacob Fries be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said attorneys it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff George Burns and against the defendant Jacob Fries for the sum of $51 20 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6 20	1 00 Const	4 20 2 00 6 20
		Total judgment $57 40		
		Done in open Court this 7th Feby 1895		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	8	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	

136

No. 636

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F Mandlebaum — Plaintiff

vs.

D J Canty and J F Canty — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 112.50

Meux & Johnston — Attorney for Plaintiff

F H Short — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	31	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	31	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	31	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	31	Defendants by F H Short answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
Feby	31	On motion of defendant trial of this cause set for Feby 1. 1895 at 4. P. M.	50	
Feby	1	Summons returned & filed served	25	
"	1	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	
"	1	Notice of setting cause for trial filed served	25	
"	1	Subpoena for 1 witnesses issued for defts.	25	
"	1	Subpoena for 1 witnesses issued for plaintiff	25	
"	1	Above subpoenas returned & filed	50	
"	1	At the time set all parties & their attorneys are in Court and the trial proceeds, E. M Burris of J Canty are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and further proceedings adjourned to Feb 2. 95 at 9 am.	4 25	
"	2	At the time set parties are present and the trial proceeds J F. Canty and plaintiff asks & is given leave to file amendment to Complaint which is filed recalled, and D J Canty is sworn and testifies for plaintiff The matter is argued & submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff and against defendant D J Canty only for $112.50	1 50	
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. Mandlebaum and against the defendant D. J. Canty only for the sum of $112.50 and for Costs of suit herein to wit $37.50	1 00	12 75
		by consent of Counsel in open Court as to witnesses	Const	16 00
			W M.	8 80
				37 50
		Total judgment $ 150.00		
		Done in open Court this 2nd day Feby 1895		
		H Austin		
		Justice of the Peace	1 25	
"	2	Execution issued & delivered to Constable Dep Const	1 25	
"	7	Notice of appeal filed by plaintiff as to J F. Canty	25	
"	7	Undertaking on appeal filed by plaintiff	75	
"	7	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	16 50

No. 637

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation — *Plaintiff*

vs.

A J T Jacobsen — *Defendant*

Action Prom. note

Demand $ 40 Int & Attys fees

Horace Hawes — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 4 1896	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Cox.
April 13	S		

No. 638

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

H O Hansen, R B Johnson and G H Walley — Defendant & al

Action Prom note

Demand $ 71 30 Int & attys fees

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	4	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	Con
"	8	Summons returned filed served on Walley & Johnson	50	
"	19	It appearing to the Court from the verified return annexed to the summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants, Johnson & Walley at this 3rd Township as follows. On R B Johnson, on Feby 6. 1895. on G H Walley on Feby 7. 1895, and it further appearing that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants R B Johnson and G H Walley be and the same are hereby entered	1 20	
		On further motion of said Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank and against the defendants R B Johnson and G H Walley for the sum of $ 127 79 (Prin $ 71 30 Int $ 21 49 attys fee $ 35 00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 8 45	1 00	4 45 Const 4 00 8 45
		Total Judgment $ 136 24		
		Done in open Court this 19th Feby 1895		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	20	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Hawes	1 00	

No. 639

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan & Savings Bank a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

J. R. Ellis
Defendant

Action Promnote

Demand $ 83.40

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 4	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Con
April 5	Summons returned & filed served on all defts		

No. 640

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs C. J. Keller and W. H. Cureton — Defendants

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 50 Int & attys fees

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	4	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Cm
"	8	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	12	It appearing to the Court from the verified return endorsed on the summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants and each of them on the 6th day of Feby 1895 at this 3rd Township Fresno County and it further appearing that the said defendants nor either has appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired; now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the default of the said defendants Mrs C. J Keller and W. H. Cureton be and the same is hereby entered	1 75	
		On further motion of said Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation and against the defendants, Mrs C. J. Keller and W. H. Cureton, for the sum of $81 62 (Prin $50 - Int $6 62 atty fees $25 00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8 40	1 00	4 40 Const 4 00 = 8 40
		Total Judgment $ 90 62		
		Done in open Court this 12th Feby 1895		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	13	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Hawes	1 00	

No. 641

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan & Savings Bank, a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Burton, J. F. Burton & C. Le Blanc — Defendant

Action Promnote

Demand $ 75. Int & attys

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 4	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Con.

No. 642.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan & Savings Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs M. Ferrell and M. Ferrell — Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $ 25. Int & Attys fees

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 4	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Con.

No. 643

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Domingo Jauregui berry
Defendant

Action Prom. note

Demand $ 35.00

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 4	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	Con

No. 644

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan & Savings Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Williams — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 20.00 Int & Attys fees

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 4	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	Con
April 15 1897	The above action is this day dismissed without prejudice on motion of plaintiff Hz. Austin justice of the Peace		

No. 645

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank, a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Lee and Sam Ralston — Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $ 150— Int. Attys fees

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	4	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	Con.
	19	Summons returned and filed served	50	
1896 May	1	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Citizen duly verified that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on each of the defendants at and within this township as follows, on Sam Ralston on the 6th day of Feby 1896 and on M J Lee on the 18th day of Feby 1895 and that neither of the said defendants have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has duly expired now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants Sam Ralston and M J Lee be and the same are hereby entered	1 20	
		On further motion of said Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	60	
		Therefor judgment is here rendered and entered and it is here ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff the Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a Corporation do have and recover of and from the defendants M. J. Lee and Sam Ralston the sum of $235.80 (Prin 150.00 Int 60.80 Attys fee 25.00) and the costs of this action taxed at $6.50	1 00	6 50
		Total judgment 242.30		
		Done in open Court this 1st day of May 1896		
		A. L. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	1	Abstract of judgment made & del to Hawes		

No. 646

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

G. N. Armitage and J. N Pool — Defendants

Action Com. Note

Demand $ 100. Int & attys fees

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 4	Cause entered complaint filed and Summons issued	1 25	Com.
" 8	Summons returned filed served	50	
Mch 21	It appearing to the Court from the verified return endorsed on the summons herein that a copy of said summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants and each of them in this Third Township on the following dates viz: On G. N Armitage Feby 8. 1895 & on J. N Pool on Feby 6, 1895, and it further appearing that the said defendants nor either of them have appeared herein by answer, nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired; now on motion of Hora & Hawes It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants G. N. Armitage and J. N Pool be and the same are hereby entered	1 20	
	On further motion said Hawes It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
	Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff the Fresno Loan and Savings Bank and against the defendants, G. N. Armitage and J. N. Pool for the sum of $ 158 47 (Principal $100 — Interest $ 38 47 attys fee $ 20 00) and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $ 7 45	1 00	4 45
		Cash	3 00
			7 45
	Total Judgment $ 165 92		
	Done in open Court this 21st day of Mch 1895		
	H. Z. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
21	Abstract of Judgment made delivered to Hawes	1 00	

No. 647.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs H. M. Stout and Adam Baird — Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 100—

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	4	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Con
"	8	Summons returned filed served	50	
"	19	It appearing to the Court from the verified return annexed to the summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on each of the said defendants at this 3rd Township as follows, viz: on Mrs H. M. Stout on the 7th day of Feby 1895 and on Adam Baird on the 6th day of Feby 1895. and that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever nor has either of them so appeared, and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now On motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants Mrs H. M. Stout and Adam Baird be and the same is hereby entered	1 20	
		On further motion said Howes it is ordered that the Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation and against the defendants Mrs H. M. Stout and Adam Baird for the sum of $154 20/100 Prin $100— Int $29 20 Attys fee $25— and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 8 45	1 00	4 45
		Total Judgment $ 162 65	Cost	4 00
		Done in open Court this 19th Feby 1895		8 45
		H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	20	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Howes	1 00	

No. 648

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Caps — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry H. Johnson — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $31.00

A Caps — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	5	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 20	
"	5	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 70	
"	5	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Mch	1	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	1	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	16	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff & costs paid A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		5 50

No. 649

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. F. Canty — Plaintiff

vs.

J. N. Albin — Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand 2 Billiard Tables Val 285—

F. H. Short & G. C. Freman — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	6	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	8	Notice of justification of sureties filed for 2/9/95		25
"	9	One of sureties Sanford in Court & justifies & is accepted		50
"	9	Stipulation filed agreeing that certified check of $250 be deposited in lieu of bond on claim & Delivery, same is deposited and plaintiffs undertaking on C & D & thereon ated		50
"	11	Defendant by Merix & Johnston demurrer ally to plaintiffs complaint and say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		25
"	15	Above demurrer submitted without argument and overruled		50
"	15	Defendant files written answer		25
"	23	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feby 26. 1895. at 2. P. M		50
"	23	Notice of above order filed served		25
"	23	Summons returned & filed served		25
"	23	Undertaking C & D returned & filed		75
"	23	Affidavit C & D & order for delivery returned & filed		25
"	26	By consent trial Continued to Feby 27. 1895 at 2 P. M		50
"	27	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, D. G. Canty & J. F. Canty are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and defendant offers documentary evidence; the matter is argued and submitted & by the Court taken under advisement		
May	22	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for the return of the property sued for or the value which the Court finds to be $285.00 Therefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. F. Canty and against the defendant J. N. Albin for the delivery to plaintiff by defendant of one billiard and pool table and in case delivery thereof cannot be had then for the sum of $285.00 which the Court finds to be the value thereof and for costs of suit herein taxed at $22.20	1 00	8 50
			Constable	11 50
			Witness	2 20
				22 20
		Total Judgment $307.20		
		Done in open Court this 22nd May 1895		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	24	Cost bill filed by plaintiff		
"	29	Notice of appeal filed by defendant		
"	29	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant		

150

No. 650

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. Riese — Plaintiff

vs.

G A Baron — Defendant

Action on Prom notes

Demand $ 90 80 Int & atty fee

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	7	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	8	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	12	Defendant personally orally answers denying each & every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	13	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feb 18. 1895 at 2 P. M	50	
"	18	On motion of Defendant trial continued to Feb 20. 1895 at 9 A M	50	
"	20	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds. The Notes sued on are offered & received in evidence and E W Risley and G A Baron Re sworn and testify for Defendant the matter is submitted and the Court orders Judgment for plaintiff $ 80 74 Prin & Int and fixes the Attorney fees herein at $ 20 00	1 75	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff S Riese and against the defendant G A Baron for the sum of $ 103 28 Prin $ 80 74 Int $ 2 94 Atty fee $ 20 00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 7 75	1 00 Cmsr	5 75 2 00 7 75
		Total Judgment $ 111 43		
		Done in open Court this 20th Feby 1895		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	20	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Hawes	1 00	
May	7	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1 25	
"	9	Execution returned & filed nulla bona.		
"	14	Affidavit for order Subpoena issued & order issued		
"	23	Above affidavit & order returned & filed & Exam ordered to May 24. at 2 P. M		
"	24	Defendant examined		

No. 657

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wampum Trust Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

J. N. Reese — Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $ 249.50

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	7	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	
"	13	Summons returned & filed served 2/12/95	50	
"	18	Defendant by Sayle & Caldwell files written answer	50	
"	20	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feby 25. 1895 at 2. P. M	50	
"	20	Notice of above order filed served and endorsed thereon is a stipulation that Judgment be entered herein for the amount found due with 10% as attorneys fees	50	
"	20	On the above stipulation and on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for Excepting as to Attorneys fees and such fees are fixed at @ 10% of amount found due	50	
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Wampum Trust Company a corporation and against the defendant J. N. Reese for the sum of $345.35 { Principal $249.50 Interest $64.46 attorneys fees $31.39 } and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.50	1 00	4 50 2 00 6 50
		Total Judgment $357.85		
		Done in open Court this 20th Feby 1895		
		A. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
	20	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Hawes	1 00	
April	18	The above Judgment satisfied in full this day by payment of $319.00 Prin 249.50 Int 63 & Costs 6.50		
		A. Z. Austin J. P.		

No. 652

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Pond — Plaintiff

vs.

H H Lane — Defendant

Action On note

Demand $ 51.10 Int & atty fees

Van Meter & Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	7	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	18	Summons returned & filed served 2/15. 1895.	50	
"	19	Defendant personally & by demurs to the complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action and also that the Court has not jurisdiction of the defendant nor of the subject matter of this action	50	
"	19	Defendant files affidavit and moves the Court for an order dismissing this suit on the ground that it is brought in the wrong Township +	75	80
Mch	5	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff & costs paid H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 653

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alexander Gordon — Plaintiff

vs.

D.C. Tucker, W.H. Tucker and Amanda J. Tucker — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 272 Int & Attys fee

Meux & Johnston — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby 8	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
" 21	Summons returned & filed served	50	
Mch 5	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on each of the said at this 3rd Township on the following dates viz: on D.C. Tucker Feby 16. 1895. On W.H. Tucker on Feby 21. 1895 3rd on Amanda J. Tucker on Feby 21. 1895 — and it further appearing that none of the said defendants have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time thereof to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of Meux & Johnston It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants D.C. Tucker W.H. Tucker and Amanda J. Tucker be and the same are hereby entered	1 45	
	On further motion of said Meux & Johnston it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Alexander Gordon and against the defendants D.C. Tucker, W.H. Tucker and Amanda J. Tucker for the sum of $340.00 (Principal $272 - Interest $43 Attorneys fee $25.00) and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $10.70	1 00	4 70
	Total judgment $350.70		Amt 6 00
	Done in open Court this 5th Mch 1895		10 70
	H.Z. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
May 18	Abstract of judgment sent to Pltff by mail	70	

Oct. 1. 1895 Justices fees paid by Plaintiff

No. 654

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Eugene Riley — Plaintiff

vs.

Fresno Loan & Savings Bank a corporation and T.S. Price and A.J. Wilder Interv[illegible] — Defendants

Action Money had & Rec'd

Demand $50—

M.K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

L.L. Cary — Attorney for ~~Defendant~~

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby 12	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
" 12	Summons returned & filed served	50	
" 19	It appearing to the Court from the admission of service endorsed on the summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants at this 3rd Township Fresno County California on the 12th day of Feby 1895. and that the said defendants nor either of them have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of M.K. Harris it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation and T.S. Price, be and the same are hereby entered	1 20	
	On further motion of said M.K. Harris it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
	Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Eugene Riley and against the defendants Fresno Loan and Savings Bank and T.S. Price for the sum of $50.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $5.95	1 00	4 45 Const 1 50 5 95
	Total Judgment $55.95		
	Done in open Court this 19th day of Feby 1895		
	A.J. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
" 26	On motion of Horace Hawes Atty for defendant assented to by M.K. Harris Attorney for plaintiff the default and all proceedings herein subsequent thereto are hereby vacated and set aside with permission to defendant to answer herein at once, costs to follow Judgment	75	
" 26	Defendant answers orally denying each and every		

See page 165

No. 655

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G R S Glenn — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Frank Dusy and Robert Atkinson — *Defendants*

Action Prom note

Demand $ 40 & Int

G R S Glenn — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 13 1896	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	2 00
Jany 15	Summons issued		

No. 656

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. J. Wickes — Plaintiff

vs.

W. Mor Young and Elizabeth J. Young his wife — Defendant

Action a/s Work

Demand $ 122.75

A. M. Drew — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby 14	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 14	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 19	Summons returned & filed Served (18)	50	
" 19	Writ of attachment returned & filed not levied	25	
" 19	Defendants by W. D. Grady files written answer	25	
" 19	Defendants file demand for bill of items served	25	
" 19	On motion of plaintiff trial of this case set for Feby 23. 1895. at 10 A M	50	
" 23	On motion of plaintiff trial continued to Feby 28. 1895. at 10 A M on terms	60 50	
" 28	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the plaintiff asks leave to file amendment to Complaint by substituting $131.30 in place of $122.75 as demand. There being no objection motion is granted and answer and counterclaim already in considered answer, whereupon the trial proceeds. A. J. Wickes and Mrs A. J. Wickes are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and W. Mor Young, Mrs W. N Snow and Frank Schvend are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants, A J Wickes is recalled and testifies in his own behalf in rebuttal and further proceedings continued to Mch. 2. 1895 at 10 A. M.	2 75	
Mch 2	At the time set plaintiff is in Court, no appearance for defendants and C. C Smith and J. G. Henshaw are sworn and testify for plaintiff in rebuttal whereupon the matter is submitted and taken under advisement	75	
" 4	The Court this day finds for plaintiff and against the defendant W. Mor Young for the sum of $9/30 for Costs	25	
	Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. J. Wickes and against the defendant W. Mor Young for the sum of $91.30 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $28.30		
	Total Judgment $ 119.60		
	Done in open Court this 4th day of Mch 1895		
	A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
" 5	Cost bill verified & filed	50	
" 8	Execution issued & delivered to Drew	1 20	
" 15	Abstract of Judgment Drew	1 00	

Apr 2. 1895 Execution returned & filed satisfied & entered
Witness fees 12 60 costs 10 75 Int. & demand 91 30
May 7. 1895, Abstract of Judgment issued Attorney

Const 5 10
Witness 12 20
28 30

No. 657

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The San Joaquin Lumber Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

H H Whitten and Cecelia Whitten — Defendants

Action Promissory Note

Demand $ 177 95

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	16	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	½
"	18	Summons returned filed served 2/16/95	50	
"	23	It appearing to the Court from the verified return on summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on each of the said defendants at and in this 3rd Township on the 16th day of Feby 1895 and that the said defendants have not appeared herein nor has either of them, by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of Horace Hawes It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants H. H. Whitten and Cecelia Whitten be and the same are hereby entered	1 20	
		On further motion of Horace Hawes It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The San Joaquin Lumber Company a corporation and against the defendants H. H. Whitten and Cecelia Whitten for the sum of $244.93 and costs of suit herein taxed $ 8.45	Prin 177 95 Int. 16 98 Atty fee 50 00 $ 244 93	
			1 80	4 45
			Const	4 00
				$ 8 45
		Total Judgment $ 253.38		
		Done in open Court this 23rd Feby 1895		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	23	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Hawes	1 00	
March	1	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day		
		Horace Hawes		
		Attorney for Plff.		

May 7. 1898, Abstract of Judgment issued to Hawes $1.00

No. 658

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George A. Nourse — *Plaintiff*

vs.

L. Popovich, M. Vucovich and Milon Vucovich — *Defendants*

Action a/c

Demand $60—

Geo A. Nourse — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 19	Cause entered Complaint filed	1 00	2 60

No. 659

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jas Huber — Plaintiff

vs.

S. Waldenberg and Dan Brown partners as Waldenberg & Brown — Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 62.00

Jas Huber — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 20 1896	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	Paid
Feby 1	Summons issued		

No. 660

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Emma P. Story — Plaintiff

vs.

E Beeson — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 150 Int & attys fees

Wm M. Story — Attorney for Plaintiff

Van Meter — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Sept 28.95 Def'f pd 4.00 J.C. Oct 7th Justices Costs pd in full.		
Feby	23	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	23	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	23	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Mch	1	Summons returned & filed served	60	
"	1	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	6	Defendant personally files written demurrer	25	
"	25	Defendant & Van Meter in Court and by consent demurrer set for hearing this day at 10 A M	50	
"	25	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered done	75	
"	25	Defendant answers orally denying each & every allegation in the complaint	25	6 00
			6 10	
May	11	Trial of this case set for May 18. 1895 at 2. P. M.		
"	18	at the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds Wm M Story is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and the defendant offers no testimony The matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment as prayed for. Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Emma P. Story and against the defendant E Beeson for the sum of $230 84 (Prin $150 00 Int $80 84) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $15 20. Total judgment $246 04. Done in open Court this 18th May 1895. A J Austin, Justice of the Peace.	Justice 9 00 Const 6 20 15 20	
Oct 1896	5	Execution issued		
Feb	8	The above judgment credited with $80 84 by Shaw Irwin garnishment		
"	8	Execution returned & filed partly satisfied $80 84 J.P.		

No. 661

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno County Abstract Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Clarence M. Smith
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 400

W W Eden
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 26	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
Mch 14	Summons returned & filed served $7	50	
" 22	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs demand & costs paid H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		2 50

No. 662.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. M. Robinson — Plaintiff

vs.

P. B Donahoo — Defendant

Action on Judgment

Demand $ 249 88

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Justices fees pd by Pltff. Nov 6. 95		
Feby 26	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	2 00
Oct 11	Summons issued		
" 16	Summons returned & filed served San Francisco Oct. 14, 1895		
Nov 6	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of Oct. 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared therein by answer, nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: twenty days has fully expired, now on motion of Horace Hawes It is ordered that the default of the said defendant P. B Donahoo be and the same is hereby entered. On further motion of said Horace Hawes It is is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed. Wherefore it is here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff F. M Robinson do have and recover of and from P. B Donahoo the defendant the sum of $ 261 60 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 6 20 Total judgment $ 267 80 Done in open Court this 6th day of Nov 1895 H. Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
	Just 4 20 Cost 2 00 6.20		
" 6	Abstract of judgment made & del to Hawes		50
1898 Sept 17	Execution issued & delivered to Hawes		1 25
" 22	Affidavit for order of examination of judgment debtor filed		25
" 22	Order for examination of judgment made, for this day at 10 A M		25
" 22	Order returned & filed served ~~this~~ on judgment debtor this day		
" 22	It appearing to the Court from the physical fact & Enf also from the affidavit of W. D Crichton filed herein		

No. 663.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Rider — Plaintiff

vs.

S. J. Wall — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 144.90

Harris Hubbard & Williams — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	28	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 75	
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Mch	1	Summons returned filed served	50	
"	2	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	4	Defendant personally orally answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint contained	25	
"	14	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorneys H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		P.S. 5.50 5.00
		Robinson as Donahoo } Continued.		
		that the judgment debtor has disobeyed the order for his examination supplementary to execution, on motion of said Crichton an attachment for his body is issued returnable forthwith.		
Sept	22	Above attachment is returned filed defendant in Court, and by consent all matters involved are continued to Sept 26. 1898 at		

4.20
2.00
6.20

No. 664

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo A. Smith — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doyle & Elizabeth Doyle — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 75.00

J. H. Daley — Attorney for Plaintiff

H. C. Tupper — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	1	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	5	Defendants by H. C. Tupper demur orally to plaintiffs complaint & say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	9	Summons returned & filed served	05	
"	11	On motion of plaintiff hearing of above demurrer set for Mch 13. 1895 at 10 A M	50	
"	13	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	75	
"	13	Defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	18	On motion of plaintiff trial of this case set for Mch 25. 1895 at 2 P. M	50	
"	25	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, G. A. Smith is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The defendants offer no testimony and the cause is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	2 00	P 5 4.00
"	30	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs Attorney demand all costs paid H. Z. Austin		

No. 654

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Riley — Plaintiff

vs.

T. L. & S. B. R. R. Co. & A. J. Weldon — Defendant(s)

Action

Demand $ From page 154

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		5 20	
	allegations in the complaint, and for a further answer said defendant says it has in its possession a deposit of $50.00 claimed by plaintiff and one A. J. Weldon, which is herewith deposited in Court	50	
Mch 2	A. J. Weldon asks and is given leave to file answer to plaintiffs complaint and also his complaint in intervention herein	60	
" 2	Complaint in intervention & answer filed by Weldon	25	
" 2	Written demurrer and answer to complaint in intervention filed by plaintiff	50	
" 2	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feby 5, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
" 5	On motion of Intervenor trial continued to Mch 14, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
" 5	It is agreed that plaintiff may amend his complaint on the face thereof by changing name of plaintiff Eugene Riley to Terrence Riley and that answers already on file be answers to the complaint as amended	25	
" 14	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds Terence Reilly is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and A. J. Weldon, S. C. St Louis, and L. L. Coy are sworn and testify in behalf of Intervenor. The case is closed and the matter argued and submitted and taken under advisement	8 25	
" 15	The Court this day finds for plaintiff against intervenor as prayed for	25	
	Therefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Terence Reilly and against the Intervenor A. J. Weldon, for the sum of $50.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 14.20	1 00	12 70
			Const 1 50
			14 20
	Total Judgment $64.20		
	Done in open Court this 15th day of Mch 1895		
	H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace	12 70	
" 22	Undertaking on appeal filed by Intervenor	75	
" 22	Notice of appeal filed by Intervenor	25	
" 22	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	
		15 20	

No. 666

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. L. Cory — Plaintiff

vs.

J A Bell, W J Hutchinson, John Crawford, Geo W Beall, N P Justy, and Willet Gates — Defendants

Action Own note

Demand $ 163.25 Int & Attys fee

L O Moultrie — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	2	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	
"	2	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	2	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	4	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
			3 75	

No. 667

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. N. Coffee — Plaintiff

vs.

J. N. Albin, Sayler Albin, Henry Krohn and R. H. Austin — Defendants

Action P/C (3)

Demand $ 14125

Van Metre & Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch 7	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	125	
" 14	Defendants by Sayler Coldwell file written demurrer	25	
" 14	Defendants file demand for bill of items	25	175

No. 668

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W H McKenzie — *Plaintiff*

vs.

D P Blevins — *Defendant*

Action Prom note

Demand $ 200 Int & attys fees

Horace Haines — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch 7	Cause entered & complaint filed	100	200

No. 669

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thomas J Ockenden — Plaintiff

vs.

The County of Fresno — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $50

Geo L Warlow — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189J		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	7	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	2 00
May	2	Defendant by Geo W. Jones, Dep Dist Atty answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.		
"	3	By consent trial of this cause set for May 9. 1895 at 2. P. M		
"	6	Subpoena issued for defendant		
"	9	Above subpoena returned and filed.		
"	9	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds Thos Ockenden, Wm J Burnett, A B Johnson, Ed D N Hyde are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and W D Moore J. N Music, Geo L Hoxie Oliver Sanford H H Letcher and G L. Baker, C W. Music are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is argued and submitted to the Court taken under advisement.		
"	10	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $18 00 Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Thomas J Ockenden and against the defendant the County of Fresno for the sum of $15 00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $11 20 Total Judgment $26 20 Done in open Court this 9th day of May 1895. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
July	12	The above Judgment is satisfied in full this day by payment Geo. L. Warlow atty for. Ockenden		

Justice 5 00
Const 1 50
Witness 4 70
11 20

No. 670

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. L. Moore — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Wasilis — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 56 65

Van Meter & Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	8	Couse entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	8	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	12	Defendant by Thompson & Prince demurs only to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a couse of action	25	
"	13	On motion of plaintiff hearing on demurrer set for Mch 14, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	14	Notice of above & dem filed served	25	
"	14	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	75	
"	14	Defendant answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	15	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Mch 21, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	21	On motion of defendant trial continued to 2 P m of this day	50	
"	21	On motion of defendant trial continued to Mch 23, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	23	at the time of the parties are present and the trial proceeds G. L. Moore is sworn and testifies for plaintiff and the assignment of the a/c sued on is offered & filed in evidence The matter is submitted, defendant offering no testimony and the court finds for plaintiff as prayed for	1 50	
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G. L. Moore and against the defendant Joseph Wasilis for the sum of $ 56 65 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $	1 00	10 00

Total judgment $

Done in open Court this 23rd day of Mch 1895 —

H. Austin

Justice of the Peace

Justice's fees for Jeff Appeal 11 1895

No. 671

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. L. Moore — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Waselis — Defendant

Action Foreclosure Chattel Mtg

Demand $ 100.00 Int

Van Meter & Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	8	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	12	Defendant by Thompson & Prince demurs orally to the complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	13	On motion of plaintiff hearing on demurrer set for Mch 14, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	14	Notice of above order filed served	25	
"	14	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	14	Defendant answers denying each & every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	15	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Mch 21, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	21	On motion of defendant trial continued to Mch 21, 1895 at 2. P. m	50	
"	21	On motion of defendant trial continued to Mch 23, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	23	Plaintiff asks and is given leave to amend his complaint on the face thereof by adding after "said" in line 29 of page 3 the words "the value of which said personal property does not exceed the sum of three hundred dollars" and defendant is given until Mch 25, 1895 at 1:30 P. M. to answer the complaint as amended and the trial of this action is continued to said day and hour on motion of plaintiff	1 00	
"	25	at the time set the defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint and the trial proceeds G. L. Moore, F. G. Berry and W. P. Lyon are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff, and the note and mortgage hereon are offered and filed in evidence and the defendant moves for a non suit, motion is denied and defendant offers no testimony. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff as prayed for	3 00	

Therefor it is ordered by the Court that plaintiff have and recover judgment against said defendant for the sum of $ 154.03 and the further sum of $ his costs herein, and that the defendant be foreclosed of all interest, title and equity of redemption in said mortgaged property to wit: 187 yds Linoleum, 1 Counter, 6 doz dining Chairs, 1 Cross partition, 6 Boxes of partitions complete, 9 Joints of stove pipe & one Elbow, 6 three light Chandeliers,

Justice's fees fd by Pltff April 14, 1895

See pg 181

7 75

No. 672

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O. Events and D. S. Ewing partners doing business under the firm name of Events & Ewing — Plaintiff

vs.

The California Savings and Loan Society a corporation — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 45.00

Events & Ewing — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	12	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25 — 2 00	
"	30	Defendant appears by S S. Wright and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says. It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
Apl	2	Hearing on above demurrer set for Apl 5. 1895 at 2 P. M		
"	5	Demurrer submitted overruled & two days given to answer		
"	8	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		
"	11	Trial of this cause set for Apl 17. 1895 at 2. P. M.		
"	17	Trial continued without day on stipulation filed that if the matter is not settled within 20 days Judgment may be entered as prayed for		

No. 673.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. K. Heiskell, J A Coelho and M. D. Huffman — Plaintiffs

vs.

Antone Joseph — Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $100.55 & Int

Geo L. Warlow — Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas A Burns — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch 13	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 13	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 22	Summons returned & filed served	50	
" 22	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	4 75
April 1	Written demurrer filed by defendant		
" 11	Demurrer set for hearing April 15. 1895 at 10 A M.		
" 15	at the time set all parties in Court and complaint amended by agreement on its face and defendant files written answer		
" 27	By consent trial of this case set for this day at 4. P. M.		
" 27	at the time set the plaintiffs & defendants counsel are in Court and the trial proceeds. Geo L. Warlow testifies for plaintiff & the note sued on is offered in & is received in evidence The defendant offers no testimony and the matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for &		

Whereupon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs W.K. Heiskell, J A Coelho and M. D. Huffman, and against the defendant Antone Joseph for the sum of $110.70 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $18.65

Total Judgment $129.35

Justices 7 25
Constable 11 40
18 65

Done in open Court this 27th day of April 1895

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace.

May 24	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
June 27	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

No. 674

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J C Cavanah — Plaintiff

vs.

F J Burleigh — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 139 00

H H Welsh — Attorney for Plaintiff

F H Short — Attorney for Defendant

Deft. Pd 2 00 in full May 11. 1896.

DATE. 189 5		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	13	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	
"	19	Written answer filed by defendant (F H Short)	25	2 00
Apr	30	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for May 4th 1895 at 2 P M		
"	30	Subpoena issued for plaintiff		
May	2	Above subpoena returned filed		

No. 675

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John P. Peterson — Plaintiff

vs.

Albert L. Hobbs & Samuel S. Parsons Partners under the firm name of Hobbs & Parsons — Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $53.95 & Int

Geo L. Warlow — Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo A. Nourse — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch 15	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
" 21	Defendants by Geo A. Nourse file written answer	25	
" 21	Summons returned & filed served & sworn to	50	2 00
" 21	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Mch 28, 1895 at 9 A. M.		
" 21	Subpoena issued for plaintiff		
" 28	Above subpoena returned and filed and by consent trial continued to 1 P. M. of this day		
" 28	At the time set all parties are present in Court with their Counsel and the trial proceeds, John P. Peterson Lars Larsen David Ward, Ed Peterson, C O Love, W. S. Hopkins, Mels Peterson Wm C. McShannon are sworn and testify for plaintiff and John Green A. L. Hobbs and S S Parsons are sworn and testify for defendants, The evidence is closed and cause to be argued on a day to be agreed upon,		
May 14	Attorneys in Court and matter argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		
" 29	The Court this day finds for plaintiff as prayed for Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John P. Peterson and against the defendants Albert L. Hobbs and S. S. Parsons Co-partners under the firm name of Hobbs & Parsons for the sum of $53.95 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $58.20 Total Judgment $112.15 Done in open Court this 29th day of May 1895 H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
June 1	Cost Bill filed by plaintiff		
July 19	The above Judgment is satisfied in full this day Geo L. Warlow. atty for Plaintiff		

No. 676

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs Frank Abbey — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Abbey — Defendant

Action a/c Money loaned

Demand $ 80 & Int

Harris & Hubbard
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	16	Cause entered complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	
"	16	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	16	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	21	Affidavit for publication of summons made & filed	50	
"	21	Order for publication of summons made & filed	50	
"	26	Summons & affidavit of mailing returned & filed	60	5 25
June	13	Proof of publication of summons filed.	25	
"	13	It appearing to the Court from the affidavits on file that a copy of the said summons and complaint were mailed as directed and published as directed and for the length of time directed in the order for the service of the same by publication on file herein and that the service was complete on the 21st day of May 1895, and it further appearing that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; twenty days has fully expired now on Motion of Harris & Hubbard it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Frank Abbey be and the same is hereby entered.		
"	13	On further motion of said Harris & Hubbard it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Mrs Frank Abbey and against the defendant Frank Abbey for the sum of $ 80 00 Principal and $ 3 75 Interest and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $ 31 15 Total judgment $ 114 90 Done in open Court this 13th June 1895 H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	13	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1 25	
"	15	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

Justice 7 25
Const 3 90
Pub 20 00
31 15

No. 677

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo L. Warlow — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Jacob Hockenberry — *Defendant*

Action a/c

Demand $ 50⁵⁵

Geo L. Warlow
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch 20	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 20	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 20	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 20	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
" 21	Summons returned & filed served	50	
" 21	Defendant personally demurs to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
" 21	Notice of Motion to discharge Attachment on bond filed served	25	
" 21	2 P. M. Pursuant to notice defendant moves the Court to discharge the attachment levied herein under §§554 & 555 C. C. P. The motion is granted and the Court fixes the bond at $150.00 which is made & filed and plaintiff files exception to sureties thereon	2 25	
" 21	Notice of justification of sureties on release bond filed	25	
" 27	Sureties on release bond in Court & justify & matter under advisement	75	
" 27	On motion of plaintiff hearing of above demurrer set for this day at 2 P. M	50	
" 27	Notice of above order filed served	25	
" 27	Demurrer submitted & overruled and one day given to answer	75	
" 28	Plaintiffs release bond approved and on motion of plaintiff all property under attachment herein is ordered released and said attachment discharged	50	
" 28	Defendant answers orally denying each & every allegation in the complaint contained	25	1 D 25
April 29	Time of this case set for May 2. 1895 at 10 a m.		
May 2	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiff and all undertakings herein exonerated & release bond delivered to defendant & costs paid.		

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 678

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Parleous — Plaintiff

vs.

P. A. Efird — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 108.30 Int to atty fees

W. T. Porter — Attorney for Plaintiff

M K Harris — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Aff'ff pd 3.00 Set		
Mch 21	Papers in change of venue filed	1.25	2.00
April 11	On motion of plaintiff trial of this case set for Apl 16. 1890 at 1. P. M		
" 16	On motion of defendant trial continued without day.		

No. 679

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. J. Scoggins — Plaintiff

vs.

William Deakin — Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299

O. L. Abbott — Attorney for Plaintiff

A. H. Welsh — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Plff pd $5.00		
Mch 22	Transcript & papers on change of venue filed	1 25	2 00
May 13	By consent trial set for May 23. 1895 at 10 a m.		
" 23	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds, A J Scoggins, Iver Larsen, Martin Rasmussen and John Thornton are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff, whereupon plaintiff rests his case and further proceedings postponed to May 24. 1895 at 9 a m.		
" 24	At the time set I. N Hyde and Wm Deakin are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant and A J Scoggins John Thornton and Iver Larsen are recalled and testify for the plaintiff in rebuttal + the matter is submitted and by the court taken under advisement		
July 27	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $200 Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A J. Scoggins and against the defendant William Deakin for the sum of $200.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 109.30 Total Judgment $ 280.10 after Costs relaxed — Done in open Court this 27th day of July 1895 A J Austin Justice of the Peace.		
" 30	Cost bill filed by plaintiff		
Aug 5	Motion to relax costs filed by defendant to be heard 8/12/95 at 9 a m		
" 12	Above motion to relax costs argued submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		
" 24	above motion to relax costs having been duly considered the Court this day relaxes the costs in this action at $80.10 in accordance with the memorandum filed by the Court this day +		
" 26	Notice of appeal filed by defendant		
" 26	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant		

180

No. 680

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Valley Lumber Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Elkhorn Ditch Co
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 27 08

Prescott & Pierce
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch 26	Cause entered & complaint filed	1 00	00

No. 671

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Moore — Plaintiff

vs.

Waselis — Defendant

Action From pg 171.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		7 75	

up

1 Short arm meat Box, 1 Meat box, 1 Ice cream freezer 6 Tables in Boxes, 5 Mirrors, 9 pair Lace curtains, 1 Wine ice cooler, 1 Coffee cafe can and all the kitchen utensils glass ware and queensware on hand, 6 pair Portiers on boxes, 1 Swinging door in partition, 1 recess door front entrance, 40 Table Cloths, 241 Napkins, 20 felt cloths on tables, 57 knives, 64 forks, 48 Table Spoons, 45 Tea Spoons 2 coal scuttels, 1 Coal shovel, Hat racks in dining room & in boxes, 1 Baby chair, all of which are contained in the dining room, & restaurant, and the kitchen thereto of the Grand Central Hotel in Fresno City Cal.

It is further ordered that said goods be sold by the Constable of the 3rd Township of Fresno County Cal. as in sales under execution and that the proceeds thereof be applied to the costs and expenses of this action and of the amount so found due to plaintiff as aforesaid on said notes and mortgage with interest therein from this date at the rate of seven per cent per annum, and that defendant be adjudged to pay to plaintiff any deficiency that may remain after applying all of said money as aforesaid realized from the sale of said property as herein ordered and that execution issue against said defendant for such deficiency upon the same being reported to this Court by said Constable

Total Judgment $ 163 38 — 1 60 Cash — 9 35

Done in open Court this 25th Mch 1895

Hz Austin

Justice of the Peace

" 25 Decree of foreclosure & order of sale made & filed & copy thereof delivered to Wm Shaw — 1 25

No. 682

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wilson Shannon — Plaintiff

vs.

James Ramage — Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ —

M K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 5		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	26	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
April	2	Summons returned & filed served		
"	8	Defendant by J H Short demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
"	13	Hearing of above demurrer set for April 17, 1895 at 2. P. M.		
"	17	Demurrer submitted overruled and two days to answer		
"	19	Defendant answers orally denying each & every allegation in the complaint +		
"	23	On motion of plaintiff trial set for May 10, 1895 at 9. A M		
"	10	By consent trial continued without day		

No. 683

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. A. Aiken — Plaintiff

vs.

S. F. Hadsell — Defendant

Action d/c

Demand $ 10 —

Everts & Ewing — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Plff pd 2cc		
Apr 1	Complaint filed & summons issued		2 00 Chg
" 8	Defendant pays into Court the the amount of the demand and costs and this action is this day dismissed		
	H. Z. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		

No. 684

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

8 60
3 50
12 10

The Emigrant Ditch Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Hingley — Defendant

Action Com note

Demand $ 40.00 Int & Attys fees

Grace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Apie	2	Complaint filed & summons issued		3 50
"	2	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed and writ of attachment issued		
May	10	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied		
June	8	Summons returned & filed served Tulare Co June 5. 1895		
July	17	It appearing to the Court from the verified return on the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant within the County of ~~Fresno~~ Tulare State of California and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: Twenty days has duly expired now on motion of Grace Hawes It is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. W. Hingley be and the same is hereby entered Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Emigrant Ditch Company a corporation and against the defendant J. W. Hingley for the sum of $ 67.30 (Prin $40- Int $2.30 attys fee $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 12.40 Total judgment $ 79.40 Done in open Court this 17th July 1895 H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	17	Execution issued to Wm Shaw Const		
Aug	13	Execution returned & filed not levied		

No. 685

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Robert F Bonsfield — Plaintiff

vs.

John Albin — Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ —

M Farley — Attorney for Plaintiff

S J Hinds — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
	Pd 2 00 Plff 3 00 " 5/16/95		
April 2	Complaint filed and summons issued		
" 6	Notice of Exception to sureties Claim & delivery bond filed.		
" 9	Defendant by S J Hinds demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
" 10	Summons, affidavit and undertaking on claim & delivery returned filed showing proceeding		
" 11	Notice of justification filed reserving objection Exception not in time		
" 27	Hearing of demurrer set for Apl 30, 1895 at 10 A M & notice filed & served		
" 30	Demurrer overruled & answer filed by defendant		
May 16	Trial set for May 24, 1895 at 10 A. M.		
" 24	By consent trial continued to June 1, 1895 at 10 A M		
June 1	By consent Defendants answer is amended by demanding the return of the property sued for herein and value of same agreed to be $185 00		
" 1	at this time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds, R F Bonsfield, Mrs M C Bonsfield A D Green, John Eyber, Mrs S J Reuser L Grion, Leo Chamberlain Wm Chamberlain are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and S C St John, J W Dumas and J. N. Albin, are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant, and argument fixed for June 3. 1895 at 10 A M		
" 3	Cause argued submitted and taken under advisement.		
July 5	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for the delivery to him by defendant of the property described in the complaint or its value which the Court finds at $185 00		
	Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Robert F Bonsfield and against the defendant John Albin for the delivery to plaintiff by defendant of the property described in the complaint: to wit: Six cows and one Calf and in case delivery thereof cannot be had then for $185 00, the value thereof with $1 00 damages for the detention thereof and for costs herein taxed at $29 00		
	Done in open Court this 5th July 1895.		
	A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
July 6	Cost bill filed by plaintiff		
Aug 1	Notice of appeal filed by defendant		
" 1	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant		
Aug 1	$8 00 Justices fees pd by defendant		

No. 686

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Peter Cernokus — Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Dubrta — Defendant

Action Money Loaned

Demand $ 40

E.R. Jovanovich — Attorney for Plaintiff

J.H. Daly — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	P. Iff 3.50	Deff 3.00	
April 6	Complaint filed & summons issued; affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed & attachment issued		
" 6	Defendant by J.H. Daly files written answer and cause set for trial April 16. 1890 at 2. P. M.		
" 10	Summons and attachment returned & filed showing proceedings		
" 10	The parties in open Court agree to a compromise and on motion of both plaintiff and defendant personally this action is this day dismissed and costs paid		

H.Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 687

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A L Hobbs & S S Parsons partners doing business under the firm name and style of Hobbs & Parsons — Plaintiff

vs.

George W. Fuller — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 73.08

Geo A Nourse — Attorney for Plaintiff

Mark Walsen — Attorney for Defendant

371

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff Pd 5.00 3.00 " 1.50 April 30 May 4			
April 6	Complaint filed & summons issued: affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed and attachment issued			
" 10	Summons and attachment returned & filed served & Levied respectively			
" 11	Dfndant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.			
April 15	Cause set for trial april 19. 1895 at 9 a m			
" 19	Cause continued to April 25, 1895 at 9 a.m.			
" 25	Trial continued to April 30. 1895 at 9:30 a m			
" 29	Defendant demands jury trial & venire for 18 citizens issued &			
" 30	Above venire returned & filed			
" 30	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. John Jonsen, M. L. Shermenhorn, L. Papovich, C H Ashley, W K Washburn Wm Hawkins, A E Henry, Phil Scott, W C Dorr, J A Bell, & H P Black are selected and sworn as jurors to try the cause. A L. Hobbs, Miss Laffety & C. McLaughlin & S S Parsons are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. & Geo W. Fuller is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendants The matter is argued and submitted to the jury and Wm Shaw Constable is sworn to take charge of the jury who retire in his charge and are afterwards returned into Court with the following verdict. "We the jury find for the defendant M. L. Shermenhorn foreman" Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant George W. Fuller and against the plaintiffs A L. Hobbs & S S Parsons partners doing business under the firm name and style of Hobbs & Parsons for the costs of this action taxed at $ 29.60 viz. Jury fees $24.00 Constables fees $2.60 Witness 3.00			
	Done in open Court this 30th day of April 1895 —			
	H. Austin Justices of the Peace.			
May 2	Cost bill filed by defendant			
" 9	Notice of appeal filed by plaintiffs			
" 8	Undertaking on appeal filed by plaintiffs & approved			

No. 688

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Nicholas Kuhnen Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

D. J. Canty — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 218.00

Harris & Hubbard — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Aff pd 2.00		

April 10 Complaint filed and summons issued and returned and filed served

" 16 It appearing to the Court from the verified return on the summons that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this third Township on the 10th day of April 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of Harris & Hubbard it is ordered that the default of the said defendant D. J. Canty be and the same is hereby entered according to law

Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Nicholas Kuhnen Company a corporation and against the defendant D. J. Canty for the sum of $218.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $3.00

Total judgment $221.00

Just 2.
Const 1.
3

Done in open Court this 16th day of April 1895

A. Austin Justice of the Peace

" 23 Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Hubbard

Nov 25 Execution issued and delivered to Hubbard.

" 26 Affidavit for order Examination debtor made filed order issued

" 26 Order Exam. Jud debtor returned & filed and D. J. Canty in Court and examined Concerning his property

No. 689

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jas Huber — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Schwin — Defendant

Action 9c

Demand $ 108 80

Jas Huber — Attorney for Plaintiff in per

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
189		Plff Pd 3 50 cr " " 3 00 Mch 6.96		
April	10	Complaint filed summons issued & affidavit & undertaking for attachment made filed & writ of attachment issued		
"	15	Defendant by H H Welch files written demurrer		
"	15	Summons returned & filed served		
May	18	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied		
1896 Jan	14	Trial set for Jany 22. 1896. at 2. P. M.		
"	22	At the time set the plaintiff is in Court and the defendant is represented by H H Welch. Jas Huber is sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf. The defendant offers no testimony and the matter is submitted and the Court finds for the plaintiff in the sum of $ 77 30		

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered and it is here ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff Jas Huber do have and recover of and from the defendant Geo Schwin the sum of $ 77 30 and his costs of suit herein taxed at $14 75

Total Judgment $92 05

Done in open Court this 22nd day of Jan 1896

H z Austin

Justice of the Peace.

Feby 29 Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Huber

No. 690

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. W. Johnson — Plaintiff

vs.

A H Thacker, J F Thacker and R T Edgcomb partners under the firm name of Thacker Brothers — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $50—

Harris & Hubbard — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	7/3/95 Def'ts 2 days		
April 16	Complaint filed & summons issued		
July 11	Defendants by R. G. Knox answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.		
" 12	Defendants ask and are given leave to file amended answer and written amended answer filed.		
" 17	Summons returned & filed served		
" 17	On motion of plaintiff trial set for July 28. 1895 at 10 a m		
" 22	Defendants file stipulation on which trial is continued without day-		
" 22	Demand for bill of items filed by defendants		
Nov 4	By consent trial set for Nov 12. 1895 at 10 a. m.		
" 12	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, G W Johnson and J J Burleigh are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and the defendant reads in evidence the depositions of John Reuth Jr. W L Witherbee and A J Edgcomb the matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		
" 13	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $40.21		
	Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G W. Johnson and against the defendants A H Thacker, J F Thacker and R T Edgcomb, partners under the firm name of Thacker Brothers for the sum of $40.21 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.10		
	Total Judgment $48.31		
	Done in open Court this 13th day of Nov. 1895		
	H. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace.		
" 18	Cost bill filed by plaintiff		
Dec 6.	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day—		

No. 691

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John D. French — *Plaintiff*

vs.

E. D. Hutchinson and Jayler Albini — *Defendant*

Action 2 Prom notes

Demand $ 110 Int & attys fee

O. Scribner — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pefft Pf 3 J-o		
April 18	Complaint filed summons issued affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed and Writ of attachment issued		
" 18	This action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney demand & costs being paid H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 692

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. A. Serpa and E. M. Augustine — Plaintiff

vs.

Joe F. Brindairs — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 93 75

E. M. Augustine — Attorney for Plaintiff

E. A. Williams — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
	Plff pd 3 50 / " " 3 00 — Deft 1.25 pd		

April 18 Complaint filed summons issued, and affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed and writ of attachment issued

" 20 Summons and writ of attachment returned filed and defendant by E. A. Williams answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint and files notice of motion to discharge attachment to be heard this day at 4. P. M.

" 20 Hearing of this motion by consent continued to Apr 23. 1895 at 10 A. M.

" 23 Matter submitted and court orders the attachment discharged and all property under levy herein released on filing bond with two sureties in the sum of $150 — The Bond is filed as ordered and it is here ordered that the attachment herein be discharged and the property under levy herein released —

" 24 By consent trial set for April 27. 1895 at 2. P. M.

" 27 At the time set plaintiffs & defendants counsel in Court and the trial proceeds E. M. Augustine is sworn and testifies for plaintiffs. The defendant offers no testimony and the matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiffs as prayed for

Justice 6 50
Const 5 25
11 75

Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs F. A. Serpa and E. M. Augustine against the defendant. Joe F Brindairs for the sum of $93 75 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $11 75

Total Judgment $105 50

Done in open Court this 27th April 1895 —

H. Austin

Justice of the Peace

May 8 1897 Above judgment credited with $40 00 pd to Const.

Sept 18 Execution issued & delivered to Serpa

" 30 Execution returned filed 5 00 collected costs + 25 pd Plff. 1 25

No. 693

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Timothy Paige — Plaintiff

vs.

J J Stephens — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 120

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
April	18	Complaint filed & summons issued		
"	24	Summons returned & filed served in Yolo County		
May	7	Defendant by L. W. Moultrie answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.		
"	8	Defendant by Barlow files written answer & offer of Judgment		$8 & $2.20
June	10	On motion of defendant trial set for June 19, 1895 at 10 A.M.		
"	10	Subpoena issued for defendant.		
"	19	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds T.C. White is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and J J Stevens C A Stevens W A Carruthers and Joe Fratus are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant the matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.		
"	25	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $41.25 with costs to the date of the offer to allow Judgment, to wit $3.50 and for defendant for the costs of this action subsequent to said offer		
"	25	Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Timothy Paige and against the defendant J. J. Stephens for the sum of $41.25 and for costs of suit herein up to the time of the offer to allow Judgment taxed at $3.50, Total $44.75 and Judgment is also here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant J. J. Stephens and against the plaintiff Timothy Paige for the costs of this action accruing subsequent to the offer to allow Judgment taxed at $8.80		
		Done in open Court this 25th June 1895.		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
July	12	The above Judgment is satisfied in full		
		attest		
		H. Z. Austin J.P.		

No. 694

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R Ruben & Son a co-partnership composed of R. Ruben & Paul R Ruben — Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. Slocum — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $247.04

Paul R. Ruben — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April 1896 22	Complaint filed		
April 1897 14	Summons issued		
June 16	Summons returned & filed served in this Township this day		
" 28	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant in this Township on the 16th day of June 1897 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of Paul R Ruben it is ordered that the default of the said defendant F. J Slocum be and the same is hereby entered. On further motion of said Ruben it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for. Therefore judgment is here rendered and entered & it is here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiffs R Ruben and Paul R Ruben a co partnership under the name of R Ruben & Son, do have and recover of and from the defendant F. J Slocum the sum of $247.04 and costs herein taxed at $8.00 — Total Judgment $255.04	6.50 1.50 8.00	

Done in open Court this 28th day of June 1897

H. Z. Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 695

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R Ruben Son a copartnership composed of R Ruben & Paul R Ruben — Plaintiff

vs.

Mary McCrory Exd & A.H. McClory — Defendant

Action Upon note

Demand $ 88 10

Paul R. Ruben — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
April 22	Complaint filed	1 00	
Nov 8	Summons issued	50	
" 8	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 12	Summons returned and filed served in Madera Co	25	
" 14	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
1896 April 14	It appearing to the Court from the return of J F Houston duly verified that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action and the Clerks certificate of Fresno Co was personally served on each of the defendants at and within the County of Madera State of California on the 12th day of Nov 1895 and that neither of said defendants have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: twenty days has fully expired, now on motion of Paul R Ruben It is ordered that the defaults of each of said defendants Mary McCrory Exd & A.H. McCrory be and the same is hereby entered.	1 20	
	On further motion of said Ruben It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
	Whereupon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs R Ruben & Son a copartnership composed of R. Ruben & Paul R Ruben and against the defendants Mary McCrory Exd & A.H. McCrory for the sum of $ 134 70 (Prin $88 10 Int $26 60 Attys fee $20 00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 11 50	100	6 95
	Total Judgment $ 146 20	Amt	4 55
	Done in open Court this 14th day of April 1896		11 50
	H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
1899 Jan 19	Abstract of Judgment Issued (fee 50c Pd)		

No. 696

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. Ruben & Son a co partnership Composed of R Ruben & Paul R Ruben — Plaintiff

vs.

D. Bagley — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $50-

Paul R. Ruben — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

5/11

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April 1896	22	Complaint filed		
April 1897	14	Summons issued		
April	28	Summons returned filed served in this Towp- this day		25
June	28	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant in this Township on the 28th day of April 97 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to-wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of Paul R Ruben it is ordered that the default of the said defendant D Bagley be and the same is hereby entered.		
		On further motion said Ruben it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for —		
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered and it is here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff R Ruben & Son a copartnership composed of R Ruben & Paul R Ruben do have and recover of and from the defendant D Bagley the sum of $ $129.50 (Prin 50.00 Int 36.50 Atty fee $20.00) and Costs herein taxed at $ 8.50		
		Total judgment $ 138.00		
		Done in open Court this 28th day of June 1897 —		
		H.Z. Austin		
		Justice 3th Dist		

No. 697

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joze F Torge — Plaintiff

vs.

Jas Gonzales — Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ 50—

Joze F Torge — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pf 3.50 Deft. " 3.00 " May 6, 95		
April 24	Complaint filed & summons issued & affidavit and undertaking in Claim & delivery made.		
" 25	Summons returned & filed served and affidavit and undertaking in Claim & Delivery filed showing proceedings		
May 3	Defendant by L A Blasingame answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.		
" 6	Trial set for May 8, 1895 at 2 P. M. & defendant notified		
" 13	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff		

A Z Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 698

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B. B. Cory — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Dumas — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 36

57/1

H. C. Tupper — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
April 1896	25	Complaint filed.	1 00	
Jany 1897	8	Summons issued	50	
June	16	Summons returned filed served this day in this Township	25	
"	30	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant herein and within this Township on the 16th day of June 1897 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; five days has fully expired now on motion of H. C. Tupper It is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. W. Dumas be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Tupper It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for —	50	
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered and It is here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff B B Cory do have and recover of and from the defendant J. W. Dumas, the sum of $ 36.00 and costs herein taxed at $ 6.15	1 00	4 20
		Done in open Court this 30th day of June 1897 —		1 95
		Total Judgment $ 42.15		$6 15
		A. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace —		

No. 699

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a Corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Chas T Ellis and Laura Ellis — Defendants

Action Promnote

Demand $50 Int & 825 atty fee

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

571

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April	26	Complaint filed		
Oct	21	Summons issued		
Nov	29	Summons returned & filed served on all in Fresno Co. Nov 27, 1895		
Dec	10	It appearing to the Court from the verified return endorsed on the summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on each of the defendants herein at and within the County of Fresno, aforesaid on the 27th day of Nov. 1895. and that the said defendants nor either of them have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: ten days has fully expired, now on motion of Horace Hawes It is ordered that the default of the said defendants Chas T Ellis and Laura Ellis be and the same are hereby entered.		
		On further motion of said Horace Hawes It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.		
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation and against the defendants Chas T. Ellis and Laura Ellis for the sum of $102.83 (Prin $50.00 Int $27.83 atty fee $25.00) and for costs taxed at $6.65		
		Total judgment $109.48		
		Done in open Court this 10th day of Dec 1895.		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Dec	10	Execution issued & delivered to Hawes.		
"	13	Abstract of Judgment issued & deliv to Hawes.		

No. 700

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E M Augustine — Plaintiff

vs.

Jas F Breindiers — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 130 & Int

E M Augustine — Attorney for Plaintiff

E A Williams — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Plff Pd 3.50 " " 3.00		
April 27	Complaint filed Summons issued & affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed & Writ of attachment issued		
" 27	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied.		
" 27	Summons returned & filed served		
May 2	Defendant files written demurrer		
" 3	Demurrer set for hearing May 4, 1895 at 9-30 A M.		
" 4	Demurrer submitted & overruled & written answer filed		
" 6	Trial of cause set for May 7, 1895 at 2. P. M.		
" 7	at the time set the plaintiff is in Court and after waiting one full hour and it appearing from the notice of trial filed herein that the defendant was duly notified the trial proceeds in his absence. E. M Augustine is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and the note sued on is filed in evidence and from the testimony adduced the Court orders judgment for $106.23 & Costs-		

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff E. M Augustine and against the defendant Jas F. Breindiers for the sum of $106.23 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $11.85

Total Judgment $118.08

Done in open Court this 7th day of May 1895-

A Z Austin

Justice of the Peace

1896 Jany 7 Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Supa

No. 701

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kutner Goldstein Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

W F Hanke
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $91.03

H H Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff Pd 3.50		
April 29	Complaint filed summons issued affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed and writ of attachment issued		
May 2	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney and his receipt filed herein —		

A Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 702

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. S. Bagley — Plaintiff

vs.

R. W. Briscoe and Carrie Briscoe — Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 70.60

Geo L Warlow — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	May 3. Plff Dft 3.50 " 6 " " 3.00		
May 3	Complaint filed & summons issued; affid avit and undertaking for attachment filed & writ of attachment issued		
" 3	Summons and attachment returned and filed showing service and levy respectively.		
" 3	Defendants file written answer and trial of this case set for May 6. 1895 at 2. P. M.		
" 3	~~Summons & attachment returned filed served & levied~~		
" 6	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds F S Bagley is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and R W Briscoe and Carrie Briscoe are sworn and testify in their own behalf. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		
June 27	The Court this day finds for plaintiffs and against defendants for $68.10 Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F S Bagley and against the defendants R. W. Briscoe and Carrie Briscoe for the sum of $68.10 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $9.25 Total $77.35 Done in open Court this 27th day of June 1895 H z Austin Justice of the Peace		
July 3	Carrie Briscoe Defendant deposits the total amount of Judgment & costs in lieu of undertaking on appeal.		
" 3	Defendant Carrie Briscoe files notice of appeal		

The within Judgment is satisfied in full

No. 703

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N T Upton — Plaintiff

vs.

J S Button — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 54 37 & Int

Events & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Plff PS 35c

DATE. 189 J—	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
May 3	Complaint filed summons issued & affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed & Writ of attachment issued		
" 4	Writ of attachment returned & filed		
" 8	Summons returned & filed served		
" 8	Defendant in Court personally & admits service of Summons and waives time to answer or appear		
" 14	It appearing to the court from the admission of the defendant that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this third Township on the 8th day of May 1895 and that the said defendant waived time within which to appear and plead herein and the legal time therefor having fully expired, to wit: five days now on motion of Events & Ewing It is ordered that the default of the said defendant J S Button be and the same is hereby entered according to law Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff N T Upton and against the defendant J S Button for the sum of $54 37/100 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6 50		
	Total Judgment $60 87 Done in open Court this 14th May 1895 Az Austin Justice of the Peace		
June 20	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw Constable		
" 22	affidavit for an "Supps" made & order issued returnable June 24, 1895 at 2 PM		
" 22	Subpoena issued on Supps (2 4) returned & filed served—		
" 24	affidavit & order Supps returned & filed served		
" 24	Defendant Mrs A Francis and — Skinner examined & the Court orders that no action may be commenced against A Francis & he is ordered not to pay defendant any money or transfer any property of defendant in the meantime & defendant is ordered not to transfer or incumber any of his property either real or personal in the meantime		

This writ of judgment is satisfied this 29th day of June 1895—
Witness to mark N T Upton his mark
Az Austin

1.25
.50
.50
.20
.50
3.45

No. 704

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. L. Hobbs & S. S. Parsons partners doing business under the firm name & style of Hobbs & Parsons
Plaintiff

vs.

J. O. Bybee
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 9241

Geo. A. Mourse
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
May 4	May 4. Pffs pd 35c Complaint filed & summons issued and affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed and writ of attachment issued		
" 7	Summons & Writ of attachment returned & filed served & Levied		

No. 705

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockenberry — Plaintiff

vs.

R Ritterbacks

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 8

J Hockenberry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS. Pltff Pd $2.00	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
May 4	Complaint filed & summons issued		
" 6	Summons returned & filed served 5/4/95		
" 11	This action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff		

Hz Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 706

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Cane — Plaintiff

vs.

F. M Reaves, Jno Nielsen and James H Powell — Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $ 100 Int + Attys fees

Mark Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	P S 3 to May 13		
May 13	Complaint filed summons issued, affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed and writ of attachment issued		
" 20	Summons returned filed served on all defts		
" 21	Receipt & stipulation as to James H Powell filed and this action is dismissed as to J H Powell		
Aug 13	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants F. M Reaves and Niels Jensen at and within the County of Fresno, on the 15th day of May 1895 and that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; ~~five~~ ten days has fully expired, now on motion of Mark Walser It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants F. M. Reaves and Jens Nielsen be and the same are hereby entered		
" 13	On further motion of said Walser it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James Cane and against the defendants F. M. Reaves and Jens Nielsen for the sum of $ 152.00 (Prin 100.00 Int $27.00 Attys fee $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $12.20		
	Total judgment $164.20		
	Done in open Court this 13th day of Aug 1895		
	A Z Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
" 13	Above judgment credited with $123.60 All costs + $111.50 p S in demands,		
Sept 7	Execution issued delivered to Shaw.		

No. 707

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Waumpum Trust Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

L E Karr

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 25.00 Int & atty fee

Horace Haines
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pff Pd 2.00 May 14.		
May 14	Complaint filed Summons issued		
" 21	Summons returned filed reived 3rd Towp May 21. 95.		
" 23	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs Attorney demand & costs being paid		

Hz Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 708

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J M Hayden — Plaintiff

vs.

S C Harshbarger and Lilian Harshbarger — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 22.50

Coats & Ewing — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
May 15	Complaint filed & summons issued & affid & undertaking for attachment made & filed & writ of attachment issued.		

No. 709

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Arnold — Plaintiff

E. V. Fisher — vs. — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $200. Int & atty fee

Chas Light — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS. Pltff pd 2.00	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.

May 20 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 20 Summons returned filed served 3rd Toop 5/20/95

" 27 It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons herein attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the said defendant E.V. Fisher at and within this Third Township on the 20th day of July 1895. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, (to wit: four days) having fully expired now on motion of Chas Light Esq It is ordered that the default of the said defendant E.V. Fisher be and the same is hereby entered

On further motion of said Chas Light Esq It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A Arnold and against the defendant E.V. Fisher for the sum of $336.00 (Principal $200 - Attys fee $40.00 Interest $96.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $4.00

Total judgment $340.00

Done in open Court this 27th day of May 1895

H. Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 710

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F A Serpa Exr & E M Augustine — Plaintiff

vs.

Fred Bielenberg Exr & W J Dickey — Defendant

Action on Bond

Demand $ 65 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Plff pd 2 00		
May 20	Complaint filed & Summons issued		
" 21	Summons returned and filed served on all in 3rd Twp 5/21/95		
" 27	Defendant Dickey by H H Welsh demurs & says the Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
" 27	Defendant Bielenberg by G G Goucher demurs & says the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		

No. 711

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. H. McKenzie — Plaintiff

vs.

T. B. Jones and A. A. Jones — Defendants

Action Promissory Note

Demand $140.00

Geo L. Hood — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
May 23	Complaint filed and summons issued		
" 28	Defendant T B Jones by C C Merriam files written demurrer		
June 20	Summons returned filed & rec'd		
" 20	Defendant A A Jones by C C Merriam files written demurrer		
" 24	Hearing on above demurrer set for June 25. 1895		
" 25	Notice of above hearing filed & rec'd		
" 25	Demurrer submitted overruled & two days given to answer		
" 26	Defendants file written answer		
" 27	Trial of this cause set for July 1. 1895 at 2. P. M.		
July 1	At the time set Counsel for respective parties are in Court and the trial proceeds, Geo L Hood is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and the note sued on is offered and filed in evidence the defendant offers no testimony and the matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for and in accordance with the terms of the promissory note sued on. Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. H. McKenzie and against the defendants T B Jones and A A Jones for the sum of $ 144.96 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 7.50 Total Judgment $ 152.46 Done in open Court this 1st day of July 1895. H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Nov 12 1897	Two abstracts of judgment made & delivered to Hood	1.40	
Feby 25	Execution issued & delivered to W H McKenzie	1.25	

No. 712

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J W Pond & Henry Hockelberg co-partners under the firm name & style of J W Pond & Co — Plaintiff

vs.

John N Albin — Defendant

Action Conversion

Demand $ 130—

L W Moultrie — Attorney for Plaintiff

M K Harris — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	May 24 Plff pd $8.00 Sep 6 " " 3.00		
May 24	Complaint filed & summons issued		
" 24	Summons returned filed & served		
" 28	Defendant by M K. Harris demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
" 28	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint		
June 1	Trial set for June 6. 1895 at 9 A M		
" 6	By consent trial continued without day		
" 22	Trial set for July 1, 1895 at 9 a m.		
June 27	Subpoena issued for defendant & Co		
July 1	Subpoena returned & filed served.		
" 1	At the time set all parties are present in court and the trial proceeds, Plaintiff asks and is given leave to amend his Complaint by striking out "Co. partners under the firm name of J W Pond & Co" in the title and the first allegation in the Complaint. J. W. Pond, & Henry Hockelberg are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff, Plaintiff rests & defendant Moves for nonsuit - the motion is denied. B. T. Alford W. R. Fennah & L. Moore, are sworn and testify for defendant and H L West and L L Moore are sworn & testify for plaintiff in rebuttal. The matter is argued and submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff for $68.00 the value of the property - & $20.00 money expended in pursuit thereof. Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs J. W. Pond & Henry Hockelberg and against the defendant J. N. Albin for the sum of $88.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $10.70 by agreement & 10 days stay Total judgment $99.70 Done in open Court this 1st day of July 1895 A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
July 8	Assignment of Judgment to W. J. O'Neal filed		
" 8	Undertaking on Notice of appeal filed by defendant		15.00

No. 718

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockenberry — Plaintiff

vs.

William Johns and Samuel Johns and Arthur Johns — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 24 69

M K Hall's — Attorney for Plaintiff

E S Van Meter — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Ps 2.00 Pffs 5/57		
May 27	Complaint filed summons issued		
June 18	Defendant Wm Johns by E S Van Meter files written answer		
July 17	Summons returned filed served +		
" 17	On motion of plaintiff trial set for July 31. 1895 at 9 a. m and jury demanded by plaintiff.		
" 20	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney & satisfaction of demand admitted in open court H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

214

No. 714

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Rogers — Plaintiff

vs.

John Albini — Defendant

Action Ammension

Demand $ 150

Edgar S Van Meter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Plff pd $2.00 May 28		
May 28	Complaint filed & summons issued		
June 1	Defendant by M.K. Harris demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
" 13	The above demurer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once		
" 13	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.		
" 13	Trial of this cause set for June 20. 1895 at 9 a m		
" 20	By consent trial continued to July 2. 1895 at 2. P. M.		
July 2	Stipulation that judgment be entered for plaintiff against defendant for $120.00 without costs filed—		
" 2	Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J W Rogers and against the defendant John Albini for the sum of One hundred twenty dollars without costs. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
" 6	For value received I hereby assign the above judgment to Frank E. Black J. W. Rogers.		

No. 715

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ben Bresee — Plaintiff

vs.

F P Thompson and A E Houghton — Defendant

Action Prm note

Demand $ 137

Edgar S. Van Meter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff pd 3.00 May 28		

May 28 Complaint filed summons issued and affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed & Writ of attachment issued

July 8 Summons returned & filed served Kings Co 7/3/95.

" 24 It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons in this action, attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant Mrs A.E. Houghton at the County of Kings, State of California on the 3rd day of July 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: twenty days, has fully expired, now on motion of E S Van Meter it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Mrs A. E. Houghton be and the same is hereby entered

On further motion of said Van Meter it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for

Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Ben Bresee and against the said defendant Mrs A.E. Houghton for the sum of $146.59 (Prin $137- Int $9.59) and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $7.00

Total Judgment $153.59

Done in open Court this 24th day of July 1895

H.z Austin

Justice of the Peace

" 24 Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw

" 24 E.S. Van Meter and W D Grady in Court and agree in open Court that the default and all subsequent proceedings be set aside & vacated and defendant allowed to answer, and on motion of W D Grady it is ordered that the above default and all proceedings subsequent thereto be vacated & set aside. and defendant Mrs A E. Houghton answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint & trial set for ~~July~~ Aug 2. 1895 at 10 AM & Execution recalled.

Sept 9 2/6,

No. 716

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. F. McVey — Plaintiff

vs.

L. E. Walker — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 101 55

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Offset 2 ov 5/29		
May 29	Complaint filed Summons issued		
" 29	Summons returned filed served		
" 29	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney, H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
	Bresee vs Thompson et al } From pg 215.		
1895			
Aug 2	At the time set to wit: 10 A M, the plaintiff is in Court ready to proceed with the trial, The defendant Houghton is not present personally or by attorney and after waiting one full hour the trial proceeds in the absence of the defendant A E. Houghton, E S. Van Meter is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and the promissory note sued on is offered and filed in evidence, The matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Ben Bresee and against the defendant Mrs A E Houghton for the sum of $ 146 59 (Prin $137 Int $9 59) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 11 00 Total Judgment $ 157 59 Done in open Court this 2nd day of July 1895 H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
" 2	Execution issued and delivered to Shows		
" 16	Execution returned filed partly satisfied $ 21 70 P d.		

No. 717

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. G. Uridge as Trustee — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Alice R Hilton — *Defendant*

Action a/c

Demand $ 375

J. G. Rhodes — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Plff pd 2.50 6/3/95		
June	3	Complaint filed summons issued		
"	3	Summons returned filed served 3rd Inst this day		
"	8	Defendant by Sayle & Caldwell demurs to plaintiffs Complaint says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		

No. 718

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John C. Deuel — Plaintiff

vs.

James Armstrong — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 117 60

E.S. Van Meter
M.K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS. Deft P85.00 6/23/95	COSTS. Plaintiff. Defendant.
June 4	Complaint filed Summons issued	
" 4	Summons returned & filed Served	
" 7	Defendant by MK Harris demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & says It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	
" 15	By Consent demurrer is submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	
" 15	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.	
" 17	Trial of this case set for June 22. 1895 at 2. P. M.	
" 17	Notice of trial filed Served	
" 19	Defendant files amended answer by leave of court & Considered	
" 22	Subpoena for defendant issued returned filed.	
" 22	Venire for 16 Jurors issued returned filed	
" 22	at the time set all parties and their Counsel in Court and the trial proceeds The following eight are by agreement selected as Jurors to try the case O.P. Fuller, W.H. Thorp A Sluder, J.F. McDonald Wm B Mayfield Chas McCoy J.B. Daly, and Fred Burleigh, John C Deuel is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and Ed James Armstrong Frank Rennenberger Geo L Hoxie Ed E.S. Van Meter are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is argued and submitted & and W.E. Henry Deputy Constable is sworn to take charge of Jury who retire and afterwards return into Court with the following verdict." June 22. 1895 We the jury find for the defendant J.F. McDonald Foreman"	
	Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant James Armstrong and against the plaintiff John C Deuel for the costs of this action taxed at $ Justice 5.00	
	Done in open Court this 22nd day of June 1895	
	A.Z. Austin	
	Justice of the Peace	
June 24	Cost bill filed by defendant	
" 29	Motion of plaintiff to re-tax costs filed	

No. 719

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. H. Powers Jr — Plaintiff

vs.

The Expositor Company a Corporation — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 214 80

Young & Powers — Attorney for Plaintiff

L L Coy — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff pd 3.50 6/4/95		
June 4	Complaint filed summons issued & affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed writ of attachment issued		
" 6	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied		
" 7	Defendant by L L Coy answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint contained		
" 15	On motion of defendant trial of this cause set for June 22 '95 at 10 am		
" 22	Subpoena issued for plaintiff & returned and filed		
" 22	At the time set all parties & their Counsel are in Court & the trial proceeds A H Powers Jr is sworn and testifies for himself and J F Church is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant, and Gus Lamont is sworn and testifies for plaintiff in rebuttal. The matter is submitted without argument and by the Court taken under advisement		
" 27	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $123 90 Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A H Powers Jr and against the defendant The Expositor Company a corporation for the sum of $ 123 90 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 10 50 Total Judgment $ 134 40 Done in open Court this 27th day of June 1895 A J Austin Justice of the Peace		
July 27	Notice of appeal filed by defendant served		
" 27	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant		1 00
Aug 17	Transcript & papers on appeal		
" 22	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to G C Freman		1 00

No. 720

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James B Blakeley — Plaintiff

vs.

Ed Hallingshead — Defendant

Action d/c

Demand $ 105

G G Gouchen — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
	Plff Pd $3.50 6/4/95		
	Deft Pd $3.00 for trial 22 June		
	" " $5.00 " appeal Sup 5/95		
June 4	Complaint filed summons issued & affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed & writ of attachment issued		
" 15	Summons returned & filed served in 3rd Towp this day		
" 15	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied		
" 17	Defendant by Ges E. Church files written answer & counterclaim		
" 20	On motion of defendant trial set for June 22. 1895 at 3 P. M.		
" 20	Defendant files notice & motion to discharge attachment & release property levied upon herein on bond as specified in §§ 554 & 555 C.C.P. to be had June 21, 1895 at 10 A M		
" 21	Parties & Counsel in Court & the Court fixes the bond at $200 — & orders attachment discharged & property released on filing same		
" 21	Release bond filed & property attached ordered released & justification & sureties waived.		
" 22	Notice setting trial filed served and subpoena for plaintiff issued & filed		
" 22	The court this day on motion continues trial to June 26, 1895 at 9 A M.		
" 26	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds James Blakeley, J W Patrick & Alles Taylor are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff & Ed Hallingshead L B Beard & W H Cavin are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		
July 5	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for the sum of $65.00 Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James Blakeley and against the defendant Ed Hallingshead for the sum of $65.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 15.75 Total judgment $ 80.75 Done in open Court this 5th day of July 1895. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace.		
" 10	Cost bill filed by plaintiff		
Aug 3	Defendant files notice of appeal		
" 3	Defendant files undertaking on appeal		

No. 721

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo S. Mecalea & J A Mecalea Co-partners as Mecalea Bros
Plaintiff

vs.

W A Allen
Defendant

Action From note & A/c

Demand $ 20⁵⁰/₁₀₀ & Int

J A. Mecalea
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pff pd 3.00 6/4/95		
June 4	Complaint filed Summons issued and affidavit & undertaking for attachment made filed & writ of attachment issued		
" 7	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of Plaintiff		

H Z Austin Justice of the Peace

222

No. 722

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R Ruben Jr & P R Ruben partners doing business as R Ruben & Son — Plaintiff

vs.

J N Albin — Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $236.38 Int & atty fee

H H Welsh — Attorney for Plaintiff

W D Crichlow — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff pd 2.00 6/8/95		
June 5	Complaint filed & summons issued		
" 5	Summons returned & filed seived 3rd Twp this day		
" 10	Defendant by W D Crichlow demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
" 13	Defendant filed written answer		
" 28	Trial set for July 5. 1895 at 9.9. M		
July 5	By consent trial continued to July 11. 1895 at 9. a m		
" 11	On motion of defendant trial continued to July 13. 1895 at 1-30 P. M		
" 13	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds It is agreed that $25.00 is a reasonable attorneys fees in this action & the note sued on is offered and filed in evidence as part of the testimony of Paul R Ruben who was sworn and testifies for plaintiffs. J. N Albin is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The matter is submitted on briefs to be hereafter filed.		
Aug 1	All briefs on file and matter submitted. and by the Court taken under advisement		
" 24	The Court this day finds for plaintiffs as prayed for and fixes the Attorneys fee at $25.00 Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs R. Ruben Jr & P. R. Ruben partners doing business as R. Ruben & Son and against the defendant J. N. Albin for the sum of $379.38, being $236.38 Principal $25.00 Attorneys fees and $118.00 Interest and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.00 Total Judgment $385.38 Done in open Court this 24th day of August 1895 H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Aug 29	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw		
Oct 26	Above execution renewed		

No. 723.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. F. McVey — Plaintiff

vs.

A T Conniard — Defendant

Action on Contract

Demand $ 37.64

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pff pd 2.00 6/5/95		
June 5	Complaint filed & summons issued		
" 8	Summons returned & filed served		
" 10	The amount of above claim & costs paid into Court by defendant & this action dismissed H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 724

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Haas — Plaintiff

vs.

F. P. Burris — Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $81.20

E D Edwards — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff pd 3.00 6/6/95 " " 3.00 6/15/95	Pltffs 1.50 Supra 6/24/95	
June 6	Complaint filed summons issued and affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed writ of attachment issued		
" 8	Summons returned filed served.		
10	Defendant by W. D. Crichlow demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.		
13	Demurrer submitted overruled and defendant answers orally denying each & every allegation in the complaint		
13	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for June 15. 1895 at 10 A.M.		
15	Notice of setting Cause for trial filed served		
15	At the time set the plaintiffs attorney is in Court and the defendant fails to appear in person or by attorney and after waiting one full hour the trial proceeds in his absence E. D. Edwards is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and the note sued on is offered in evidence and received in evidence, From the testimony adduced the Court fixes the attorneys fee in this case at $15.00 and orders judgment for plaintiff in other respects as prayed for. Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John Haas and against the defendant F. P. Burris for the sum of $97.50 (Prin $81.20 Int $1.30 Attys fee $15.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $9.75 Total Judgment $107.50 Done in open Court this 15th day of June 1895 — H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace	Just 6.50 Costs 3.25 9.75	
July 5	Writ of attachment returned filed levied.		
" 6	Execution issued to Sheriff		
" 16	Execution returned filed partly satisfied $16.00 Credited on judg & costs $13+		
" 24	Affidavit & order Supplementary Proceedings made served & filed.	1.50	
" 29	Defendant & Colby sworn in Court & examined & order to defr to give Cash or Stock upon Mg		
" "	Execution issued to Sheriff Count		
Aug 17	Execution returned filed nulla Bona.		

Oct 16. 1895 Execution issued to Sheriff
Mch 7. 1898 The within judgment is credited with 38.25 (Broad
Due Aug 7. 1898 $79.25

2275

No. 725

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The San Joaquin Lumber Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Mathias P. Axelsen and C. P. Iverson — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $150. Int & attys fee

Horace Hawes — Attorney for Plaintiff

A M Drew — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 5	PROCEEDINGS. Justices fees 5.00 pd by plff.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
June 8	Complaint filed & summons issued		
" 10	Summons returned & filed served on Iverson		
" 15	Defendant Iverson by A. M Drew demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
" 17	Hearing on above demurrer set for June 17th 1895 at 2 P. M		
" 17	Notice of above order filed served		
" 17	Attorneys in Court & A M Drew objects to hearing demurrer at the time set for the reason that the notice given is insufficient. Objection overruled & Exception and demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once		
" 17	Defendant Iverson answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint with leave to amend before trial		
" 19	Trial of this cause set for June 25. 1895 at 10 A. M. both Attys in Court at the time		
" 25	Defendant Iverson by leave of Court files amended answer		
" 25	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds W D Newell & E S Tavmelee are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and the note sued on is offered & filed in evidence Mr W D Newell is recalled and testifies for defendant The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff according to the terms of the note sued on and fixes the attorneys fee at $25.00 Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The San Joaquin Lumber Company a corporation, and against the defendant C. P. Iverson for the sum of $201.50 (Prin $150- Int $26.50 atty fee $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed by consent at $9.00 Total judgment $210.50 Done in open Court this 25th June 1895 H. Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
" 26	Abstract of judgment delivered to H		

No. 726

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno Fair Grounds Association a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Sarah J Raynor & S Raynor Executors of the Last Will & Testament of Wm M Raynor Deceased — Defendants

Action Assessment on Stock

Demand $ 100 & Int

H H Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pffs pd 2.00 Sep 4/95		
June 10	Complaint filed		
Sept 13	Defendants by Strother & Strother files written demurrer		

No. 727

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank A Serpa — *Plaintiff*

vs.

J F Jenente — *Defendant*

Action Prom note & a/c

Demand $ 61 00 Int & attys fees

E S Van Meter — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J—	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Affpd 3 50 M. M Soares		
June 17	Complaint filed summons issued affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed and writ of attachment issued.		
" 20	Plaintiff asks leave of Court to file an amended complaint changing name of defendant from J F Jenente to J F Tenente and on like application permission is given to change the name of defendant as above in the original summons and writ of attachment.		
" 25	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied.		
Sept 24	Summons returned & filed served		
" 24	Defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint		

228

No. 728

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Agnes Moore — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Lillian Williams and W. R. Williams — *Defendant*

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ 20. & Damages

N. P. Thompson — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
June 26	Complaint filed & summons issued		

No. 729

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fred H Turner & A J Turner Co partners doing business under the firm name or style of Turner Brothers — Plaintiff

vs.

W. N Rohrer — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 131 80

Stratton & Stratton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Plff pd 2.50 6/28/95		
June 28	Complaint filed summons issued, affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed & writ of attachment issued		
" 28	Summons and writ of attachment returned & filed served & levied respectively		

No. 730

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockenberry *Plaintiff*

vs.

Geo A. Woods and H K Lennon *Defendant*

Action Prom note

Demand $ 14.00 Int + attys fee

W T Porter

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189√	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pff Pf. 3.00 6/29/95		
June 29	Complaint filed + summons issued and affid avit and undertaking for attachment made & filed + writ of attachment issued		
July 8	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 731

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bow No Tong — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Dewey King Ling — *Defendant*

Action d/c

Demand $ 240

S S. Wright — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff fos 35c 6/29/95		
June 29	Complaint filed summons issued 2nd affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed and writ of attachment issued The demand in the above action being paid on this day plaintiffs attorney in open Court acknowledges receipt of same and on his motion this action is dismissed Hz Austin Justice of the Peace.		

No. 732.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo H Andruss — Plaintiff

vs.

A B Butler — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 29999

Geo E. Church — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pefft Pd 2.00 7/2/95		
	" " 3.00 7/14/95		
July 2	Complaint filed & summons issued		
" 2	Summons returned & filed served.		
" 8	Defendant by L. L Coy demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
Aug 3	Hearing on above demurrer set for Aug 6. 1895 at 10 A.M.		
" 6	Demurrer submitted overruled & 5 days to answer		
" 12	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.		
Sept 4	Trial of above case set for Sept. 14. 1895 at 9. A M		
" 14	Notice of above order filed showing personal service		
" 14	at the time set the plaintiff and his Counsel are in Court and there is no appearance on the part of defendant. After waiting one full hour the trial proceeds in the absence of the defendant. Geo H Andruss is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and the matter being submitted on the testimony already adduced, the Court finds for plaintiff as prayed for.		
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo H Andruss and against the defendant A B Butler for the sum of $ 299.99 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 7.55		
	Total Judgment $ 307.54		
	Done in open Court this 14th day of Sept. 1895		
	A J Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
Sept 16	Plaintiff files cost Bill.		
" 28	Defendant files notice of appeal		
" 28	Defendant files undertaking on appeal		

No. 733

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A.P. Blackler — Plaintiff

vs.

J.O. Byxbee — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 66 55

Events & Ewing — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
	Pff PS 2.00		
July 5	Complaint filed & summons issued		
" 6	Summons returned & filed served at 3rd Towp 7/6/95		
" 17	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a Copy of the Summons herein attached to a true Copy of the Complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at and within this 3rd Township on the 6th day of July 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of Events & Ewing it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J.O. Byxbee be and the same is hereby entered		
	Whereupon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A.P. Blackler and against the defendant J.O. Byxbee for the sum of $66.55 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $3.00		
	Total judgment 69.55		
	Done in open Court this 17th day of July 1895		
	H.z Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
17	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw		

234

No. 734

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. A Chastain — Plaintiff

vs.

T. G. Dallon — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $59.00 & Ct

Mewx & Johnston — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff pd 25c		
July 10	Complaint filed summons issued & affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed & writ of attachment issued		
" 17	Defendant by E. S. Van Meter files written answer ~~and~~		
" 23	Summons returned & filed served		
	Brooks vs Burgess et al } from pg 235		
1896			
Dec 23	above affidavit and order returned and filed showing personal service and at the time ed D McCoults is in court and examined and it appearing to the Court that at the time of the service of the garnishment herein on him, to wit: July 12. 1895. he was indebted to the defendants in the sum of $38.00, It is here ordered and adjudged that the said D M Coults do immediately pay over to Wm Shaw Constable the said sum of $38.00, and that execution issue against said D M Coults for said sum —		
	H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace —		
" 23	D M Coults pays $12.70 on a/c above Bal $25.30		
Feby 17	D M Coults pays $25.00 on a/c above in full of garnishment		

No. 735

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W H Brooks — Plaintiff

vs.

A Burgess 2d & Fletcher Warren Co-partners as Burgess & Warren — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 29.12

W H Brooks — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
July 12	Complaint filed Summons issued affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed & Writ of attachment issued		
Aug 9	Summons and writ of attachment returned & filed served and levied respectively Served Mariposa Co. July 17. 1895		
Sept 10	It appearing to the Court from the verified returns on the summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants at and within the County of Mariposa State of California, on the 17th day of July 1895, and that attached thereto was in each instance a copy of the Certificate of the County Clerk of the County of Fresno, and it further appearing that the said defendants have not appeared herein, nor has either of them, by answer now in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: twenty days has fully expired. now on motion of W H Brooks it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants A Burgess 2d & ~~Warren~~ Fletcher Warren Co partners &c be and the same are hereby entered On further motion of said Brooks it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered and it is hereordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff W H Brooks do have and recover of and from the defendants A Burgess 2d Fletcher Warren Co-partners as Burgess & Warren, for the sum of $ 29.12 and for the costs of this action taxed at $ 12.70 Total judgment $ 41.82 Done in open Court this 10th day of Sept 1895 A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Dec 2 1896	Execution issued & delivered to Pickford Dep Const-		
Dec 22	Order Examination of D McCulloch on affidavit of W H Brooks for Dec 23. 1896 at 10 A M.		

No. 736

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F J Williams — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Jas Spinney — *Defendant*

Action a/c

Demand $ 214.50

H H Welsh — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
July 12	Complaint filed summons issued affidavit & undertaking for attachment made filed writ of attachment issued		

No. 737

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Leo Rohnas — Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Church — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $ 299.99

Bell Waites & W D Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

D S Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pffs 2nd 7/13/95 Deft. Pf 3rd 7/26/95		
July 13	Complaint filed & Summons issued		
" 17	Summons returned & filed served		
" 20	Defendant by D S Church files written answer		
" 22	Trial set for July 26. 1895, at 9-30 A.M.		
" 22	Plaintiff demands jury trial		
" 26	Venire returned not served and all parties waive jury trial		
" 26	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds Leo Rohnas C C Crutcher and S C St John are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and J. M Church J W Dunnes and S C St John are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The evidence is closed and Counsel given time to furnish the Court with authorities & argue cause		
" 29	The Court this day finds for defendant for Costs. Wherefor Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the ~~plaintiff~~ defendant J M Church and against the plaintiff Leo Rohnas for the Costs of this action taxed at $		
	Done in Open Court this 29th July 1895 By Austin Justice of the Peace	Just 5.00	

No. 738

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jas Huber
Plaintiff

vs.

F J Slocum & Bro a co-partnership consisting of F J Slocum & John Slocum
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 23.90

Jas Huber
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Plff pd 1.00		
July 13	Complaint filed		

No. 739

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Byron Van Vaughtenburg — *Plaintiff*

vs.

J. N. Albin — *Defendant*

Action Omission

Demand $ 40⁰⁰

D. S. Church — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
July 22	Pltff pd $2⁰⁰ Complaint filed summons issued		

No. 740

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno Loan & Savings Bank a Corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

E D Edwards & J. Jansen — Defendant

Action Prom note.

Demand $100. Int & Attys fees

Horace Howes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189J		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
July 1896	24	Complaint filed		
May 1897	1	Summons issued		
Jany	6	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on defendants in this County Dec 15 1896 & Dec 23, 1896 respectively		
"	6	It appearing to the Court from the verified return annexed to the summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendants in this County as follows: On E D Edwards on Dec 15, 1896 & on J Jansen on Dec 23, 1896. and that the said defendants nor either of them have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit ten days have fully expired now on motion of Horace Howes It is ordered that the default of the said defendants E. D. Edwards & J Jansen be and the same are hereby entered		
		On further motion of said Howes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for, the Court fixing the Attorneys fee herein at $16.50 (10% of amount due)		
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered and it is here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation do have and recover of and from the defendants E. D. Edwards and J Jansen the sum of $182.29 (Prin 100- Int 65.79 Attys fee $16.50) and the costs of this action taxed at $6.50 (Justices 4.00 Constables 2.50)		
		Total judgment $188.79		
		Done in open Court this 6th day of Jany 1897		
		H.Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	6	Abstract of judgment delivered to Howes -		
1898 Dec	31	Execution issued & delivered to Howes -		
"	31	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		

No. 741

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Allma E. Fredericks — Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Terry — Defendant

Action Prm note.

Demand $169.23 Int & attys fee

A. M. Drew — Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Van Meter — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189J	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pffs Pr 3.00 7/25/95		
July 25	Complaint filed summons issued affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed and writ of attachment issued		
Sept 4	Defendant by E. S. Van Meter files written demurrer		
" 6	On motion of plaintiff hearing on above demurrer set for Sept 7. 1895 at 9-30 A M		
" 7	Parties in Court and demurrer submitted overruled & 2 days to answer		
" 10	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.		
" 10	By Consent of Counsel trial set for this day at 10-30 A M.		
" 10	at the time set Counsel on both sides are in Court and the note sued on is offered and filed in evidence and W D Grady is sworn on the part of plaintiff. The defendant offers no testimony and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for		

Whereupon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Allma E. Fredericks and against the defendant J H Terry for the sum of $219.61 (Prin $169.23 Int $25.38 Attys fee $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $13.00

Total Judgment $232.61

Done in open Court this 10th day of Sept 1895

H. Austin

Justice of the Peace

Sept 13	Execution issued & delivered to A M Drew.
Nov 9	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied

No. 742

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jake Hockenberry — Plaintiff

vs.

W H Thiap — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 23[15] & Attys fee

Mark Walser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	pltff ds 3 v — 7/25/95		
July 25	Complaint filed summons issued affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed writ of attachment issued		
" 25	Summons returned & filed served		
" 25	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied		
" 29	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff		

A. J. Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 743

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R Ruben & Paul R Ruben Co-partners as R Ruben & Son — Plaintiff

vs.

J. L. Morris — Defendant

Action Prom note & a/c

Demand $ 138.39 Int & attys fee

H H Welsh — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Plff Pd 3.50 7/26/95		
July 26	Complaint filed summons issued, affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed & writ of attachment issued		
" 26	Additional writ of attachment issued		
" 31	Summons & writ of attachment returned & filed served & Levied		
Aug 9	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant within the County of Fresno, on the 26th day of July 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: tens days has fully expired, now on motion of H H Welsh It is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. L. Morris be and the same is hereby entered		
" 9	On further motion of H H Welsh It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs R. Ruben and Paul R Ruben Co-partners as R Ruben & Son and against the defendant J. L. Morris for the sum of $ 178.04 (Prin 138.39 Int $10.15 attys fee $30) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 10.50 Total Judgment $ 189.04 Done in open Court this 9th day of Aug 1895 H. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 744

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J H House — Plaintiff

vs.

Milton Day — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $5.95

J H House — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Plff Pd 3.00		
July 27	Complaint filed summons issued and affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed and writ & attachment issued		
" 29	Summons & writ of attachment returned & filed		
" 29	This action is dismissed on motion of plaintiff		

A. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 745

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. J. Howland
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs M. G. Lytton and Jane Doe co partners as Lytton Restaurant
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 19.50

H. J. Howland
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 5	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pff fd 3.50		
July 27	Complaint filed summons issued affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed order of attachment issued		
" 29	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of Pltff. H. J. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 746

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Manuel Freitas Tenente — Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Fagundes — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $100 - Int & Attys fees

E S Van Meter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Off Pd 2.00		
July 29	Complaint filed & summons issued		
" 31	Summons returned & filed served		
Aug 6	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 31st day of July, 1895 and that the said defendant had not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of E.S. Van Meter It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Louis Fagundes be and the same is hereby entered. On further motion of said Van Meter It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Manuel Freitas Tenente and against the defendant Louis Fagundes for the sum of $ 133.66 (Prin 100.00 Int 8.66 Attys fee $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at, $2.75 Total $136.41 Done in open Court this 6th day of Aug 1895 H.Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Aug 6	Execution issued & delivered to Sheriff		
" 17	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
Sept 24	Plaintiff files affidavit & order Supps made &		
" 27	Above & due returned & filed & defendant in Court and examined not thereupon		

No. 747

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Armstrong, Plaintiff

vs.

J. N. Poal, F. M. Poal and W. L. Levick, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 162 Int - atty fees

Mark Walser
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 J.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Deff pd 2.00		
Aug 2	Complaint filed and summons issued		
Mch 1896 12	Summons returned and filed served on all defendants as follows On J N Poal and F. M. Poal on Aug 7. 1895 and on W L Levick on Jany 22. 1896 all in this County.		
" 12	It appearing to the Court from the return of the citizens duly verified that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendants at and within this County as follows viz: on J N Poal and F. M Poal on Aug 7. 1895 and on W L Levick on Jany 22. 1896 and that none of the said defendants have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: ten days has fully expired now on motion of Mark Walser It is ordered that the default of the said Defendants J N Poal, F. M Poal and W L Levick be and the same is hereby entered On further motion of said Walser It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered and it is here ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff James Armstrong do have and recover of and from the defendants J N Poal, F M. Poal and W L Levick the sum of $239.30 (Prin 162.00 Int 47.30 Attys fee $30.00) and the costs of this action taxed at 9.00 Total judgment $248.30 Just 4.00 Const 5.00 9.00 Done in open Court this 12th day of Mch 1896 H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Nov 20	Assignment of judgment to Chas A Schweizer filed		
" 21	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day Chas A Schweizer		

No. 748

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. S. Ewing — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Cora Palmer — Defendant

Action Prom note.

Demand $160 Int & Attys fee

O. L. Evans — Attorney for Plaintiff

F. H. Short — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pltff Pd 3.50 8/5/95		
Aug 5	Complaint filed Summons issued affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed writ of attachment issued.		
" 7	Summons and writ of attachment returned & filed served and levied respectively		
" 10	Defendant by F. H. Short demurs orally to plaintiffs Complaint & says "It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.		
" 13	Demurrer submitted overruled and 2 days given within which to answer		
" 15	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.		
Sept 5	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Sept 18. 1895 at 9. A M		
" 18	Attorneys for both parties in Court and it is agreed in open Court that judgment be entered herein for plaintiff for $160.00 with such sum as Attorneys fee as the Court may allow. The Court fixes the Attorneys fee at $20.00		
	Wherefor it is by this Court ordered and adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff D S Ewing do have and recover of and from the defendant Mrs Cora Palmer the sum of $180.00 and for costs of suit herein, taxed at $12.95 3.00		
	Total Judgment $192.95		
	Done in open Court this 18th day of Sept 1895		
	H. Z. Austin		
	Justice of the Peace		
Oct 2	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1.25	
Nov 2	Ex		
Nov 2	Affid avit & order supplemental proceeding Exam of garnishee made and served on defendant in open Court to be examined Nov 5. 1895 at 2 P. M.		
Nov 6	affidavit for order Examination of bailee made	1.50	
" 6	Order for Examination of Lee Gow bailee of judgment debtor made & to be heard	1.50	
1896 April 6	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1.25	
May 12	Execution returned filed partly satisfied all costs paid & 126.65 credited on judgment.		

No. 749

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs M Gordon — Plaintiff

vs.

H. Mills and Mrs H. Mills his wife — Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 50.00 Trebled

Mrs M. Gordon — Attorney for Plaintiff

O Scribner — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
	Off of 2.00 " " 3.00		
Aug 6	Complaint filed Summons issued made returnable on Aug 9. 1895		
" 8	Summons returned filed served		
" 8	Defendants by O Scribner file written answer		
" 8	On motion of defendants trial set for this day at 4. P. M.		
" 8	Subpoenas issued for both parties		
" 8	Subpoenas returned filed served		
" 8	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds Mrs M Gordon, Mrs W J Williams W F Toomey, Jas Huber, C L Hansen and David Kinney are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and H Mills, Mrs H. Mills and Maude Mills are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants, the evidence is closed and argument continued to Aug 9. 1895 at 4 P. M.		
" 9	at the time set the case is argued submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		
" 15	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for the possession of the premises sued for with $2.50 for rent accrued & costs		

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Mrs M Gordon and against the defendants H Mills & Mrs H Mills

1st For the restitution and possession of the premises sued for herein to wit: A certain one story five room residence situated at No 817 "N" Street between Mono and Ventura Streets in the City of Fresno, County of Fresno, State of Cal.

2nd For the sum of $2.50 rent accrued to this date and

3rd For the costs of this action taxed at $ 11.40

Total Judgment $ $ 13.90

Justice	5 00
Const	2 00
Wit	4 40
	11 40

Done in open Court this 15th day of Aug 1895

Az Austin

Justice of the Peace.

250

No. 750

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Emanuel M. Augustine — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Frank A. Serpa — *Defendant*

Action a/c

Demand $ 299.00

E S Van Meter — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

J H Daly — *Attorney for Defendant*

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Pffs ps 8.00		
Aug 6	Complaint filed summons issued affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed and writ of attachment issued.		
" 9	Summons and writ of attachment returned filed and showing service & levy respectively		
" 10	Deft by J H Daly demurs orally to plaintiffs Complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
" 12	It appearing to the Court that the Justice before whom this action is pending is disqualified to try this case by reason of the prejudice which he entertains for defendants attorney J H Daly, It is therefore ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby transfered to the 4th Justices Court of this Township, D.C. St John presiding, the same being a Court where such prejudice does not exist. A Z Austin Justice of the Peace of said Township.		



250

No. 750

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Emanuel M. Augustine — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank A. Serpa — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 299.00

E S Van Meter — Attorney for Plaintiff

J H Daly — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
	Pltff pd 8.00		
Aug 6	Complaint filed summons issued affidavit and and taking for attachment made & filed and writ of attachment issued.		
" 9	Summons and writ of attachment returned & filed showing service & levy respectively		
" 10	Defendant by J H Daly demurs orally to plaintiffs Complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
" 12	It appearing to the Court that the Justice before whom this action is pending is disqualified to try this Case by reason of the prejudice which he entertains for defendants Attorney J H Daly, It is therefore ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby transfered to the other Justices Court of this Township, S.C. St John presiding, the same being a Court where such prejudice does not exist. A Z Austin Justice of the Peace of said Township.		

